

---

---

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20549

---

**Form 10-K**

---

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number 001-13467

---

**HedgePath Pharmaceuticals, Inc.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

---

Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

30-0793665  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

324 S. Hyde Park Avenue Ste. 350  
Tampa, FL  
(Address of principal executive offices)

33606  
(Zip Code)

Issuer's telephone number: 813-864-2559

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

<u>Title of each class</u>	<u>Name of exchange on which registered</u>
None	n/a

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: Common stock, par value \$.0001

---

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/> (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates as of June 30, 2014 was approximately \$1,776,288 based on the closing sale price of the company's common stock on such date of \$0.10 per share, as reported by the OTC Markets Group, Inc.

As of February 13, 2015, there were 211,419,937 shares of company common stock issued and outstanding.



[Table of Contents](#)

**HedgePath Pharmaceuticals, Inc.**  
**Annual Report on Form 10-K**  
**For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

[Cautionary Note on Forward-Looking Statements](#)

<b><a href="#">PART I</a></b>		2
Item 1.	<a href="#">Description of Business</a>	2
Item 1A.	<a href="#">Risk Factors</a>	19
Item 1B.	<a href="#">Unresolved Staff Comments</a>	41
Item 2.	<a href="#">Description of Property</a>	41
Item 3.	<a href="#">Legal Proceedings</a>	41
Item 4.	<a href="#">Mine Safety Disclosure</a>	41
<b><a href="#">PART II</a></b>		42
Item 5.	<a href="#">Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters</a>	42
Item 6.	<a href="#">Selected Financial Data</a>	43
Item 7.	<a href="#">Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</a>	43
Item 7A.	<a href="#">Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</a>	45
Item 8.	<a href="#">Financial Statements</a>	45
Item 9.	<a href="#">Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</a>	45
Item 9A.	<a href="#">Controls and Procedures</a>	46
Item 9B.	<a href="#">Other Information</a>	47
<b><a href="#">PART III</a></b>		48
Item 10.	<a href="#">Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</a>	48
Item 11.	<a href="#">Executive Compensation</a>	50
Item 12.	<a href="#">Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</a>	54
Item 13.	<a href="#">Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</a>	55
Item 14.	<a href="#">Principal Accountant Fees and Services</a>	58
<b><a href="#">PART IV</a></b>		58
Item 15.	<a href="#">Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</a>	58

Unless we have indicated otherwise, or the context otherwise requires, references in this Report to “HPPI,” “CBI”, the “Company,” “we,” “us” and “our” or similar terms refer to HedgePath Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a Delaware corporation.

## CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Report and the documents we have filed with the SEC that are incorporated by reference herein contain forward-looking statements, within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that involve significant risks and uncertainties. Any statements contained, or incorporated by reference, in this Report that are not statements of historical fact may be forward-looking statements. When we use the words “anticipate,” “believe,” “could,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend,” “may,” “plan,” “predict,” “project,” “will” and other similar terms and phrases, including references to assumptions, we are identifying forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties which may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from those expressed or implied by those forward-looking statements.

A variety of factors, some of which are outside our control, may cause our operating results to fluctuate significantly. They include:

- our lack of operating history;
- our current lack of the capital resources needed to progress our business plan;
- Acceptance of our business model ((namely the repurposing of the drug itraconazole (currently approved as an anti-fungal agent) for the treatment of cancer)) by investors and potential commercial collaborators;
- our current and future capital requirements and our ability to satisfy our capital needs;
- our ability to commence and complete required clinical trials of our product candidate and obtain approval from the FDA or other regulatory agencies in different jurisdictions;
- our ability to secure and maintain key development and commercialization partners for our product candidate;
- our ability to obtain, maintain or protect the validity of our patents and other intellectual property;
- our ability to internally develop new inventions and intellectual property;
- our ability to retain key executive members; and
- interpretations of current laws and the passages of future laws, rules and regulations applicable to our business.

The foregoing does not represent an exhaustive list of risks that may impact upon the forward-looking statements used herein or in the documents incorporated by reference herein. Please see “Risk Factors” for additional risks which could adversely impact our business and financial performance and related forward-looking statements.

Moreover, new risks regularly emerge and it is not possible for our management to predict all risks, nor can we assess the impact of all risks on our business or the extent to which any risk, or combination of risks, may cause actual results to differ from those contained in any forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements included in this Report are based on information available to us on the date hereof. Except to the extent required by applicable laws or rules, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements contained throughout this Report and the documents we have filed with the SEC.

**PART I**

**Item 1. Description of Business.**

**Overview**

*Our Business*

We are a biopharmaceutical company that is seeking to discover, develop and commercialize innovative therapeutics for patients with certain cancers. Our preliminary focus is on the development of therapies for skin, lung and prostate cancers in the U.S. market. Our proposed therapy is based upon the use of a patented formulation of the currently marketed anti-fungal drug itraconazole known as SUBATM-Itraconazole.

Following a meeting between our management and representatives of the United States Food and Drug Administration (or FDA) in August 2014, we submitted an Investigational New Drug (or IND) application in November 2014 for the use of our product candidate to treat basal cell carcinoma in patients with Gorlin Syndrome, a genetic disease also known as Basal Cell Carcinoma Nevus Syndrome, which, among other conditions, causes the chronic formation of basal cell tumors. Our IND application was cleared by the FDA in December 2014, and as such, we expect to commence patient recruiting during the first quarter of 2015 for a Phase II(b) clinical trial studying the safety and efficacy of the SUBA-Itraconazole formulation to determine how well it reduces basal cell carcinoma tumor burden in patients with Gorlin Syndrome. We expect to report results during the second half of 2015 in patients who continue treatment under our open-label protocol. Thereafter, we intend going forward to file individualized clinical trial protocols to expand the study of SUBA-Itraconazole for additional target cancer indications.

We have developed, licensed and are seeking to acquire and/or license, intellectual property and know-how related to the treatment of cancer patients using itraconazole and have applied for patents to cover our inventions. We have exclusive rights in the U.S. to develop and to commercialize SUBA-Itraconazole Capsules for the treatment of human cancer via oral administration. SUBA-Itraconazole was developed and is licensed to us by our manufacturing partner and significant shareholder Mayne Pharma Ventures Pty Ltd. and its affiliates (which we refer to herein as Mayne Pharma) under a Supply and License Agreement, originally dated September 3, 2013 and most recently amended and restated on June 24, 2014. We refer to this agreement herein as the Supply and License Agreement. Mayne Pharma is an Australian specialty pharmaceutical company that develops and manufactures branded and generic products, which it distributes directly or through distribution partners and also provides contract development and manufacturing services. In addition to being our licensor and supply partner, under the Supply and License Agreement and related agreements, Mayne Pharma holds a significant minority equity stake in our company and holds important rights with respect to our company, such as the right to appoint a member to our Board of Directors. In addition, we expect to obtain a sublicense from Mayne Pharma to rights for certain patents regarding the use of itraconazole as a cancer treatment.

“SUBATM technology” (which stands for “super bioavailability”) is designed to improve the bioavailability of orally administered drugs that are poorly soluble. In studies conducted by Mayne Pharma relating to SUBA-Itraconazole’s anti-fungal application, SUBA-Itraconazole has demonstrated improved absorption and significantly reduced variability compared to the branded and generic forms of itraconazole in human studies. We believe this technology is well-suited for the exploration of the potential anti-cancer effects of itraconazole.

Based on existing scientific (including in vitro, animal and human studies) data, we believe that itraconazole affects the Hedgehog signaling pathway in cells, a major regulator of many fundamental cellular processes, which will in turn impact the development and growth of certain cancers. Itraconazole appears to have notable anti-cancer effects by one or more independent or synergistic mechanisms, some of which are not clearly understood and continue to be the subject of on-going research. These anti-cancer effects have been demonstrated in various animal models and subsequently in human studies conducted by clinicians and investigators at leading research institutions over the last few years, all of which are the basis of our interest in the clinical development of itraconazole for treatment of human cancers.

Our regulatory strategy is driven by the so called 505(b)(2) regulatory pathway, under which a drug (in our case, itraconazole) that has already been approved for use in humans in the United States by the FDA is developed for one or more new medical indications (in our case, as an anti-cancer agent). Due to the history of safe and efficacious use of itraconazole in humans for anti-fungal applications, we believe the 505(b)(2) pathway will be available to us, which may create the potential for significantly reducing the risk and time to achieve FDA approval of our cancer therapy.

## Table of Contents

### *Pre-Bankruptcy and Emergence from Bankruptcy*

Our predecessor, Commonwealth Biotechnologies, Inc. (which we refer to as CBI), was founded as a Virginia corporation in 1992, and completed an initial public offering in October 1997. Its business model was providing, on a contract basis, specialized life sciences services to the pharmaceutical and biotechnology sector.

On January 20, 2011, CBI filed a voluntary petition in the Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Virginia seeking relief under the provisions of Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code (or the Bankruptcy Code). The Chapter 11 case was captioned *In re Commonwealth Biotechnologies, Inc.*, Case No. 11-30381-KRH. On January 4, 2013, CBI filed an Amended Plan of Reorganization (or the Plan) with the Bankruptcy Court. The Plan was approved by a vote of creditors and CBI stockholders on March 21, 2013. Hedgepath, LLC, a Florida limited liability company and a significant stockholder of our company of which our current Executive Chairman acts as manager, was the winning bidder for CBI (which is sometimes referred to herein as HPPI in its capacity as the reorganized company, after giving effect to the consummation of the transactions contemplated by the reincorporation merger and acquisition described below). CBI received an auction fee of \$30,000 from Hedgepath, LLC in addition to an agreement to contribute certain assets related to our current business of commercializing innovative therapeutics for patients with cancer using the approved pharmaceutical itraconazole (which we refer to as the Itra Business Opportunity), as further described below.

On March 29, 2013, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order confirming the Plan pursuant to Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, and on April 17, 2013, CBI issued a press release announcing the effectiveness of such confirmation order.

Under the terms of the Plan, and pursuant to a Contribution Agreement, dated August 13, 2013, Hedgepath, LLC contributed and assigned to HPPI certain assets relating to the Itra Business Opportunity, as the reorganized debtor, in exchange for 90% of fully diluted voting equity in HPPI (in the form of newly issued Series A Preferred Stock) on the date of issuance, with the prior stockholders of CBI retaining approximately 10% voting equity in HPPI, represented by 100% of HPPI's issued and outstanding shares of Common Stock. As the elements of the Plan have been implemented (including the payment in full of all company creditors), HPPI formally closed CBI's bankruptcy case on September 20, 2013.

The assets contributed to our company by Hedgepath, LLC related to the Itra Business Opportunity consisted of the following:

- (i) U.S. Provisional Patent Application 61-813,122, "Prostate-Specific Antigen as Biomarker for Hedgehog Pathway Inhibitor Treatment and Prognostic Monitoring of Prostate Cancer" (previously assigned to Hedgepath, LLC by Dr. Frank E. O'Donnell, Jr. (our current executive chairman) and Nicholas J. Virca (our current president and chief executive officer), as inventors);
- (ii) U.S. Provisional Patent Application 61-813,823, "Treatment and Prognostic Monitoring of Cancer Using Hedgehog Pathway Inhibitors" (previously assigned to Hedgepath, LLC by Dr. Frank E. O'Donnell, Jr. and Nicholas J. Virca, as inventors);
- (iii) Assignment of Patents, dated November 1, 2012, by Dr. Frank E. O'Donnell, Jr. in favor of Hedgepath, LLC;
- (iv) Assignment of Patents, dated November 1, 2012, by Nicholas J. Virca in favor of Hedgepath, LLC;
- (v) Consulting Agreement, dated and effective as of September 1, 2012, by and between HPPI (as successor to Hedgepath, LLC) and Emmanuel Antonarakis, MD ("Antonarakis").
- (vi) Confidentiality and Intellectual Property Assignment Agreement, dated and effective September 1, 2012, between Antonarakis and HPPI (as successor to Hedgepath, LLC), which includes all intellectual property, know-how and other assets assigned to Hedgepath, LLC by Antonarakis under such agreement.
- (vii) Consulting Agreement, effective as of April 11, 2013, by and between Hedgepath, LLC and Arianne Consulting, Inc. ("Arianne"); and
- (viii) Confidentiality and Intellectual Property Assignment Agreement, dated and effective April 11, 2013, between Arianne and Hedgepath, LLC, which includes all intellectual property, know-how and other assets assigned to Hedgepath, LLC by Arianne under such agreement.

The Contribution Agreement was entered into to carry out the purposes and intent of the Plan filed by CBI and confirmed by the Bankruptcy Court in connection with the Chapter 11 case.

---

## **Table of Contents**

As part of the Contribution Agreement, we have agreed to issue to a third party service provider a number of restricted shares of our Common Stock to be determined based on the valuation of the shares to be issued to purchasers in connection with our planned \$5 million offering of securities as described in the Plan in payment for a \$52,500 claim. Such shares of Common Stock are to be issued to such service provider within five (5) business days of the final determination of such valuation (as memorialized in the final transaction documentation for such offering).

On August 12, 2013, CBI consummated a short-form reincorporation merger with and into HPPI, its wholly-owned Delaware subsidiary, pursuant to which CBI changed its name to "HedgePath Pharmaceuticals, Inc." and became reincorporated as a Delaware corporation.

On August 13, 2013, HPPI and Hedgepath LLC consummated the transactions contemplated by the Contribution Agreement, including the acquisition of Itra Business Opportunity assets, as contemplated by the Plan.

Prior to such transactions, CBI was a shell company, as defined in Rule 12b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, having been subject to bankruptcy proceedings and with no operations. CBI formally emerged from Chapter 11 bankruptcy following the consummation of such transactions, which satisfied the final condition to effectiveness of the Plan.

### **The Hedgehog Pathway**

The Hedgehog signaling pathway is a major regulator of many fundamental cellular processes in vertebrates, including primarily at the embryonic stage of development but also as it relates to stem cell maintenance, cell differentiation, tissue polarity and cell proliferation. Based on published research, we believe that inhibiting the Hedgehog pathway could delay or possibly prevent the development of certain cancers in patients. Research has shown that activation of the Hedgehog pathway can lead to the formation of cancerous tumors (a process known as tumorigenesis) such as the most common form of skin cancer known as basal cell carcinoma. A variety of other human cancers, including brain, gastrointestinal, lung, breast and prostate cancers, also demonstrate inappropriate activation of this pathway. Hedgehog signaling from the tumor to the surrounding cell structures has been shown to sometimes promote further tumorigenesis as well. This pathway has also been shown to regulate proliferation of cancer stem cells and to increase tumor invasiveness.

We believe that the targeted inhibition of Hedgehog signaling may be effective in the treatment and prevention of many types of human cancers. We also believe that the discovery and synthesis of specific Hedgehog pathway inhibitors may have significant clinical implications regarding the development of novel cancer therapies. Several synthetic Hedgehog antagonists are now being studied, some of which are undergoing clinical evaluation. The orally available compound, GDC-0449 (vismodegib, developed by Genentech, Inc., a subsidiary of Roche), is the first Hedgehog inhibitor based-therapy that has been approved for treatment of advanced stages of basal cell carcinoma by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration.

### **Repurposing Itraconazole for Treating Cancer**

We are implementing clinical and regulatory plans to enable the repurposing of itraconazole, via the use of the new formulation of SUBA-Itraconazole oral capsules, for the treatment of a variety of cancers. This strategy is intended to significantly reduce the risk and time to potential FDA approvals for marketing in the United States. Initial target applications include therapies for skin, lung and prostate cancers, among others.

Itraconazole appears to have notable anti-cancer effects by one or more independent or synergistic mechanisms, some of which are not clearly understood and continue to be the subject of ongoing research. These anti-cancer effects have been demonstrated in various animal models and, subsequently in human studies over the last few years, all of which are the basis of our interest in the clinical development of SUBA-Itraconazole for treatment of human cancers.

We believe that our development of SUBA-Itraconazole as an anti-cancer therapy may lead to its use as an inhibitor of the Hedgehog pathway, thereby retarding the progression of cancer.

In animal models, itraconazole has demonstrated an anti-angiogenic effect (i.e., inhibiting the formation of new blood vessels), which may be important in controlling the proliferation of cancerous cells and tumors in humans based upon its interaction with certain cell-based growth factors. Itraconazole also appears to induce changes related to the mTOR pathway, an important regulator of cell growth, proliferation and survival which, when unregulated, can also lead to cancer.

We believe that the use of SUBA-Itraconazole to treat each of our target cancer patient populations has the potential to benefit from various FDA programs designed to expedite the approval process.

## Table of Contents

### *Basal Cell Carcinoma*

SUBA-Itraconazole may offer a significant alternative therapy to Genentech's drug, vismodegib, for treatment of basal cell carcinoma (known as BCC). Vismodegib is the first FDA-approved Hedgehog inhibitor based-therapy, yet has many reported toxicities and is associated with serious side effects that result in suspension of chronic dosing. As a result, basal cell tumors reoccur and patients are faced with the choice of returning to vismodegib therapy or, if possible, surgical alternatives. The SUBA-Itraconazole formulation of itraconazole may prove to be a more acceptable therapy for a larger number of patients or considered as a therapy which could easily be alternated with vismodegib, especially for patients who cannot endure vismodegib side-effects for extended periods or treatment. Additionally, recent reports indicate that vismodegib has led to resistance in some BCC patients, so use of SUBA-Itraconazole as an alternative therapy in this sub-population of patients could prove to be very useful for long term oral drug therapy. SUBA-Itraconazole treatment of patients with Gorlin Syndrome (a genetic disease which causes chronic BCC tumors) may qualify for orphan drug status, an FDA designation that expedites review of drugs for the treatment of diseases that have relatively small patient populations.

### *Lung Cancer*

Patients with advanced non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer (most often caused by cigarette smoking) have few options when considering therapies to extend survival. With a median survival of only 8-10 months while on approved chemotherapy regimens, we believe that new therapies are needed. We believe that the pre-clinical data and recently reported human data on the use of itraconazole in conjunction with chemotherapy reflects positively on the use of itraconazole as an anti-cancer therapy for this form of lung cancer. If these data prove to be applicable to human treatment by improving survival, while dosing SUBA-Itraconazole in combination with first-line chemotherapy therapy (the combination of chemotherapy drugs Pemetrexed and Cisplatin), the treatment may qualify for one or more FDA accelerated programs, such as a breakthrough therapy or fast track status.

### *Prostate Cancer*

Itraconazole has already been tested as a treatment for men with metastatic castrate resistant prostate cancer in a multi-institutional Phase II trial led by Johns Hopkins University and completed in 2011 and published in 2013, which showed that, at a specified dose, there was a significant correlation to slowing the progression of cancer and extending survival. Based on those encouraging results in metastatic disease, we are planning to test SUBA- Itraconazole in high-risk men with non-metastatic prostate cancer (who are castrate resistant, either based upon drug therapy or surgery) to study the effect of itraconazole therapy in delaying metastases. There is no currently approved drug therapy for these patients and yet they are treated with drugs designed for metastatic disease on an "off-label" basis. We believe this is a significant opportunity for us since we are offering a non-toxic, non-androgen dependent small molecule therapy to a very large population of patients. Therapy with SUBA-Itraconazole may offer great promise for delaying the use of, and associated side-effects due to, those Androgen Deprivation Therapy (ADT) Drugs which are formulated to lower testosterone levels but are intended for metastatic disease treatment.

## **Our Potential Market**

The following table depicts our current estimate of the total available market opportunity for our proposed anti-cancer therapies based upon independent market research, scientific and industry publications and management's knowledge of the U.S. oncology market. Our estimates (including estimated product pricing) are based on current assumptions and are subject to change.

### **HedgePath Pharmaceuticals, Inc. – Summary U.S. Market Opportunity**

<b>Cancer</b>	<b>Therapy Indication</b>	<b>Potential for SUBA-Itraconazole</b>	<b>Target Patient Population</b>	<b>U.S. Total Available Market</b>
<b>Skin(1)</b>	Patients with BCC (basal cell carcinoma) lesions First indication: BCC tumors in Gorlin Syndrome Patients requiring surgery Follow-on Indication: Patients with BCC facial lesions pending MOHs or other surgical procedures	Less toxic therapy than vismodegib for Gorlin Patients to delay surgeries; low toxicity therapy to delay or minimize surgical intervention for facial BCC tumors	10,000 Gorlin patients needing chronic BCC therapy; 65,000 BCC patients pending surgical treatment for facial tumors that require excision and potential plastic surgery	\$300M for Gorlin patients and \$600M for patients with BCC facial lesions requiring surgery based upon HedgePath estimates of ~ \$4K-\$5K monthly cost of therapy for target populations



## Table of Contents

<u>Cancer</u>	<u>Therapy Indication</u>	<u>Potential for SUBA-Itraconazole</u>	<u>Target Patient Population</u>	<u>U.S. Total Available Market</u>
<b>Lung</b> (2)	Patients with advanced non-squamous cell, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) who will be placed on Cisplatin/Pemetrexed IV Therapy	Improve the current median 8-10 month survival achieved with best supportive care	56,000 men and women with late-stage disease on chemotherapy treatment	\$1.7 B based on HedgePath estimates of ~ \$4K-\$5K monthly cost of therapy
<b>Prostate</b> (3)	Patients with non metastatic castrate resistant prostate cancer (NMCRPC) and rising PSA levels on "off-label" androgen deprivation therapy (ADT)	Delay the progression to metastatic disease while preventing or reducing the use of ADT and its associated side-effects	45,000 high-risk men with prostate cancer which may lead to metastases of the bone	\$1.5B based on HedgePath estimates of ~ \$4K-\$5K monthly cost of therapy

### References:

- (1) *J Am Academy Dermatology, 2006; Skin Cancer Foundation, 2009; International Medicine News, 2011; Seeking Alpha, 2012; BCCNS Support Organization 2014*
- (2) *STATS MGU, 2009; Global Industry Analysts, 2010; BMC Health Services, 2011; World Health Organization, 2011; Cost of Treating Lung Cancer, 2012; National Center for Biotechnology Information, 2012*
- (3) *J. Urology, 2003; Oncology, 2004; J. Clinical Oncology, 2011; Medscape, 2012; Landes Bioscience, 2012*

### Our Strategy

Our goal is to be a leader in the development and commercialization of SUBA-Itraconazole-based therapeutics for the treatment of cancer patients. We believe that we can accomplish this goal by implementing the following key elements of our business strategy:

- *Rapidly Advance the Clinical Development of Our Therapies.* With the history of safe use of itraconazole in humans for anti-fungal indications, we bypassed each of the required pre-clinical animal studies for toxicity and Phase I human trials to establish safety, and therefore are able to move directly into Phase II human trials. We filed an IND to test SUBA-Itraconazole for the treatment of basal cell carcinoma in patients with Gorlin Syndrome, and the IND was cleared by FDA for human testing as of late December 2014. As a result, we will begin recruiting patients for a Phase II(b) trial during the first quarter of 2015. Thereafter we intend to file individualized clinical protocols to expand the study of SUBA-Itraconazole for additional target cancer indications.
- *Seek FDA Programs to Expedite Drug Approvals.* The FDA has various programs intended to facilitate and expedite development and review of new drugs to address unmet medical needs in the treatment of serious or life-threatening conditions. These expedited programs help ensure that therapies for serious conditions are available as soon as it can be concluded that the therapies' benefits justify their risks, taking into account the seriousness of the condition and the availability of alternative treatments. These programs include breakthrough therapy designation, fast track designation, accelerated approval, and priority review. We believe that SUBA-Itraconazole for the treatment of cancer may qualify for one of these designations, which could help expedite the regulatory review process.
- *Commercialize and Market with Exclusivity.* We are currently preparing for the clinical testing of SUBA-Itraconazole for treatment of basal cell carcinoma in an initial Phase II(b) trial for patients with Gorlin Syndrome, in order to later seek FDA approval based upon its efficacy for this new indication. We have developed specific clinical trial designs to address different forms of cancer in order to pursue New Drug Application (or NDA) approvals for multiple indications. Further, we believe SUBA-Itraconazole can be commercialized in a way that maximizes benefits for cancer patients, based on our specific therapy regimens, while eliminating generic substitution and providing us with market exclusivity protections through our intellectual property rights.

We intend to finance our research and development, commercialization and distribution efforts and our working capital needs primarily through:

- partnering with other pharmaceutical companies to assist in the supply, manufacturing and distribution of our products for which we would expect to receive upfront milestone and royalty payments;

---

## **Table of Contents**

- licensing and joint venture arrangements with third parties, including other pharmaceutical companies where we would receive funding based on out-licensing our product to augment their product profile in the treatment of cancers;
- receiving government or private foundation grants or loans which would be awarded to us to further develop our current and future anti-cancer therapies; and
- securing proceeds from public and private financings and other strategic transactions.

## **Background on Cancer**

Cancer is a heterogeneous group of diseases characterized by uncontrolled cell division and growth. Cancerous cells that arise in the lymphatic system and bone marrow are referred to as hematological tumors. Cancer cells that arise in other tissues or organs are referred to as solid tumors. Researchers believe that exposure to some chemicals, viruses and various forms of radiation can cause genetic alterations that cause cancer. Genetic predispositions also can increase the risk of cancer in some people.

Cancer is the second leading cause of death in the United States, exceeded only by heart disease. The American Cancer Society estimates that in 2013 there were approximately 1.6 million new cases of cancer and approximately 580,000 deaths from cancer in the United States.

The most common methods of treating patients with cancer are surgery, radiation and drug therapy. A cancer patient often receives treatment with a combination of these methods. Surgery and radiation therapy are particularly effective in patients in whom the disease is localized (not spread beyond the initial site of disease). Physicians generally use systemic drug therapies in situations in which the cancer has spread beyond the primary site or cannot otherwise be treated through surgery. The goal of drug therapy is to damage and kill cancer cells or to interfere with the molecular and cellular processes that control the development, growth and survival of cancer cells or tumors. In many cases, drug therapy entails the administration of several different drugs in combination. Over the past several decades, drug therapy has evolved from non-specific drugs that damage both healthy and cancerous cells, to drugs that target specific molecular pathways involved in cancer and more recently to therapeutics that target the specific oncogenic “drivers” of cancer.

*Cytotoxic Chemotherapies.* The earliest approach to pharmacological cancer treatment was to develop drugs, referred to as cytotoxic drugs, which kill rapidly proliferating cancer cells through non-specific mechanisms, such as disrupting cell metabolism or causing damage to cellular components required for survival and rapid growth. While these kinds of drugs have been effective in the treatment of some cancers, many unmet medical needs for the treatment of cancer remain. Also, cytotoxic drug therapies act in an indiscriminate manner, acting upon the metabolism of healthy as well as cancerous cells. Due to their mechanism of action, many cytotoxic drugs have a narrow dose range above which the toxicity causes unacceptable or even fatal levels of damage and below which the drugs are not effective in eradicating cancer cells.

*Targeted Therapies.* The next approach to pharmacological cancer treatment was to develop drugs, referred to as targeted therapeutics, that target specific biological molecules in the human body that play a role in rapid cell growth and the spread of cancer. Targeted therapeutics include vascular disruptors, also referred to as angiogenesis inhibitors, which prevent the formation of new blood vessels and restrict a tumor’s blood supply. Other targeted therapies affect cellular signaling pathways that are critical for the growth of cancer. While these drugs have been effective in the treatment of some cancers, most do not address the underlying cause of the disease. These drugs focus on inhibiting processes that help the cancer cell survive, but not the oncogenes that are the drivers or cause of the cancer itself.

*Oncogenic Therapies.* A more recent approach to pharmacological cancer treatment is to develop drugs that affect the drivers that cause uncontrolled growth of cancer cells because of a specific genetic alteration. In some cases, these agents were identified as therapeutics without knowledge of the underlying genetic change causing the disease. To date, the shortcoming of this research approach has been that it often follows a conventional trial and error approach to drug discovery. In this approach, clinical development involves the treatment of large populations from which a defined subpopulation that responds to treatment is identified. As a result, this approach can be time-consuming and costly, with success often uncertain. Another major concern of these newly discovered drugs, some of which have been recently approved, is that resistance to them occurs as the cancer finds new ways to circumvent the genetic pathway.

## **The Itraconazole Approach to Treating Cancer**

We are focusing our developments on Hedgehog pathway inhibitor therapeutics for patients with certain cancers, including skin, lung and prostate cancers. Our initial product candidate is a new formulation of itraconazole, which is based upon new drug

## Table of Contents

delivery technology that enhances its bioavailability. Previous formulations of itraconazole have exhibited anti-cancer properties in human trials and therefore, based on pre-clinical research regarding specific indicators of Hedgehog pathway inhibition, we believe have compelling evidence of being potential Hedgehog inhibitors for treatment of cancer in humans. We have obtained exclusive U.S. rights to use and develop SUBA-Itraconazole, a patented, more bioavailable formulation of the currently marketed drug itraconazole, which we have licensed from Mayne Pharma through an exclusive Supply and License Agreement.

*Background of Itraconazole.* Itraconazole is FDA approved for and used to treat serious fungal or yeast infections. This medicine works by killing the fungus or yeast and preventing its growth. Itraconazole is a prescription based medication, available as an IV solution, oral liquid, capsule or tablet.

*Cancer and Hedgehog Inhibitors.* The Hedgehog (also known as Hh) proteins comprise a group of secreted proteins that regulate cell growth, differentiation and survival. They are involved in organogenesis (the formation of organs), and have been shown to promote adult stem cell proliferation. Inappropriate activation of the Hh signaling pathway has been implicated in the development of several types of cancers including prostate, lung, pancreas, breast, brain and skin. Hedgehog pathway inhibitors are a relatively new class of therapeutic agents that act by targeting the proteins involved in the regulation of the Hh pathway. Many of these newly discovered inhibitors are currently undergoing preclinical testing and some have entered clinical studies as anti-cancer agents for a variety of cancers. Vismodegib was approved for treatment of locally advanced and metastatic basal cell carcinoma in early 2012.

Similarly, itraconazole has also been shown to suppress growth of brain tumors in animal models. It has also been shown to have anti-cancer effects in basal cell carcinoma, lung cancer and prostate cancer in human clinical trials. Itraconazole acts as a SMO (a protein receptor of the Hh pathway) antagonist (blocker), in a manner distinct from its anti-fungal activity which targets a compound found in fungi and yeast known as ergosterol (a steroid found in the cell walls of fungi and yeast that functions in a fashion similar to cholesterol in humans) as well as having anti-angiogenic properties.

## **Intellectual Property**

We strive to protect the intellectual property that we believe will be important to our business, including seeking our own patent protection (or seeking licenses to patents) intended to cover the composition of matter of our product candidate, its methods of use, related technology and other inventions that are important to our business. We have acquired from Hedgepath, LLC the following two provisional patents related to Hedgehog pathway inhibitors via an assignment of patents underlying these provisional patents from each Dr. Frank E. O'Donnell, Jr. our executive chairman and director, and Nicholas J. Virca, our president, chief executive officer:

- U.S. Provisional Patent Application 61-813,122, "Prostate-Specific Antigen as Biomarker for Hedgehog Pathway Inhibitor Treatment and Prognostic Monitoring of Prostate Cancer" (previously assigned to Hedgepath, LLC by Dr. Frank E. O'Donnell, Jr. and Nicholas J. Virca, as inventors).
- U.S. Provisional Patent Application 61-813,823, "Treatment and Prognostic Monitoring of Cancer Using Hedgehog Pathway Inhibitors" (previously assigned to Hedgepath, LLC by Dr. Frank E. O'Donnell, Jr. and Nicholas J. Virca, as inventors). Under United States patent law, a provisional application is a legal document filed in the United States Patent and Trademark Office (or USPTO), that establishes an early filing date, but which does not mature into an issued patent unless the applicant files a regular non-provisional patent application within one year, which we are currently working on. A provisional application includes a specification, i.e. a description, and drawing(s) of an invention but does not require formal patent claims, inventors' oaths or declarations or any information disclosure statement. A provisional application can establish an early effective filing date in one or more continuing patent applications later claiming the priority date of an invention disclosed in earlier provisional applications by one or more of the same inventors.

Additionally, the following Patent Application, filed by Hedgepath, LLC and dated February 5, 2014 was received by the USPTO on March 10, 2014 and also assigned to HPPI:

- US Patent Application Serial # 14/173,588, "Treatment and Prognostic Monitoring of Proliferation Disorders Using Hedgehog Pathway Inhibitors" (assigned to HedgePath Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Dr. Frank E. O'Donnell, Jr and Nicholas J. Virca, as inventors).

We also license the U.S. rights to SUBA-Itraconazole from Mayne Pharma as described below, and we will also rely on trade secrets and careful monitoring of our proprietary information to protect aspects of our business that are not amenable to, or that we do not consider appropriate for, patent protection.

---

## **Table of Contents**

Our viability as a company (including our ability to test, develop and ultimately commercialize SUBA-Itraconazole for the treatment of cancer) will depend significantly on our ability to obtain and maintain patent and other proprietary protection for commercially important technology, methods, inventions and know-how related to our business, defend and enforce our patents, maintain our licenses to use intellectual property owned by third parties, preserve the confidentiality of our trade secrets and operate without infringing the valid and enforceable patents and other proprietary rights of third parties. We also will rely on know-how, continuing technological innovation and in-licensing opportunities to develop, strengthen, and maintain our proprietary position in the field of anti-cancer therapy.

A third party may hold intellectual property, including patent rights, which are important or necessary to the development of our products or therapies. It may be necessary for us to use the patented or proprietary technology of third parties to commercialize our products or therapies, in which case we would be required to obtain a license from these third parties on commercially reasonable terms, or our business could be harmed, possibly materially. For example, some of the possible formulations of itraconazole include components covered by patents held by third parties. Although we believe that licenses to these patents are available from these third parties on commercially reasonable terms, if we were not able to obtain a license, or were not able to obtain a license on commercially reasonable terms, our business could be harmed, possibly materially.

We also plan to continue to expand our intellectual property estate by filing patent applications directed to dosage forms, methods of treatment, therapies for other cancers and additional Hedgehog inhibitor compounds and their derivatives.

### **Manufacturing and Product Supply and Relationship with Mayne Pharma**

We are in the early stages of development and thus we do not have any production facilities or manufacturing personnel. We currently have a Supply and License Agreement in place with Mayne Pharma for the patented formulation of itraconazole, SUBA-Itraconazole. The agreement provides for the supply to HPPI of specially formulated capsules of SUBA-Itraconazole, manufactured by Mayne Pharma under cGMP (current good manufacturing practice) standards, for use by HPPI in its anticipated clinical trials, including the pending trial for basal cell carcinoma in patients with Gorlin Syndrome which has been cleared by FDA in December 2014 to begin during 2015, and for the future exclusive commercial supply following FDA approvals, if obtained.

Pursuant to the Supply and License Agreement, which was originally entered into on September 3, 2013 and most recently amended and restated on June 24, 2014, Mayne Pharma is obligated to: (i) supply us with its patented formulation of SUBA-Itraconazole in a particular dose formulation for the treatment of human patients with cancer via oral administration (with the initial areas of investigation being skin, lung and prostate cancers) in the United States, (ii) provide us with an exclusive license to perform specified development activities and to commercialize SUBA-Itraconazole for the treatment of cancer via oral administration in the United States and (iii) participate in a joint development committee (or JDC) with us to clinically develop SUBA-Itraconazole for the treatment of cancer in the United States. The Supply and License Agreement may be terminated by Mayne Pharma if we fail to achieve regulatory approval to commercialize SUBA-Itraconazole in the U.S. by March 31, 2017, if we breach any provision of our June 2014 Equity Holders Agreement or Securities Purchase Agreement with Mayne Pharma (as further described in the "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" section of this document), if we materially breach the Supply and License Agreement and do not cure such breach within a specified time period, or if either party files for bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings.

Pursuant to the Supply and License Agreement, we will develop and exploit SUBA-Itraconazole through a development plan which will be authorized by the JDC and updated as necessary. We cannot make changes to the development plan without Mayne Pharma's consent. The license granted to us under the Supply and License Agreement may only be assigned or sub-licensed with the prior approval of Mayne Pharma. In addition, in support of the exclusive nature of the Supply and License Agreement, during the term, Mayne Pharma is prohibited from directly or indirectly importing, promoting, marketing, distributing or selling SUBA-Itraconazole for the treatment of cancer in the United States. If any other form of the SUBA-Itraconazole manufactured by Mayne Pharma is sold as a result of any non-promoted use, we shall be entitled to a royalty on such non-promoted sales. Further, during the term of and for a period following the term of the Supply and License Agreement, we may not develop products that are competitive with SUBA-Itraconazole for the treatment of cancer. Under the Supply and License Agreement, we are responsible for obtaining all of our requirements for SUBA-Itraconazole from Mayne Pharma, including for use in clinical trials, importation, promotion, marketing, sale and distribution in the United States. We and Mayne Pharma have established certain minimum floor prices that we must pay per unit of SUBA-Itraconazole and minimum order quantities for SUBA-Itraconazole. In addition, the agreement provides for certain annual minimum order quantities for SUBA-Itraconazole, and, if such quantities are not met, we must pay the shortfall or Mayne Pharma may terminate the agreement. In addition, we expect to gain access via sublicense to certain patents relating to itraconazole as a cancer treatment.

On June 24, 2014, we and Mayne Pharma, along with Nicholas J. Virca, our President and Chief Executive Officer, Frank E. O'Donnell, Jr., M.D., our Executive Chairman, and Hedgepath, LLC, a Florida limited liability company and the then majority stockholder of our company which is controlled by Black Robe Capital LLC, of which Dr. O'Donnell is the manager, consummated a

## Table of Contents

series of related transactions to fulfill certain conditions of the Supply and License Agreement. In connection therewith, we and Mayne Pharma entered into an Amended and Restated Supply and License Agreement. In addition, on June 24, 2014, in fulfillment of one of the conditions under the Supply and License Agreement, we entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with Mayne Pharma (which we refer to as the Mayne Purchase Agreement). Pursuant to the terms of the Mayne Purchase Agreement, we issued to Mayne Pharma (i) 258,363,280 shares of our Series A Preferred Stock, and (ii) a warrant to purchase 10,250,569 shares of our common stock. The shares of Series A Preferred Stock converted into 87,843,897 shares of common stock on August 14, 2014. Such warrant has an exercise price of \$0.0878 per share and may be exercised at any time, from time to time, by Mayne Pharma prior to the expiration on June 24, 2019. As a result of the Mayne Purchase Agreement, Mayne Pharma owns approximately 38% of our equity securities on a fully diluted basis. See “Certain Relationships and Related Transactions” for further information. In addition, we entered into an Equity Holders Agreement with Mayne Pharma which is discussed further in “Certain Relationships and Related Transactions”.

## **Sales and Marketing**

We are in the early stages of development and thus have not yet established a sales, marketing or product distribution infrastructure because our product candidate is still in clinical development. We may either license commercialization rights to our product candidate to larger third party partners, who will be responsible for sales, distribution and marketing efforts, or we may (assuming adequate resources are available) retain commercial rights for our product candidate, in which case we would seek to access the oncology market through a focused, specialized sales force of our own or in conjunction with a marketing partner under a co-promotion agreement.

## **Competition**

The pharmaceutical industry is highly competitive and subject to rapid and substantial regulatory and technological changes. Developments by others may render our itraconazole therapies, or any proposed product candidates and formulations under development, non-competitive or obsolete, or we may be unable to keep pace with anti-cancer therapy developments or other market factors. Anti-cancer therapy competition from pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies, universities, governmental entities and others diversifying into the field is intense and is expected to increase.

Below are some examples of companies seeking to develop potentially competitive anti-cancer therapies or related products, though the examples are not all-inclusive. Many of these entities have significantly greater research and development capabilities than do we, as well as substantially more marketing, manufacturing, financial and managerial resources. These entities represent significant competition for us. In addition, acquisitions of, or investments in, competing pharmaceutical or biotechnology companies by large corporations could increase such competitors’ research, financial, marketing, manufacturing and other resources. Such potential competitive anti-cancer therapies may ultimately prove to be safer, more effective or less costly than any product candidates that we are currently developing or may be able to develop. Additionally, our competitive position may be materially affected by our ability to develop or commercialize our drugs and technologies before any such competitor. Other external factors may also impact the ability of our products to meet expectations or effectively compete, including pricing pressures, healthcare reform and other government interventions.

The chart below lists products or products in development that we believe may compete directly with our proposed SUBA-Itraconazole therapy:

<u>Names</u>	<u>Company</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Status</u>
Taxotere®docetaxel	Sanofi-Aventis	Anti-tumor agent for MCRPC and late-stage NSCLC	Approved 2004; and new generics
Jevtana®cabazitaxel	Sanofi-Aventis	MCRPC following docetaxel failure	Approved 2010
Provenge®sipuleucel-T	Dendreon	Immunotherapy for asymptomatic MCRPC	Approved 2010
Zytiga®abiraterone	Janssen Biotech	Androgen synthesis inhibitor for MCRPC	Approved 2011
Xtandi®enzalutamide	Astellas	Androgen receptor inhibitor for MCRPC previously on docetaxel	Approved 2012
Erivedge®vismodegib	Roche Genentech	Hedgehog inhibitor for advanced BCC and Gorlin Syndrome	Approved 2012
LDE225 - erismodegib	Novartis	Hedgehog inhibitor for advanced BCC and Gorlin Syndrome	Late stage clinical trials

## Table of Contents

<u>Names</u>	<u>Company</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Status</u>
Avastin® bevacizumab	Genentech	Angiogenesis inhibitor for NSCLC except squamous cell lung cancer	Approved for multiple cancers since 2004
Gemzar® gemcitabine	Lilly	Cytotoxic chemotherapy agent for NSCLC in combination with platinum drugs	Approved for multiple cancers since 1996
Trexall® methotrexate	Teva	Antimetabolite therapy to slow cancer cell growth	Approved before 1984
Tarceva® erlotinib		Epidermal growth factor inhibitor treatment for NSCLC - maintenance therapy after chemo or metastatic disease after chemo	Approved in 2013
Xalkori® crizotinib	Pfizer	Selective inhibitor for late-stage NSCLC patients who express the ALK gene	Approved in 2011
Gilotrif® afatinib	Boehringer	NSCLC with mutations in EGFR	Approved 2013
Zykadia® certinib	Novartis	ALK-positive metastatic NSCLC for patients who progressed on Xalkori	Approved 2014

Abbreviations: MCRPC (metastatic castrate resistant prostate cancer), NSCLC (non-small cell lung cancer), BCC (basal cell carcinoma), EGFR (epidermal growth factor receptor).

### **Government Regulation and Product Approval**

Government authorities in the United States, at the federal, state and local level, and in other countries extensively regulate, among other things, the research, development, testing, manufacture, packaging, storage, recordkeeping, labeling, advertising, promotion, distribution, marketing, import and export of pharmaceutical products such as those we are developing. The processes for obtaining regulatory approvals in the United States and in foreign countries, along with subsequent compliance with applicable statutes and regulations, require the expenditure of substantial time and financial resources.

#### *United States Government Regulation*

In the United States, the FDA regulates drugs under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, or FDCA, and its implementing regulations. The process of obtaining regulatory approvals and the subsequent compliance with appropriate federal, state, local and foreign statutes and regulations requires the expenditure of substantial time and financial resources. Failure to comply with the applicable United States requirements at any time during the product development process, approval process or after approval, may subject an applicant to a variety of administrative or judicial sanctions, such as the FDA's refusal to approve pending new drug applications, or NDAs, withdrawal of an approval, imposition of a clinical hold, issuance of warning or untitled letters, product recalls, product seizures, total or partial suspension of production or distribution, injunctions, fines, refusals of government contracts, restitution, disgorgement or civil or criminal penalties.

The process required by the FDA before a drug may be marketed in the United States generally involves the following:

- completion of preclinical laboratory tests, animal studies and formulation studies in compliance with the FDA's good laboratory practice, or GLP, regulations;
- submission to the FDA of an IND which must become effective before human clinical trials may begin;
- approval by an independent institutional review board (or IRB) at each clinical site before each trial may be initiated;
- performance of human clinical trials, including adequate and well-controlled clinical trials, in accordance with good clinical practices, or GCP, to establish the safety and efficacy of the proposed drug product for each indication;
- submission to the FDA of an NDA;
- satisfactory completion of an FDA advisory committee review, if applicable;

## Table of Contents

- satisfactory completion of an FDA inspection of the manufacturing facility or facilities at which the product is produced to assess compliance with current good manufacturing practices (or cGMP) and to assure that the facilities, methods and controls are adequate to preserve the drug's identity, strength, quality and purity, as well as satisfactory completion of an FDA inspection of selected clinical sites to determine GCP compliance; and
- FDA review and approval of the NDA.

*Preclinical Studies.* Preclinical studies include laboratory evaluation of product chemistry, toxicity and formulation, as well as animal studies to assess potential safety and efficacy. An IND sponsor must submit the results of the preclinical tests, together with manufacturing information, analytical data and any available clinical data or literature, among other things, to the FDA as part of an IND. Some preclinical testing may continue even after the IND is submitted. An IND automatically becomes effective 30 days after receipt by the FDA, unless before that time the FDA raises concerns or questions related to one or more proposed clinical trials and places the clinical trial on a clinical hold. In such a case, the IND sponsor and the FDA must resolve any outstanding concerns before the clinical trial can begin. As a result, submission of an IND may not result in the FDA allowing clinical trials to commence.

We have successfully avoided pre-clinical studies or any Phase I studies to demonstrate safety based on the fact that itraconazole has an established history of safe and effective use in humans for anti-fungal indications based upon the fact that human data are already available and published regarding use of itraconazole in humans for anti-cancer indications, such as basal cell carcinoma, lung cancer and prostate cancer, at the Phase II level and the December 2014 clearance of our IND for human testing in a Phase II(b) clinical trial scheduled for 2015.

*Clinical Trials.* Clinical trials involve the administration of the investigational new drug to human subjects under the supervision of qualified investigators in accordance with GCP requirements, which include the requirement that all research subjects provide their informed consent in writing for their participation in any clinical trial. Clinical trials are conducted under protocols detailing, among other things, the objectives of the trial, the parameters to be used in monitoring safety and the effectiveness criteria to be evaluated. A protocol for each clinical trial and any subsequent protocol amendments must be submitted to the FDA as part of the IND. In addition, an IRB (institutional review board) at each institution participating in the clinical trial must review and approve the plan for any clinical trial before it commences at that institution, and the IRB must continue to oversee the clinical trial while it is being conducted. Information about certain clinical trials must be submitted within specific timeframes to the National Institutes of Health, or NIH, for public dissemination on their ClinicalTrials.gov website.

Human clinical trials are typically conducted in three sequential phases, which may overlap or be combined. In Phase I, the drug is initially introduced into healthy human subjects or patients with the target disease or condition and tested for safety, dosage tolerance, absorption, metabolism, distribution, excretion and, if possible, to gain an initial indication of its effectiveness. In Phase II, the drug typically is administered to a limited patient population to identify possible adverse effects and safety risks, to preliminarily evaluate the efficacy of the product for specific targeted diseases and to determine dosage tolerance and optimal dosage. In Phase III, the drug is administered to an expanded patient population, generally at geographically dispersed clinical trial sites, in well-controlled clinical trials to generate enough data to statistically evaluate the efficacy and safety of the product for approval, to establish the overall risk-benefit profile of the product and to provide adequate information for the labeling of the product.

Progress reports detailing the results of the clinical trials must be submitted at least annually to the FDA and more frequently if serious adverse events occur. Phase I, Phase II and Phase III clinical trials may not be completed successfully within any specified period, or at all. Furthermore, the FDA or the sponsor may suspend or terminate a clinical trial at any time on various grounds, including a finding that the research subjects are being exposed to an unacceptable health risk. Similarly, an IRB can suspend or terminate approval of a clinical trial at its institution if the clinical trial is not being conducted in accordance with the IRB's requirements or if the drug has been associated with unexpected serious harm to patients. As mentioned previously, we are moving directly into Phase II trials with SUBA-Itraconazole for our targeted anti-cancer indications based upon the previous, well-established safety profile of itraconazole use in humans for treatment of anti-fungal indications and based upon the previous human data regarding the use of itraconazole for anti-cancer indications such as basal cell carcinoma, lung cancer and prostate cancer and the IND clearance by FDA which occurred in December 2014.

*Marketing Approval.* Assuming successful completion of the required clinical testing, the results of the preclinical and clinical studies, together with detailed information relating to the product's chemistry, manufacture, controls and proposed labeling, among other things, are submitted to the FDA as part of an NDA requesting approval to market the product for one or more indications. In most cases, the submission of an NDA is subject to a substantial application user fee. Under the Prescription Drug User Fee Act (or PDUFA) guidelines that are currently in effect, the FDA has agreed to certain performance goals regarding the timing of its review of an application.

---

## Table of Contents

The FDA also may require submission of a risk evaluation and mitigation strategy (or REMS) plan to mitigate any identified or suspected serious risks. The REMS plan could include medication guides, physician communication plans, assessment plans, and elements to assure safe use, such as restricted distribution methods, patient registries or other risk minimization tools. We believe that a REMS program, which includes intellectual property related to SUBA-Itraconazole and itraconazole, and the specific use of SUBA-Itraconazole for anti-cancer indications, may likely provide additional protection of our proposed therapies from generic substitution.

The FDA conducts a preliminary review of all NDAs within the first 60 days after submission, before accepting them for filing, to determine whether they are sufficiently complete to permit substantive review. The FDA may request additional information rather than accept an NDA for filing. In this event, the application must be resubmitted with the additional information. The resubmitted application is also subject to review before the FDA accepts it for filing. Once the submission is accepted for filing, the FDA begins an in-depth substantive review. The FDA reviews an NDA to determine, among other things, whether the drug is safe and effective and whether the facility in which it is manufactured, processed, packaged or held meets standards designed to assure the product's continued safety, quality and purity.

The FDA typically refers a question regarding a novel drug to an external advisory committee. An advisory committee is a panel of independent experts, including clinicians and other scientific experts, that reviews, evaluates and provides a recommendation as to whether the application should be approved and under what conditions. The FDA is not bound by the recommendations of an advisory committee, but it considers such recommendations carefully when making decisions.

Before approving an NDA, the FDA typically will inspect the facility or facilities where the product is manufactured. The FDA will not approve an application unless it determines that the manufacturing processes and facilities are in compliance with cGMP requirements and adequate to assure consistent production of the product within required specifications. Additionally, before approving an NDA, the FDA will typically inspect one or more clinical trial sites to assure compliance with GCP.

After evaluating the NDA and all related information, including the advisory committee recommendation, if any, and inspection reports regarding the manufacturing facilities and clinical trial sites, the FDA may issue an approval letter, or, in some cases, a complete response letter. A complete response letter generally contains a statement of specific conditions that must be met in order to secure final approval of the NDA and may require additional clinical or preclinical testing in order for FDA to reconsider the application. Even with submission of this additional information, the FDA ultimately may decide that the application does not satisfy the regulatory criteria for approval. If and when those conditions have been met to the FDA's satisfaction, the FDA will typically issue an approval letter. An approval letter authorizes commercial marketing of the drug with specific prescribing information for specific indications. Even if the FDA approves a product, it may limit the approved indications for use of the product, require that contraindications, warnings or precautions be included in the product labeling, including a boxed warning, require that post-approval studies, including Phase 4 clinical trials, be conducted to further assess a drug's safety after approval, require testing and surveillance programs to monitor the product after commercialization, or impose other conditions, including distribution restrictions or other risk management mechanisms under a REMS (Risk Evaluation Mitigation Strategy) which can materially affect the potential market and profitability of the product. The FDA may prevent or limit further marketing of a product based on the results of post-marketing studies or surveillance programs. After approval, some types of changes to the approved product, such as adding new indications, manufacturing changes, and additional labeling claims, are subject to further testing requirements and FDA review and approval.

*Special FDA Expedited Review and Approval Programs.* The FDA has various programs, including fast track designation, accelerated approval, priority review and breakthrough designation, that are intended to expedite or simplify the process for the development and FDA review of drugs that are intended for the treatment of serious or life threatening diseases or conditions and demonstrate the potential to address unmet medical needs. The purpose of these programs is to provide important new drugs to patients earlier than under standard FDA review procedures. To be eligible for a fast track designation, the FDA must determine, based on the request of a sponsor, that a product is intended to treat a serious or life threatening disease or condition and demonstrates the potential to address an unmet medical need. The FDA will determine that a product will fill an unmet medical need if it will provide a therapy where none exists or provide a therapy that may be potentially superior to existing therapy based on efficacy or safety factors.

The FDA may give a priority review designation to drugs that offer major advances in treatment, or provide a treatment where no adequate therapy exists. A priority review means that the goal for the FDA to review an application is six months, rather than the standard review of ten months under current PDUFA guidelines. These six and ten month review periods are measured from the "filing" date rather than the receipt date for NDAs for new molecular entities, which typically adds approximately two months to the timeline for review and decision from the date of submission. Most products that are eligible for fast track designation are also likely to be considered appropriate to receive a priority review.

In addition, products studied for their safety and effectiveness in treating serious or life-threatening illnesses and that provide meaningful therapeutic benefit over existing treatments may receive accelerated approval and may be approved on the basis of



## Table of Contents

adequate and well-controlled clinical trials establishing that the drug product has an effect on a surrogate endpoint that is reasonably likely to predict clinical benefit, or on a clinical endpoint that can be measured earlier than irreversible morbidity or mortality, that is reasonably likely to predict an effect on irreversible morbidity or mortality or other clinical benefit, taking into account the severity, rarity or prevalence of the condition and the availability or lack of alternative treatments. As a condition of approval, the FDA may require a sponsor of a drug receiving accelerated approval to perform post-marketing studies to verify and describe the predicted effect on irreversible morbidity or mortality or other clinical endpoint, and the drug may be subject to accelerated withdrawal procedures.

Moreover, under the provisions of the new Food and Drug Administration Safety and Innovation Act, or FDASIA, enacted in 2012, a sponsor can request designation of a product candidate as a “breakthrough therapy.” A breakthrough therapy is defined as a drug that is intended, alone or in combination with one or more other drugs, to treat a serious or life-threatening disease or condition, and preliminary clinical evidence indicates that the drug may demonstrate substantial improvement over existing therapies on one or more clinically significant endpoints, such as substantial treatment effects observed early in clinical development. Drugs designated as breakthrough therapies are also eligible for accelerated approval. The FDA must take certain actions, such as holding timely meetings and providing advice, intended to expedite the development and review of an application for approval of a breakthrough therapy.

Even if a product qualifies for one or more of these programs, the FDA may later decide that the product no longer meets the conditions for qualification or decide that the time period for FDA review or approval will not be shortened. We believe that we may qualify for one or more of these expedited approvals since our itraconazole anti-cancer therapies offer significant improvements in therapy for all of our targeted anti-cancer indications should they be approved by FDA.

*Post-Approval Requirements.* Drugs manufactured or distributed pursuant to FDA approvals are subject to pervasive and continuing regulation by the FDA, including, among other things, requirements relating to recordkeeping, periodic reporting, product sampling and distribution, advertising and promotion and reporting of adverse experiences with the product. After approval, most changes to the approved product, such as adding new indications or other labeling claims are subject to prior FDA review and approval. There also are continuing, annual user fee requirements for any marketed products and the establishments at which such products are manufactured, as well as new application fees for supplemental applications with clinical data.

The FDA may impose a number of post-approval requirements as a condition of approval of an NDA. For example, the FDA may require post-marketing testing, including Phase IV clinical trials and surveillance to further assess and monitor the product’s safety and effectiveness after commercialization. In addition, drug manufacturers and other entities involved in the manufacture and distribution of approved drugs are required to register their establishments with the FDA and state agencies, and are subject to periodic unannounced inspections by the FDA and these state agencies for compliance with cGMP requirements. Changes to the manufacturing process are strictly regulated and often require prior FDA approval before being implemented. FDA regulations also require investigation and correction of any deviations from cGMP and impose reporting and documentation requirements upon the sponsor and any third party manufacturers that the sponsor may decide to use. Accordingly, manufacturers must continue to expend time, money and effort in the area of production and quality control to maintain cGMP compliance.

Once an approval is granted, the FDA may withdraw the approval if compliance with regulatory requirements and standards is not maintained or if problems occur after the product reaches the market.

Later discovery of previously unknown problems with a product, including adverse events of unanticipated severity or frequency, or with manufacturing processes, or failure to comply with regulatory requirements, may result in mandatory revisions to the approved labeling to add new safety information; imposition of post-market studies or clinical trials to assess new safety risks; or imposition of distribution or other restrictions under a REMS program. Other potential consequences include, among other things:

- restrictions on the marketing or manufacturing of the product, complete withdrawal of the product from the market or product recalls;
- fines, warning letters or holds on post-approval clinical trials;
- refusal of the FDA to approve pending NDAs or supplements to approved NDAs, or suspension or revocation of product license approvals;
- product seizure or detention, or refusal to permit the import or export of products; or
- injunctions or the imposition of civil or criminal penalties.

The FDA strictly regulates marketing, labeling, advertising and promotion of products that are placed on the market. Although physicians, in the practice of medicine, may prescribe approved drugs for unapproved indications, pharmaceutical

---

## Table of Contents

companies generally are required to promote their drug products only for the approved indications and in accordance with the provisions of the approved label. The FDA and other agencies actively enforce the laws and regulations prohibiting the promotion of off-label uses, and a company that is found to have improperly promoted off-label uses may be subject to significant liability.

In addition, the distribution of prescription pharmaceutical products is subject to the Prescription Drug Marketing Act (or PDMA), which regulates the distribution of drugs and drug samples at the federal level, and sets minimum standards for the registration and regulation of drug distributors by the states. Both the PDMA and state laws limit the distribution of prescription pharmaceutical product samples and impose requirements to ensure accountability in distribution.

*Federal and State Fraud and Abuse and Data Privacy and Security Laws and Regulations.* In addition to FDA restrictions on marketing of pharmaceutical products, federal and state fraud and abuse laws restrict business practices in the biopharmaceutical industry. These laws include anti-kickback and false claims laws and regulations as well as data privacy and security laws and regulations.

The federal Anti-Kickback Statute prohibits, among other things, knowingly and willfully offering, paying, soliciting or receiving remuneration to induce or in return for purchasing, leasing, ordering, or arranging for or recommending the purchase, lease, or order of any item or service reimbursable under Medicare, Medicaid or other federal healthcare programs. The term “remuneration” has been broadly interpreted to include anything of value. The Anti-Kickback Statute has been interpreted to apply to arrangements between pharmaceutical manufacturers on one hand and prescribers, purchasers, and formulary managers on the other. Although there are a number of statutory exemptions and regulatory safe harbors protecting some common activities from prosecution, the exemptions and safe harbors are drawn narrowly. Practices that involve remuneration that may be alleged to be intended to induce prescribing, purchases, or recommendations may be subject to scrutiny if they do not qualify for an exemption or safe harbor. Several courts have interpreted the statute’s intent requirement to mean that if any one purpose of an arrangement involving remuneration is to induce referrals of federal healthcare covered business, the statute has been violated.

The reach of the Anti-Kickback Statute was also broadened by the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (or collectively PPACA), which, among other things, amended the intent requirement of the federal Anti-Kickback Statute such that a person or entity no longer needs to have actual knowledge of this statute or specific intent to violate it in order to have committed a violation. In addition, PPACA provides that the government may assert that a claim including items or services resulting from a violation of the federal Anti-Kickback Statute constitutes a false or fraudulent claim for purposes of the civil False Claims Act or the civil monetary penalties statute, which imposes penalties against any person who is determined to have presented or caused to be presented a claim to a federal health program that the person knows or should know is for an item or service that was not provided as claimed or is false or fraudulent. PPACA also created new federal requirements for reporting, by applicable manufacturers of covered drugs, payments and other transfers of value to physicians and teaching hospitals.

The federal False Claims Act prohibits any person from knowingly presenting, or causing to be presented, a false claim for payment to the federal government or knowingly making, using, or causing to be made or used a false record or statement material to a false or fraudulent claim to the federal government. A claim includes “any request or demand” for money or property presented to the U.S. government. Several pharmaceutical and other healthcare companies have been prosecuted under these laws for allegedly providing free product to customers with the expectation that the customers would bill federal programs for the product. Other companies have been prosecuted for causing false claims to be submitted because of the companies’ marketing of products for unapproved, and thus non-reimbursable, uses. The federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (or HIPAA) created new federal criminal statutes that prohibit knowingly and willfully executing a scheme to defraud any healthcare benefit program, including private third party payors and knowingly and willfully falsifying, concealing or covering up a material fact or making any materially false, fictitious or fraudulent statement in connection with the delivery of or payment for healthcare benefits, items or services. Also, many states have similar fraud and abuse statutes or regulations that apply to items and services reimbursed under Medicaid and other state programs, or, in several states, apply regardless of the payor.

In addition, we may be subject to data privacy and security regulation by both the federal government and the states in which we conduct our business. HIPAA, as amended by the Health Information Technology and Clinical Health Act (or HITECH) and its implementing regulations, imposes specified requirements relating to the privacy, security and transmission of individually identifiable health information. Among other things, HITECH makes HIPAA’s privacy and security standards directly applicable to “business associates,” defined as independent contractors or agents of covered entities that receive or obtain protected health information in connection with providing a service on behalf of a covered entity. HITECH also increased the civil and criminal penalties that may be imposed against covered entities, business associates and possibly other persons, and gave state attorneys general new authority to file civil actions for damages or injunctions in federal courts to enforce the federal HIPAA laws and seek attorney’s fees and costs associated with pursuing federal civil actions. In addition, state laws govern the privacy and security of health information in certain circumstances, many of which differ from each other in significant ways and may not have the same effect, thus complicating compliance efforts.

---

## Table of Contents

*Coverage and Reimbursement.* The commercial success of our product candidate and our ability to commercialize any approved product candidate will depend in part on the extent to which governmental authorities, private health insurers and other third party payors provide coverage for and establish adequate reimbursement levels for our therapeutic product candidates and related companion diagnostics. Government health administration authorities, private health insurers and other organizations generally decide which drugs they will pay for and establish reimbursement levels for healthcare. In particular, in the United States, private health insurers and other third party payors often provide reimbursement for products and services based on the level at which the government (through the Medicare or Medicaid programs) provides reimbursement for such treatments. In the United States, government authorities and third party payors are increasingly attempting to limit or regulate the price of medical products and services, particularly for new and innovative products and therapies, which often has resulted in average selling prices lower than they would otherwise be. Further, the increased emphasis on managed healthcare in the United States will put additional pressure on product pricing, reimbursement and usage, which may adversely affect our future product sales and results of operations. These pressures can arise from rules and practices of managed care groups, judicial decisions and governmental laws and regulations related to Medicare, Medicaid and healthcare reform, pharmaceutical reimbursement policies and pricing in general.

Third party payors are increasingly imposing additional requirements and restrictions on coverage and limiting reimbursement levels for medical products. For example, federal and state governments reimburse covered prescription drugs at varying rates generally below average wholesale price. These restrictions and limitations influence the purchase of healthcare services and products. Legislative proposals to reform healthcare or reduce costs under government insurance programs may result in lower reimbursement for our products and product candidates or exclusion of our products and product candidates from coverage. The cost containment measures that healthcare payors and providers are instituting and any healthcare reform could significantly reduce our revenues from the sale of any approved product candidates. We cannot provide any assurances that we will be able to obtain and maintain third party coverage or adequate reimbursement for our product candidate in whole or in part.

*Impact of Healthcare Reform on Coverage, Reimbursement, and Pricing.* The Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 (or MMA) imposed new requirements for the distribution and pricing of prescription drugs for Medicare beneficiaries. Under Part D, Medicare beneficiaries may enroll in prescription drug plans offered by private entities that provide coverage of outpatient prescription drugs. Part D plans include both standalone prescription drug benefit plans and prescription drug coverage as a supplement to Medicare Advantage plans. Unlike Medicare Part A and B, Part D coverage is not standardized. Part D prescription drug plan sponsors are not required to pay for all covered Part D drugs, and each drug plan can develop its own drug formulary that identifies which drugs it will cover and at what tier or level. However, Part D prescription drug formularies must include drugs within each therapeutic category and class of covered Part D drugs, though not necessarily all the drugs in each category or class. Any formulary used by a Part D prescription drug plan must be developed and reviewed by a pharmacy and therapeutic committee. Government payment for some of the costs of prescription drugs may increase demand for any products for which we receive marketing approval. However, any negotiated prices for our future products covered by a Part D prescription drug plan will likely be lower than the prices we might otherwise obtain. Moreover, while the MMA applies only to drug benefits for Medicare beneficiaries, private payors often follow Medicare coverage policy and payment limitations in setting their own payment rates. Any reduction in payment that results from Medicare Part D may result in a similar reduction in payments from non-governmental payors.

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 provides funding for the federal government to compare the effectiveness of different treatments for the same illness. A plan for the research will be developed by the Department of Health and Human Services, the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality and the National Institutes for Health, and periodic reports on the status of the research and related expenditures will be made to Congress. Although the results of the comparative effectiveness studies are not intended to mandate coverage policies for public or private payors, it is not clear what effect, if any, the research will have on the sales of any product, if any such product or the condition that it is intended to treat is the subject of a study. It is also possible that comparative effectiveness research demonstrating benefits in a competitor's product could adversely affect the sales of our product candidates. If third party payors do not consider our product candidates to be cost-effective compared to other available therapies, they may not cover our product candidates, once approved, as a benefit under their plans or, if they do, the level of payment may not be sufficient to allow us to sell our products on a profitable basis.

The United States is considering enacting or has enacted a number of additional legislative and regulatory proposals to change the healthcare system in ways that could affect our ability to sell our products profitably. Among policy makers and payors in the United States and elsewhere, there is significant interest in promoting changes in healthcare systems with the stated goals of containing healthcare costs, improving quality and expanding access. In the United States, the pharmaceutical industry has been a particular focus of these efforts and has been significantly affected by major legislative initiatives, including, most recently, PPACA, which became law in March 2010 and substantially changes the way healthcare is financed by both governmental and private insurers. Among other cost containment measures, the PPACA establishes an annual, nondeductible fee on any entity that manufactures or imports specified branded prescription drugs and biologic agents; a new Medicare Part D coverage gap discount program; and a new formula that increases the rebates a manufacturer must pay under the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program. In the future, there may

---

## [Table of Contents](#)

continue to be additional proposals relating to the reform of the U.S. healthcare system, some of which could further limit the prices we are able to charge for our product candidates, once approved, or the amounts of reimbursement available for our product candidates once they are approved.

### *Exclusivity and Approval of Competing Products*

*Hatch-Waxman Patent Exclusivity.* In seeking approval for a drug through an NDA, applicants are required to list with the FDA each patent with claims that cover the applicant's product or a method of using the product. Upon approval of a drug, each of the patents listed in the application for the drug is then published in the FDA's Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations, commonly known as the Orange Book. Drugs listed in the Orange Book can, in turn, be cited by potential competitors in support of approval of an abbreviated new drug application, or ANDA, or 505(b)(2) NDA.

Generally, an ANDA provides for marketing of a drug product that has the same active ingredients in the same strengths, dosage form and route of administration as the listed drug and has been shown to be bioequivalent through *in vitro* or *in vivo* testing or otherwise to the listed drug. ANDA applicants are not required to conduct or submit results of preclinical or clinical tests to prove the safety or effectiveness of their drug product, other than the requirement for bioequivalence testing. Drugs approved in this way are commonly referred to as "generic equivalents" to the listed drug, and can often be substituted by pharmacists under prescriptions written for the original listed drug. 505(b)(2) NDAs generally are submitted for changes to a previously approved drug product, such as a new dosage form or indication. The 505(b)(2) regulatory pathway may be available for our proposed application of itraconazole as an anti-cancer therapy.

The ANDA or 505(b)(2) NDA applicant is required to certify to the FDA concerning any patents listed for the approved product in the FDA's Orange Book, except for patents covering methods of use for which the ANDA applicant is not seeking approval. Specifically, the applicant must certify with respect to each patent that:

- the required patent information has not been filed;
- the listed patent has expired;
- the listed patent has not expired, but will expire on a particular date and approval is sought after patent expiration; or
- the listed patent is invalid, unenforceable, or will not be infringed by the new product.

Generally, the ANDA or 505(b)(2) NDA cannot be approved until all listed patents have expired, except when the ANDA or 505(b)(2) NDA applicant challenges a listed drug. A certification that the proposed product will not infringe the already approved product's listed patents or that such patents are invalid or unenforceable is called a Paragraph IV certification. If the applicant does not challenge the listed patents or indicate that it is not seeking approval of a patented method of use, the ANDA or 505(b)(2) NDA application will not be approved until all the listed patents claiming the referenced product have expired.

If the ANDA or 505(b)(2) NDA applicant has provided a Paragraph IV certification to the FDA, the applicant must also send notice of the Paragraph IV certification to the NDA and patent holders once the application has been accepted for filing by the FDA. The NDA and patent holders may then initiate a patent infringement lawsuit in response to the notice of the Paragraph IV certification. The filing of a patent infringement lawsuit within 45 days after the receipt of notice of the Paragraph IV certification automatically prevents the FDA from approving the ANDA or 505(b)(2) NDA until the earlier of 30 months, expiration of the patent, settlement of the lawsuit or a decision in the infringement case that is favorable to the ANDA applicant.

*Hatch-Waxman Non-Patent Exclusivity.* Market and data exclusivity provisions under the FDCA also can delay the submission or the approval of certain applications for competing products. The FDCA provides a five-year period of non-patent data exclusivity within the United States to the first applicant to gain approval of an NDA for a new chemical entity. A drug is a new chemical entity if the FDA has not previously approved any other new drug containing the same active moiety, which is the molecule or ion responsible for the activity of the drug substance. During the exclusivity period, the FDA may not accept for review an ANDA or a 505(b)(2) NDA submitted by another company that contains the previously approved active moiety. However, an ANDA or 505(b)(2) NDA may be submitted after four years if it contains a certification of patent invalidity or non-infringement.

The FDCA also provides three years of marketing exclusivity for an NDA, 505(b)(2) NDA, or supplement to an existing NDA or 505(b)(2) NDA if new clinical investigations, other than bioavailability studies, that were conducted or sponsored by the applicant, are deemed by the FDA to be essential to the approval of the application or supplement. Three-year exclusivity may be awarded for changes to a previously approved drug product, such as new indications, dosages, strengths or dosage forms of an existing drug. This three-year exclusivity covers only the conditions of use associated with the new clinical investigations and, as a general

---

## **Table of Contents**

matter, does not prohibit the FDA from approving ANDAs or 505(b)(2) NDAs for generic versions of the original, unmodified drug product. Five-year and three-year exclusivity will not delay the submission or approval of a full NDA; however, an applicant submitting a full NDA would be required to conduct or obtain a right of reference to all of the preclinical studies and adequate and well-controlled clinical trials necessary to demonstrate safety and effectiveness.

*Orphan Drug Exclusivity.* The Orphan Drug Act provides incentives for the development of drugs intended to treat rare diseases or conditions, which generally are diseases or conditions affecting less than 200,000 individuals annually in the United States. If a sponsor demonstrates that a drug is intended to treat a rare disease or condition, the FDA grants orphan drug designation to the product for that use. The benefits of orphan drug designation include research and development tax credits and exemption from user fees. A drug that is approved for the orphan drug designated indication is granted seven years of orphan drug exclusivity. During that period, the FDA generally may not approve any other application for the same product for the same indication, although there are exceptions, most notably when the later product is shown to be clinically superior to the product with exclusivity. We have begun the process to seek orphan drug designation and exclusivity for our product candidate to include treatment of basal cell carcinoma in patients with Gorlin syndrome and may, in the future, apply for orphan drug indication for stage IV non-squamous, non-small cell lung cancer.

### *Foreign Regulation*

Although it is not presently our intention to seek approval of our product candidate outside of the United States, in the future we may do so, either directly or in conjunction with a marketing partner. In order to market any product outside of the United States, we would need to comply with numerous and varying regulatory requirements of other countries regarding safety and efficacy and governing, among other things, clinical trials, marketing authorization, commercial sales and distribution of our products. This would be the responsibility of one or more of our potential marketing partners. We do however intend to include sites outside the United States for our clinical trials in order to be able to recruit more patients for testing at a greater number of locations and in less time than if we were to focus only on US-based sites. For example, in the European Union, we would need to obtain authorization of a clinical trial application (or CTA) in each member state in which we intend to conduct a clinical trial. Whether or not we obtain FDA approval for a product, we would need to obtain the necessary approvals by the comparable regulatory authorities of foreign countries before we can commence clinical trials or marketing of the product in those countries. The approval process varies from country to country and can involve additional product testing and additional administrative review periods. The time required to obtain approval in other countries might differ from and be longer than that required to obtain FDA approval. Regulatory approval in one country does not ensure regulatory approval in another, but a failure or delay in obtaining regulatory approval in one country may negatively impact the regulatory process in others.

## **Employees**

As of February 13, 2015, we have 3 full-time employees and 1 part-time employee. One is involved in our clinical development program and operations and three handle our administration and accounting. None of our employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements. From time to time, we also employ independent contractors to support our clinical development and administrative functions. We currently have contracted a regulatory consultant, a Contract Research Organization to spearhead our efforts on clinical development and an Investor Relations (IR) firm related to our funding activities. We consider relations with all of our employees to be good. Each of our employees has entered into confidentiality, intellectual property assignment and non-competition agreements with us.

## **Available Information**

Our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to reports filed pursuant to Sections 13(a) and 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (which we refer to herein as the Exchange Act), are filed with the SEC. Such reports and other information that we file with the SEC are available free of charge on our website at <http://www.hedgepathpharma.com/#!/investor-relations/cts> when such reports are available on the SEC website. The public may read and copy any materials that we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Room 1580, Washington, DC 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC at <http://www.sec.gov>. The contents of these websites are not incorporated into this filing. Further, the foregoing references to the URLs for these websites are intended to be inactive textual references only.

---

## Table of Contents

### Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

*Investing in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. Before purchasing our common stock, you should carefully consider the following risk factors as well as all other information contained in this Report, including our consolidated financial statements and the related notes. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones facing us. Additional risks and uncertainties that we are unaware of, or that we currently deem immaterial, also may become important factors that affect us. If any of the following risks occur, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. In that case, the trading price of our common stock could decline, and you may lose some or all of your investment.*

#### **Risks Relating to Our Business**

***We are a pre-revenue biopharmaceutical company and are thus subject to the risks associated with new businesses in that industry.***

We emerged from bankruptcy in August 2013, and the business opportunity we acquired in connection with our reorganization (the development of itraconazole anti-cancer therapies) is a new business opportunity. As such, we are an early stage biopharmaceutical company with no history of revenue-generating operations, and our only assets consist of the intellectual property and related assets contributed to us by our stockholder Hedgepath, LLC on August 13, 2013, in connection with our emergence from bankruptcy. Therefore, we are, and expect for the foreseeable future to be, subject to all the risks and uncertainties inherent in a new business, in particular new businesses engaged in the development of pharmaceuticals. We still must establish and implement many important functions necessary to operate a business, including the clinical development of our product candidate, acquiring additional intellectual property rights related to itraconazole beyond our exclusive Supply and License Agreement with Mayne Pharma for SUBA-Itraconazole, establishing our managerial and administrative structure and implementing financial systems and controls.

Accordingly, you should consider our prospects in light of the costs, uncertainties, delays and difficulties frequently encountered by companies in their pre-revenue generating stages, particularly those in the pharmaceutical field. Potential investors should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties that a new company with no operating history will face. In particular, potential investors should consider that there is a significant risk that we will not be able to:

- implement or execute our current business plan, or that our business plan is sound;
- maintain our anticipated management team;
- raise sufficient funds in the capital markets or otherwise to effectuate our business plan;
- determine that the processes and technologies that we have developed are commercially viable; and/or
- attract, enter into or maintain contracts with potentially commercial partners such as licensors of technology and suppliers.

If we cannot execute any one of the foregoing, our business may fail, in which case you may lose the entire amount of your investment in our company.

In addition, we expect to encounter unforeseen expenses, difficulties, complications, delays and other known and unknown factors. We will need to transition at some point from a company with a research and development focus to a company capable of supporting commercial activities. We may not be able to reach such point of transaction or make such a transition, which would have a material adverse effect on our company.

***Our limited operating history makes it difficult for you to evaluate our business to date and to assess our future viability.***

Currently, our sole line of business is the development and marketing of our itraconazole anti-cancer therapies, and we only recently acquired the assets related to this business opportunity on August 13, 2013 as part of our emergence from bankruptcy. Our pre-bankruptcy historic business operations ceased contemporaneously with our becoming subject to bankruptcy proceedings in 2011, and all assets supporting our earlier lines of business have been disposed of. Accordingly, we only recommenced active operations on August 13, 2013, the date we emerged from bankruptcy.

Moreover, Hedgepath, LLC, from whom we acquired the itraconazole business opportunity as part of our plan of bankruptcy reorganization, was only formed in late 2011 and thus itself has a limited operating history. Our operations are presently limited to planning for clinical trials, arranging for the raising of capital, developing our technology and identifying potential commercial partners. We have not yet demonstrated our ability to complete any clinical trials, obtain regulatory approvals, manufacture a commercial scale product, or arrange for a third party to do so on our behalf, or conduct sales and marketing activities necessary for product commercialization. Consequently, any predictions you make about our future viability or ability to accomplish our business goals may not be as accurate as they could be if we had a longer operating history.

## Table of Contents

### ***We are highly dependent on our collaboration with Mayne Pharma, and the loss of this collaboration would materially impair our business plan and viability.***

Under our Supply and License Agreement with Mayne Pharma, we have secured exclusive rights to commercialize SUBA-Itraconazole for the treatment of patients with cancer via oral administration in the United States and we anticipate sublicensing from Mayne other patent rights it intends to secure relating to the treatment of cancer using itraconazole. Mayne Pharma is our sole source supplier of SUBA-Itraconazole, and under such agreement, we must obtain all required supply of SUBA-Itraconazole capsules for our clinical trials and commercialization of the product from Mayne Pharma, except in the limited circumstance where Mayne Pharma has established a secondary supplier and is unable to supply the product. As such, this agreement and our collaboration with Mayne Pharma are critical to our business. In the event that the Mayne Pharma Supply and License Agreement is terminated or Mayne Pharma is unable to supply the product, we will lose the ability to commercialize SUBA-Itraconazole, and our business prospects would be materially damaged. Moreover, if we fail to achieve certain commercialization goals or the funding goals under our agreements with Mayne Pharma, Mayne Pharma has the right to demand the resignation of Nicholas J. Virca, our President and Chief Executive Officer, and Frank E. O'Donnell, Jr., our Executive Chairman, from their positions with our company. In the event that Mr. Virca or Dr. O'Donnell do not submit their resignations in a timely manner, Mayne can terminate the Supply and License Agreement, the loss of which would seriously impair our viability and could lead to the loss of your investment. In addition, Mayne Pharma has the right to terminate the Supply and License Agreement with us if, among other things, we breach any provision of our June 2014 Equity Holders Agreement or Purchase Agreement with Mayne Pharma.

### ***The right of Mayne Pharma to participate in future financings of ours could impair our ability to raise capital.***

Pursuant to our Equity Holders Agreement (as described in further detail in the section entitled "Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions"), Mayne Pharma and its affiliates have been granted a right of first refusal to purchase a pro rata share of any new securities issued by us, which pro rata share would be determined based upon the number of shares of our common stock held by Mayne Pharma and its affiliates on a fully diluted basis as compared to the number of shares of common stock outstanding immediately prior to the offering of the new securities on a fully diluted basis. The existence of such right of participation, or the exercise of such rights, may deter potential investors from providing us needed financing, or may deter investment banks from working with us, which would have a material adverse effect on our ability to finance our company.

### ***The right of Mayne Pharma to introduce accredited investors to us to participate in a private offering of our securities could impair our ability to raise capital.***

Under our June 2014 Equity Holders Agreement, Mayne Pharma has been granted the right until June 24, 2016 to introduce accredited investors to us to participate in up to 50% of any private offering of our securities (subject to certain exceptions as described in the Equity Holders Agreement). The existence of such right, or the exercise of such rights, may deter potential private investors from providing us needed financing, or may deter investment banks or other placement agents from working with us, which would have a material adverse effect on our ability to finance our company.

### ***Mayne Pharma may exert significant influence over our business and affairs, and the corporate governance rights afforded to Mayne Pharma under the Equity Holders Agreement may adversely affect the management of our company.***

Mayne Pharma currently beneficially owns approximately 44.3% of our common stock including warrants to purchase 10,250,569 shares of our common stock. Under the terms of our Equity Holders Agreement, Mayne Pharma has the right to purchase any shares of common stock being transferred or sold by the individual account of our current President and Chief Executive Officer and Executive Chairman. In addition to Mayne Pharma's current common stock ownership, Mayne Pharma also has the right to designate one director to our Board of Directors (and to designate a second director if the size of the Board of Directors is increased to seven directors) until the earlier to occur of: (i) the date that the Supply and License Agreement is terminated or expires, or (ii) the date on which Mayne Pharma along with its affiliates ceases to own ten percent (10%) or more of our issued and outstanding common stock on a fully diluted basis. During this time frame, Mayne Pharma, through its representative on the Board of Directors, holds a veto right in the event that we want to increase or decrease the size of the Board of Directors or replace or remove our President and Chief Executive Officer and Executive Chairman (such veto right being the result of each of the foregoing Board of Director actions requiring the unanimous consent of the Board of Directors). Mayne Pharma's significant ownership of our common stock plus the existence of these additional rights will for the foreseeable future enable Mayne Pharma to exert influence over our company and matters requiring stockholder approval including the election of directors, financing activities or a merger or sale of our assets. Additionally, these rights may limit the ability of our Board of Directors and our management team to make necessary personnel decisions, including adding independent directors to our Board of Directors, which may adversely affect the management of our company, particularly if disputes arise between us and Mayne Pharma (which disputes in and of themselves could have a material adverse effect on our ability to conduct business).

---

## Table of Contents

### ***We are dependent upon our officers and directors and their loss could adversely affect our ability to operate.***

Our operations are dependent upon a relatively small group of individuals and, in particular, our current officers and directors, including most notably Nicholas J. Virca and Dr. Frank E. O'Donnell, Jr. We believe that our ability to implement our business plans depends on the continued service of these individuals and/or other officers and directors. In particular, Dr. O'Donnell is presently required to commit only 25% of his time to our affairs and, accordingly, he may have conflicts of interest in allocating management time among various business activities, and these conflicts of interest may not be resolved in our favor. We do presently have an executive chairman agreement and an employment agreement with Dr. O'Donnell and Mr. Virca, respectively. However, the agreements are terminable upon 60 days' notice to us with or without good reason. The unexpected loss of the services of one or more of our directors or officers could have a detrimental effect on us.

### ***The requirements of being a public company may strain our resources and divert management's attention.***

Prior to Hedgepath, LLC's contribution of certain assets to us in August 2013, the business opportunity and assets we acquired had been operated privately. In addition, although our predecessor, CBI, was a company that filed public reports with the SEC, the business of CBI effectively ceased concurrently with its entry into federal bankruptcy proceedings in 2011. As a consequence, our current business has no historical nexus to that of CBI's.

As a public company, we are subject to the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (which we refer to herein as the Exchange Act), the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, the Dodd-Frank Act and other applicable securities rules and regulations. Compliance with these rules and regulations will increase our legal and financial compliance costs, make some activities (including activities previously undertaken in a private company context) more difficult, time-consuming or costly and increase demand on our systems and resources. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act requires, among other things, that we maintain effective disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting. In order to maintain and, if required, improve our disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting to meet this standard, significant resources and management oversight may be required. As a result, management's attention may be diverted from other business concerns, which could adversely affect our ability to implement our business plans. We may need to hire more employees in the future or engage outside consultants to comply with these requirements, which will increase our costs and expenses.

In addition, changing laws, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance and public disclosure are creating uncertainty for public companies, increasing legal and financial compliance costs and making some activities more time consuming. These laws, regulations and standards are subject to varying interpretations, in many cases due to their lack of specificity, and, as a result, their application in practice may evolve over time as new guidance is provided by regulatory and governing bodies. This could result in continuing uncertainty regarding compliance matters and higher costs necessitated by ongoing revisions to disclosure and governance practices. We intend to invest resources to comply with evolving laws, regulations and standards, and this investment may result in increased general and administrative expenses and a diversion of management's time and attention from business development activities to compliance activities. If our efforts to comply with new laws, regulations and standards differ from the activities intended by regulatory or governing bodies due to ambiguities related to their application and practice, regulatory authorities may initiate legal proceedings against us and our business may be adversely affected.

### ***Our business and operations would suffer in the event of system failures.***

Despite the implementation of security measures, our internal computer systems and those of our current and any future partners, contractors, and consultants are vulnerable to damage from cyber-attacks, computer viruses, unauthorized access, natural disasters, terrorism, war, and telecommunication and electrical failures. System failures, accidents, or security breaches could cause interruptions in our operations, and could result in a material disruption of our commercialization activities, development programs and our business operations, in addition to possibly requiring substantial expenditures of resources to remedy. The loss of clinical trial data from future clinical trials could result in delays in our regulatory approval efforts and significantly increase our costs to recover or reproduce the data. To the extent that any disruption or security breach were to result in a loss of, or damage to, our data or applications, or inappropriate disclosure of confidential or proprietary information, we could incur liability and the commercialization of any potential product candidate could be delayed.



## Risks Related to Our Financial Position and Need For Additional Capital

***We will require substantial additional funding to progress our business. If we are unable to raise additional capital, we could be forced to delay, reduce or eliminate our product development programs or commercialization efforts and our business could fail.***

We presently have very limited cash resources, and we expect that we will be required to incur significant expenses in connection with our ongoing activities, particularly as we engage in efforts to develop and ultimately commercialize our itraconazole anti-cancer therapies. Accordingly, we will need to obtain both near and long term additional funding in connection with our continuing operations. If we are unable to raise capital when needed or on attractive terms, we could be forced to delay, reduce or eliminate our research and development programs or any future commercialization efforts, and our business might fail.

In addition, our future capital requirements will be significant and will depend on many factors, including:

- the progress and results of our development efforts for SUBA-Itraconazole as a cancer therapy;
- the costs, timing and outcome of clinical trials of our product candidate for one or more types of cancer;
- the costs, timing and outcome of regulatory review of our product candidate for one or more types of cancer;
- the costs and timing of preparing, filing and prosecuting patent applications, maintaining and enforcing our intellectual property rights and defending any intellectual property-related claims;
- competing technological and market developments;
- market acceptance of our product candidate as a treatment for one or more types of cancer;
- the costs and timing of future commercialization activities, including product manufacturing, marketing, sales and distribution, for any product candidate for which we receive marketing approval;
- the revenue, if any, received from commercial sales of any product candidate for which we may receive marketing approval;
- the extent to which we acquire or in-license other products and technologies; and
- legal, accounting, insurance and other professional and business-related costs.

Developing pharmaceutical products, conducting preclinical testing and clinical trials and seeking regulatory approval of such products is a time-consuming, expensive and uncertain process that takes years to complete, and we may never generate the necessary data or results required to obtain regulatory approval and achieve product sales. In addition, our product candidate, if approved (of which no assurances may be given), may not achieve any level of commercial success. Our commercial revenues, if any, will be derived from sales of a product that we do not expect to be commercially available for several years, if at all. Accordingly, we will need to continue to rely on additional financing to achieve our business objectives. Adequate additional financing may not be available to us on acceptable terms, or at all.

***We may have difficulty in raising capital and may consume resources faster than expected.***

We currently do not generate any revenue from product sales or otherwise, and we therefore have a limited source of cash to meet our future capital requirements. We do not expect to generate revenues for the foreseeable future, and we may not be able to raise funds in the future, which would leave us without resources to continue operations and force us to resort to stockholder investments or loans, which may not be available to us. We may have difficulty raising needed capital in the near or longer term as a result of, among other factors, the very early stage of our company, the rights of certain of our stockholders to participate in our future financings and our lack of revenues as well as the inherent business risks associated with our company and present and future market conditions. Also, we may consume available resources more rapidly than currently anticipated, resulting in the need for additional funding sooner than anticipated. Our inability to raise funds could lead to decreases in the price of our common stock and the failure of our business.

## Table of Contents

### ***Raising additional capital may cause dilution to our stockholders, restrict our operations or require us to relinquish rights to our technologies or product candidates.***

Since we will be unable to generate any revenue from actual sales of products and expect to be in the development stage for the foreseeable future, we will need to seek equity or debt financing to provide the capital required to execute our business plan. We will need significant funding for developing our intellectual property, conducting clinical trials and entering into collaborations with third party partners as well as for working capital requirements and other operating and general corporate purposes.

There can be no assurance that we will be able to raise sufficient capital on acceptable terms, or at all. If such financing is not available on satisfactory terms, or is not available at all, we may be required to delay, scale back or eliminate the development of business opportunities and our operations and financial condition may be adversely affected to a significant extent.

If we raise additional capital by issuing equity securities, the percentage and/or economic ownership of our existing stockholders may be reduced, and accordingly these stockholders may experience substantial dilution. We may also issue equity securities that provide for rights, preferences and privileges senior to those of our common stock.

Debt financing, if obtained, may involve agreements that include liens on our assets, covenants limiting or restricting our ability to take specific actions, such as incurring additional debt, increases in our expenses and requirements that our assets be provided as a security for such debt. Debt financing would also be required to be repaid regardless of our operating results.

If we raise additional funds through collaborations and licensing arrangements, we may be required to relinquish some rights to our technologies or candidate products, or to grant licenses on terms that are not favorable to us.

Funding from any source may be unavailable to us on acceptable terms, or at all. If we do not have sufficient capital to fund our operations and expenses, our business could fail.

### ***As a result of our current lack of financial liquidity, our independent registered public accounting firm (“auditors”) has expressed substantial doubt regarding our ability to continue as a “going concern.”***

As a result of our historical losses and our current lack of financial liquidity, our auditors’ report for our 2014 financial statements, which are included as part of this Report, contains a statement concerning our ability to continue as a “going concern.” Our lack of sufficient liquidity could make it more difficult for us to secure additional financing or enter into strategic relationships on terms acceptable to us, if at all, and may materially and adversely affect the terms of any financing that we may obtain and our public stock price generally.

Our continuation as a “going concern” is dependent upon, among other things, achieving positive cash flow from operations and, if necessary, augmenting such cash flow using external resources to satisfy our cash needs. Our plans to achieve positive cash flow include engaging in offerings of securities, negotiating up-front and milestone payments on pipeline products under development and royalties from sales of our products which secure regulatory approval and any milestone payments associated with such approved products. These cash sources could, potentially, be supplemented by financing or other strategic agreements. However, we may be unable to achieve these goals and therefore may be unable to continue as a going concern.

### **Risks Related to the Clinical Development of Our Product Candidate**

#### ***We are very early in our development efforts and have only one product candidate, for which we have not yet conducted any clinical trials in a cancer indication. If we are unable to clinically develop and ultimately commercialize itraconazole as an anti-cancer therapy or experience significant delays in doing so, our business will be materially harmed.***

We are very early in our development efforts and have only one product candidate, namely SUBA-Itraconazole for the treatment of cancer. While itraconazole has previously been approved by the FDA for use as an anti-fungal agent, the use of itraconazole to treat cancer has not been approved and has been subject to limited clinical testing by others. Although we do have one IND cleared by the FDA and intend to begin clinical trials in the first quarter of 2015, we have yet to engage in any such testing ourselves. Our operations as of our emergence from bankruptcy in August 2013 have been limited to developing our own intellectual property and know how, while acquiring the technology and rights of others in order to pursue the clinical development of the itraconazole formulation, SUBA-Itraconazole, as a cancer therapy.

---

## Table of Contents

Therefore, our ability to generate product revenues, which we do not expect will occur for several years, if ever, will depend heavily on our ability to develop and eventually commercialize our product candidate. The positive development of our product candidate will depend on several factors, including the following:

- timely commencement and completion of clinical trials;
- successful preparation of regulatory filings and receipt of marketing approvals from applicable regulatory authorities;
- obtaining and maintaining patent and trade secret protection and potential regulatory exclusivity for our product candidate and protecting our rights in our intellectual property portfolio;
- maintaining our agreement with Mayne Pharma to produce product needed for clinical testing and, potentially if approvals are obtained, for commercial sale;
- launching commercial sales of our product, if and when approved for one or more indications, whether alone or in collaboration with others;
- acceptance of the product for one or more indications, if and when approved, by patients, the medical community and third party payors;
- protection from generic substitution based upon our own or licensed intellectual property rights;
- effectively competing with other therapies;
- obtaining and maintaining coverage and adequate reimbursement under various third party programs; and
- maintaining a continued acceptable safety profile of our product following approval, if any.

If we do not achieve one or more of these factors in a timely manner or at all, we could experience significant delays or an inability to clinically develop and commercialize SUBA-Itraconazole as a cancer therapy, which would materially harm our business.

In addition, given our current limited financial resources, we are currently focusing our efforts on one key cancer indication, namely basal cell carcinoma in patients with Basal Cell Carcinoma Nevus Syndrome, also known as Gorlin Syndrome, for which we have FDA clearance to begin human testing in 2015. We are thus faced with the risk that SUBA-Itraconazole could be ineffective in addressing this particular initial cancer indication, and if our efforts to demonstrate the efficacy of SUBA-Itraconazole in treating basal cell carcinoma in this target patient population are not positive, we may lack the resources to expand our efforts into other cancer indications.

***If we are unable to convince physicians as to the benefits of SUBA-Itraconazole as a cancer therapy, if and when it is approved, we may incur delays or additional expense in our attempt to establish market acceptance.***

Use of SUBA-Itraconazole as a cancer therapy will require physicians to be informed regarding the intended benefits of the product for a new indication. The time and cost of such an educational process may be substantial. Inability to carry out this physician education process may adversely affect market acceptance of SUBA-Itraconazole as a cancer therapy. We may be unable to timely educate physicians in sufficient numbers regarding our intended application of SUBA-Itraconazole to achieve our marketing plans or to achieve product acceptance. Any delay in physician education or acceptance may materially delay or reduce demand for our product candidate. In addition, we may expend significant funds toward physician education before any acceptance or demand for SUBA-Itraconazole as a cancer therapy is created, if at all.

***Clinical drug development involves a lengthy and expensive process, with an uncertain outcome. We may incur additional costs or experience delays in completing, or ultimately be unable to complete, the development and commercialization of our product candidate.***

The risk of failure for product candidates in clinical development is high. It is impossible to predict when or if our sole product candidate, SUBA-Itraconazole for the treatment of cancer, will prove effective or safe in humans or will receive regulatory approval for any form of cancer or any other indication. Before obtaining marketing approval from regulatory authorities for the sale of itraconazole as a cancer therapy, we must conduct extensive clinical trials to demonstrate the safety and efficacy of our product candidate in humans. Clinical testing is expensive, difficult to design and implement, can take many years to complete and is uncertain as to outcome. A failure of one or more clinical trials can occur at any stage of testing. Moreover, the outcome of early clinical trials

---

## Table of Contents

may not be predictive of the success of later clinical trials, and interim results of a clinical trial do not necessarily predict final results. In addition, preclinical and clinical data are often susceptible to varying interpretations and analyses, and many companies that have believed their product candidates performed satisfactorily in clinical trials have nonetheless failed to obtain marketing approval of their products.

We may experience numerous unforeseen events during, or as a result of, clinical trials that could delay or prevent our ability to receive marketing approval or commercialize our product candidate, including:

- regulators or institutional review boards may not authorize us or our investigators to commence a clinical trial or conduct a clinical trial at a prospective trial site;
- we may experience delays in reaching, or fail to reach, agreement on acceptable clinical trial contracts or clinical trial protocols with prospective trial sites;
- clinical trials of our product candidate may produce negative or inconclusive results, and we may decide, or regulators may require us, to conduct additional clinical trials or abandon product development programs, which would be time consuming and costly;
- the number of patients required for clinical trials of our product candidates may be larger than we anticipate, enrollment in these clinical trials may be slower than we anticipate or participants may drop out of these clinical trials at a higher rate than we anticipate;
- we may have to suspend or terminate clinical trials of our product candidates for various reasons, including a finding that the participants are being exposed to unacceptable health risks;
- regulators or institutional review boards may require that we or our investigators suspend or terminate clinical research for various reasons, including noncompliance with regulatory requirements or a finding that the participants are being exposed to unacceptable health risks;
- the cost of clinical trials may be greater than we anticipate;
- the supply or quality of materials necessary to conduct clinical trials of our product candidate may be insufficient or inadequate;
- our product candidate may have undesirable side effects or other unexpected characteristics, causing us or our investigators, regulators or institutional review boards to suspend or terminate the trials; and
- interactions with other drugs.

If we are required to conduct additional clinical trials or other testing of our product candidate beyond those that we currently contemplate, if we are unable to complete clinical trials of our product candidates or other testing, if the results of these trials or tests are not positive or are only modestly positive or if there are safety concerns, we may:

- be delayed in obtaining marketing approval for our product candidate for one or more indications;
- not obtain marketing approval at all for one or more indications;
- obtain approval for indications or patient populations that are not as broad as intended or desired (particularly, in our case, for different types of cancer);
- obtain approval with labeling that includes significant use or distribution restrictions or safety warnings;
- be subject to additional post-marketing testing requirements; or
- have the product removed from the market after obtaining marketing approval.

Our product development costs will also increase if we experience delays in testing or marketing approvals. We have clearance to begin our first clinical trial, but do not know whether any of our other clinical trials will begin as planned, will need to be restructured or will be completed on schedule, or at all. Significant preclinical or clinical trial delays also could shorten any periods

---

## Table of Contents

during which we may have the exclusive right to commercialize our product candidate or allow our competitors to bring products to market before we do and impair our ability to commercialize our product candidate and may harm our business and results of operations.

***If we experience delays or difficulties in the enrollment of patients in clinical trials, our receipt of necessary regulatory approvals could be delayed or prevented.***

We may not be able to initiate or continue clinical trials for our product candidate if we are unable to locate and enroll a sufficient number of eligible patients to participate in these trials as required by the FDA or similar regulatory authorities outside the United States. In addition, some of our competitors have ongoing clinical trials for product candidates that treat the same indications as our product candidate, and patients who would otherwise be eligible for our clinical trials may instead enroll in clinical trials of our competitors' product candidates.

Patient enrollment is affected by other factors including:

- the severity of the disease under investigation;
- the eligibility criteria for the study in question;
- the perceived risks and benefits of the product candidate under study;
- the patient referral practices of physicians;
- the ability to monitor patients adequately during and after treatment; and
- the proximity and availability of clinical trial sites for prospective patients.

Our inability to enroll a sufficient number of patients for our clinical trials would result in significant delays and could require us to abandon one or more clinical trials altogether. Enrollment delays in our clinical trials may result in increased development costs for our product candidate, which would cause the value of our company to decline and otherwise materially and adversely affect our company.

***If serious adverse or unacceptable side effects are identified during the development of our product candidate, we may need to abandon or limit such development, which would adversely affect our company.***

If clinical testing of SUBA-Itraconazole for the treatment of cancer results in undesirable side effects or demonstrates characteristics that are unexpected, we may need to abandon such development or limit such development to more narrow uses or subpopulations in which the undesirable side effects or other characteristics are less prevalent, less severe or more acceptable from a risk-benefit perspective. Many compounds that initially showed promise in early stage testing for treating cancer have later been found to cause side effects that prevented further development of the compound. If we are unable to develop SUBA-Itraconazole for the treatment of cancer due to reported adverse effects or characteristics, our business would be severely harmed.

***For the foreseeable future, we expect to expend our limited resources to pursue a particular product candidate, leaving us unable to capitalize on other product candidates or indications that may be more profitable or for which there is a greater likelihood of clinical and commercial development.***

Because we have limited financial and managerial resources, we will focus for the foreseeable future only on the clinical development of SUBA-Itraconazole for the treatment of cancer as a therapy for basal cell carcinoma in patients with Basal Cell Carcinoma Nevus Syndrome, also known as Gorlin Syndrome. As a result, we may forego or be unable to pursue opportunities with other product candidates or for indications other than those we intend to pursue that later prove to have greater commercial potential. Our resource allocation decisions may cause us to fail to capitalize on viable commercial products or profitable market opportunities. Our spending on research and development programs related to SUBA-Itraconazole for the treatment of cancer may not yield any commercially viable therapies. Because of this concentration of our efforts, our business will be particularly subject to significant risk of failure of our one current product candidate.

---

## Table of Contents

***We expect to rely on collaborations with third parties for key aspects of our business. If we are unable to secure or maintain any of these collaborations, or if these collaborations do not achieve their goals, including most notably our collaboration with Mayne Pharma, our business would be adversely affected.***

We presently have very limited capabilities for drug development and do not yet have any capability for manufacturing, sales, marketing or distribution. Accordingly, we expect to enter into collaborations with other companies that we believe can provide such capabilities. These collaborations may also provide us with important funding for our development programs. One such key collaboration was entered into in September 2013 with Mayne Pharma for SUBA-Itraconazole under an exclusive Supply and License Agreement.

There is a risk that we may not be able to maintain our current collaboration or to enter into additional collaborations on acceptable terms or at all, which would leave us unable to progress our business plan. We will face significant competition in seeking appropriate collaborators. Our ability to reach a definitive agreement for a collaboration will depend, among other things, upon our assessment of the collaborator's resources and expertise, the terms and conditions of the proposed collaboration and the proposed collaborator's evaluation of a number of factors. If we are unable to maintain or reach agreements with suitable collaborators on a timely basis, on acceptable terms, or at all, we may have to curtail the development of our product candidate, reduce or delay its development program, delay its potential commercialization or reduce the scope of any sales or marketing activities, or increase our expenditures and undertake development or commercialization activities at our own expense.

Moreover, even if we are able to maintain and/or enter into such collaborations, such collaborations may pose a number of risks, including the following:

- collaborators may not perform their obligations as expected;
- disagreements with collaborators, including disagreements over proprietary rights, contract interpretation or the preferred course of development, might cause delays or termination of the research, development or commercialization of our product candidate, might lead to additional responsibilities for us with respect to such product candidate, or might result in litigation or arbitration, any of which would be time-consuming and expensive;
- collaborators could independently develop or be associated with products that compete directly or indirectly with our product candidate;
- collaborators could have significant discretion in determining the efforts and resources that they will apply to our arrangements with them;
- should our product candidate achieve regulatory approval, a collaborator with marketing and distribution rights to our product candidate may not commit sufficient resources to the marketing and distribution of such product;
- collaborators may not properly maintain or defend our intellectual property rights or may use our proprietary information in such a way as to invite litigation that could jeopardize or invalidate our intellectual property or proprietary information or expose us to potential litigation;
- collaborators may infringe the intellectual property rights of third parties, which may expose us to litigation and potential liability; and we do not have the right to sue infringers of the rights granted to us by Mayne Pharma under the Supply and License Agreement; and
- collaborations may be terminated for the convenience of the collaborator and, if terminated, we could be required to either find alternative collaborators (which we may be unable to do) or raise additional capital to pursue further development or commercialization of our product candidate on our own.

Our business would be materially or perhaps significantly harmed if any of the foregoing or similar risks comes to pass with respect to our key collaborations

***We have contracted with Mayne Pharma and may contract with other third parties, for the manufacture of our product candidates for clinical testing and expect to continue to do so for commercialization. This reliance on third parties, and in particular Mayne Pharma, increases the risk that we will not have sufficient quantities of our product candidate(s) or such quantities at an acceptable cost, which could delay, prevent or impair our development or commercialization efforts.***

We do not have any manufacturing capabilities. We expect to rely on Mayne Pharma for the manufacture of our product candidate, SUBA-Itraconazole, for clinical testing, as well as for commercial manufacture if our product candidate ultimately receives marketing approval. This reliance on Mayne Pharma leaves us exposed to the risk that we will not have sufficient quantities of our product candidate or such quantities at an acceptable cost or quality, which could delay, prevent or impair our development or commercialization efforts. In addition, the possibility of a business interruption event with Mayne Pharma or any other manufacturer may occur, such as bankruptcy, factory contamination or natural disaster, which may result in the inability to obtain product, which would cause our business prospects to be adversely impacted.

---

## **Table of Contents**

Moreover, we may be unable to maintain our agreement with Mayne Pharma or establish any agreements with other third party manufacturers or to do so on acceptable terms should we have the ability and the need to do so. Even though we have established an agreement with Mayne Pharma or if we are able to establish agreements with other third party manufacturers, reliance on third party manufacturers entails additional risks, including:

- reliance on the third party for regulatory compliance and quality assurance;
- the possible breach of the manufacturing agreement by the third party;
- the possible misappropriation of our proprietary information, including our trade secrets and know-how; and
- the possible termination or nonrenewal of the agreement by the third party at a time that is costly or inconvenient for us.

Third party manufacturers may not be able to comply with current good manufacturing practices, or cGMP, regulations or similar regulatory requirements outside the United States. Our failure, or the failure of our third party manufacturers, to comply with applicable regulations could result in sanctions being imposed on us, including clinical holds, fines, injunctions, civil penalties, delays, suspension or withdrawal of approvals, license revocation, seizures or recalls of product candidate or products, operating restrictions and criminal prosecutions, any of which could significantly and adversely affect supplies of our product candidate or products.

In addition, our product candidate and any products that we may develop may compete with other product candidates and products for access to manufacturing facilities. There are a limited number of manufacturers that operate under cGMP regulations and that might be capable of manufacturing for us.

Also, any performance failure on the part of Mayne Pharma could delay clinical development or marketing approval. We do not currently have arrangements in place for redundant supply or a second source for bulk drug substance. If Mayne Pharma cannot perform as agreed, we may not be able to continue developing SUBA-Itraconazole.

### **Risks Related to the Commercialization of Our Product Candidate**

*Even if SUBA-Itraconazole for the treatment of cancer receives marketing approval, it may fail to achieve the degree of market acceptance by physicians, patients, third party payors and others in the medical community necessary for commercial success.*

Even if SUBA-Itraconazole for the treatment of cancer receives marketing approval, it may nonetheless fail to gain sufficient market acceptance by physicians, patients, third party payors and others in the medical community. For example, current cancer treatments such as chemotherapy and radiation therapy are well established in the medical community, and doctors may continue to rely on these treatments. If our product candidate does not achieve an adequate level of acceptance, we may not generate significant product revenues and we may not become profitable. The degree of market acceptance of SUBA-Itraconazole for the treatment of cancer, if approved for commercial sale, will depend on a number of factors, including:

- the efficacy and potential advantages compared to alternative treatments;
- our ability to offer our products for sale at competitive prices;
- the convenience and ease of administration compared to alternative treatments;
- the willingness of the target patient population to try new therapies and of physicians to prescribe these therapies;
- the strength of marketing and distribution support;
- the availability of third party coverage and adequate reimbursement;
- the prevalence and severity of any side effects; and
- any restrictions on the use of our product together with other medications.

---

## **Table of Contents**

***If we are unable to establish sales, marketing and distribution capabilities, we may not be able to commercialize our product candidate if and when it is approved.***

We do not have a sales or marketing infrastructure. To achieve any level of commercial success for any product for which we have obtained marketing approval, we will need to establish a sales and marketing organization or outsource sales and marketing functions to third parties.

There are risks involved with establishing our own sales, marketing and distribution capabilities. For example, recruiting and training a sales force is expensive and time consuming and could delay any product launch. If the commercial launch of a product candidate for which we recruit a sales force and establish marketing capabilities is delayed or does not occur for any reason, we would have prematurely or unnecessarily incurred these commercialization expenses. This may be costly, and our investment would be lost if we cannot retain or reposition our sales and marketing personnel.

If approved, factors that may inhibit our efforts to commercialize our product on our own include:

- our inability to recruit, train and retain adequate numbers of effective sales and marketing personnel;
- the inability of sales personnel to obtain access to physicians or persuade adequate numbers of physicians to prescribe our product;
- the lack of complementary products to be offered by sales personnel, which may put us at a competitive disadvantage relative to companies with more extensive product lines; and
- unforeseen costs and expenses associated with creating an independent sales and marketing organization.

If we are unable to establish our own sales, marketing and distribution capabilities and instead enter into arrangements with third parties to perform these services, our product revenues and our profitability, if any, are likely to be lower than if we were to market, sell and distribute any products that we develop ourselves. In addition, we may be unable to enter into arrangements with third parties to sell, market and distribute our product candidate or may be unable to do so on terms that are favorable to us. We likely will have little control over such third parties, and any of them may fail to devote the necessary resources and attention to sell and market our product effectively. If we do not establish sales, marketing and distribution capabilities, either on our own or in collaboration with third parties, we will not be able to commercialize our product candidate, which would have a material adverse effect on our company.

***We face substantial competition, which may result in others discovering, developing or commercializing products before or more successfully than we do.***

The development and commercialization of new drug products is highly competitive. We face competition with respect to our current product candidate, and will face competition with respect to any product candidates that we may seek to develop or commercialize in the future, from major pharmaceutical companies, specialty pharmaceutical companies and biotechnology companies worldwide. There are a number of large pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies that currently market and sell products or are pursuing the development of products for the treatment of cancer. Potential competitors also include academic institutions, government agencies and other public and private research organizations that conduct research, seek patent protection and establish collaborative arrangements for research, development, manufacturing and commercialization.

Our commercial opportunity could be reduced or eliminated if our competitors develop and commercialize products that are safer, more effective, have fewer or less severe side effects, are more convenient or are less expensive than any products that we may develop. Our competitors also may obtain FDA or other regulatory approval for their products more rapidly than we may obtain approval for ours, which could result in our competitors establishing a strong market position before we are able to enter the market.

Many of the companies against which we are competing or against which we may compete in the future have significantly greater financial resources and expertise in research and development, manufacturing, conducting clinical trials, obtaining regulatory approvals and marketing approved products than we do. Mergers and acquisitions in the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries may result in even more resources being concentrated among a smaller number of our competitors. Smaller and other early stage companies may also prove to be significant competitors, particularly through collaborative arrangements with large and established companies. These third parties compete with us in recruiting and retaining qualified scientific and management personnel, establishing clinical trial sites and patient registration for clinical trials, as well as in acquiring technologies complementary to, or necessary for, our programs, and we may be unable to effectively compete with these companies for these or other reasons.



---

## **Table of Contents**

***Even if we are able to commercialize any product candidates, the products may become subject to unfavorable pricing regulations, third party reimbursement practices or healthcare reform initiatives, which would harm our business.***

The regulations that govern marketing approvals, pricing, coverage and reimbursement for new drug products vary widely from country to country. Current and future legislation may significantly change the approval requirements in ways that could involve additional costs and cause delays in obtaining approvals.

Our ability to commercialize any product candidate also will depend in part on the extent to which coverage and adequate reimbursement for our product candidate will be available from government health administration authorities, private health insurers and other organizations. Government authorities and third party payors, such as private health insurers and health maintenance organizations, decide which medications they will pay for and establish reimbursement levels. A primary trend in the U.S. healthcare industry and elsewhere is cost containment. Government authorities and third party payors have attempted to control costs by limiting coverage and the amount of reimbursement for particular medications. Increasingly, third party payors are requiring that drug companies provide them with predetermined discounts from list prices and are challenging the prices charged for medical products. Coverage and reimbursement may not be available for any product that we commercialize and, even if these are available, the level of reimbursement may not be satisfactory. Reimbursement may affect the demand for, or the price of, any product candidate for which we obtain marketing approval. Obtaining and maintaining adequate reimbursement for our products may be difficult. We may be required to conduct expensive pharmacoeconomic studies to justify coverage and reimbursement or the level of reimbursement relative to other therapies. If coverage and adequate reimbursement are not available or reimbursement is available only to limited levels, we may not be able to commercialize any product candidate for which we obtain marketing approval.

In addition, there may be significant delays in obtaining reimbursement for newly approved drugs, and coverage may be more limited than the purposes for which the drug is approved by the FDA. Moreover, eligibility for reimbursement does not imply that a drug will be paid for in all cases or at a rate that covers our costs, including research, development, manufacture, sale and distribution. Interim reimbursement levels for new drugs, if applicable, may also not be sufficient to cover our costs and may not be made permanent. Reimbursement rates may vary according to the use of the drug and the clinical setting in which it is used, may be based on reimbursement levels already set for lower cost drugs and may be incorporated into existing payments for other services. Net prices for drugs may be reduced by mandatory discounts or rebates required by government healthcare programs or private payors. Third party payors often rely upon Medicare coverage policy and payment limitations in setting their own reimbursement policies. Our inability to promptly obtain coverage and adequate reimbursement rates from both government-funded and private payors for any approved products that we develop could have a material adverse effect on our operating results, our ability to raise capital needed to commercialize products and our overall financial condition.

***Product liability lawsuits against us could cause us to incur substantial liabilities and to limit commercialization of any products that we may develop.***

We face an inherent risk of product liability exposure related to the testing of our product candidate in human clinical trials and will face an even greater risk if we commercially sell any products that we may develop. If we cannot defend ourselves against claims that our product candidate or products caused injuries, we will incur substantial liabilities. Regardless of merit or eventual outcome, liability claims may result in:

- decreased demand for any product candidates or products that we may develop;
- damage to our reputation and significant negative media attention;
- withdrawal of clinical trial participants;
- significant costs to defend the related litigation;
- substantial monetary awards to trial participants or patients;
- loss of revenue;
- reduced resources of our management to pursue our business strategy; and
- the inability to commercialize any products that we may develop.

---

## Table of Contents

We currently do not have product liability insurance coverage, which leaves us exposed to any product-related liabilities that we may incur. We may be unable to obtain insurance on reasonable terms or at all. Insurance coverage is increasingly expensive. We may not be able to maintain insurance coverage at a reasonable cost or in an amount adequate to satisfy any liability that may arise.

### **Risks Related to Our Intellectual Property**

*If we are unable to obtain and maintain patent protection for our technology and products (particularly itraconazole, and the formulation of SUBA-Itraconazole in particular, as an anti-cancer therapy), or if the scope of the patent protection obtained is not sufficiently broad, our competitors could develop and commercialize technology and products similar or identical to ours, and our ability to commercialize our technology and products may be impaired.*

Our business plan depends in large part on our ability to obtain and maintain patent protection in the United States with respect to our proprietary technology and products, and in particular, the rights to develop SUBA-Itraconazole as an anti-cancer therapy. We seek to protect our proprietary position through our exclusive license for SUBA-Itraconazole with Mayne Pharma, through our expected sublicense of other itraconazole-related rights from Mayne Pharma, and by filing patent applications in the United States related to our novel technologies and product candidate and also expect to license additional applicable patents from third parties.

The patent prosecution process is expensive and time-consuming, and we may not be able to file and prosecute all necessary or desirable patent applications at a reasonable cost or in a timely manner. It is also possible that we will fail to identify patentable aspects of our research and development output before it is too late to obtain patent protection. Moreover, in some circumstances (particularly in collaboration scenarios such as our agreement with Mayne Pharma), we may not have the right to control (in whole or in part) the preparation, filing and prosecution of patent applications, or to maintain the patents, covering technology that we license from third parties. Therefore, these patents and applications may not be prosecuted and enforced in a manner consistent with the best interests of our business.

The patent position of biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies generally is highly uncertain, involves complex legal and factual questions and has in recent years been the subject of much litigation. In addition, the laws of foreign countries may not protect our rights to the same extent as the laws of the United States. For example, European patent law restricts the patentability of methods of treatment of the human body more than United States law does. Publications of discoveries in the scientific literature often lag behind the actual discoveries, and patent applications in the United States and other jurisdictions are typically not published until 18 months after filing, or in some cases not at all. Therefore, we cannot know with certainty whether we were the first to make the inventions claimed in our owned or licensed patents or pending patent applications, or that we were the first to file for patent protection of such inventions. As a result, the issuance, scope, validity, enforceability and commercial value of our patent rights are highly uncertain. Our pending and future patent applications may not result in patents being issued which protect our technology or products, in whole or in part, or which effectively prevent others from commercializing competitive technologies and products. Changes in either the patent laws or interpretation of the patent laws in the United States and other countries may diminish the value of our patents or narrow the scope of our patent protection.

Patent reform legislation could further increase the uncertainties and costs surrounding the prosecution of our patent applications and the enforcement or defense of our issued patents. On September 16, 2011, the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act, or the Leahy-Smith Act, was signed into law. The Leahy-Smith Act includes a number of significant changes to United States patent law. These include provisions that affect the way patent applications are prosecuted and may also affect patent litigation. The United States Patent Office has developed regulations and procedures to govern administration of the Leahy-Smith Act, and many of the substantive changes to patent law associated with the Leahy-Smith Act, and in particular, the first to file provisions, became effective on March 16, 2013. Accordingly, since we have patent applications pending and plan to file for additional patents in the future, it is not clear what, if any, impact the Leahy-Smith Act will have on the operation of our business. However, the Leahy-Smith Act and its implementation could increase the uncertainties and costs surrounding the prosecution of our patent applications and the enforcement or defense of our issued patents, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

Moreover, we may be subject to a third party preissuance submission of prior art to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, or become involved in opposition, derivation, reexamination, *inter partes* review, post-grant review or interference proceedings challenging our patent rights or the patent rights of others. An adverse determination in any such submission, proceeding or litigation could reduce the scope of, or invalidate our patent rights, allow third parties to commercialize our technology or products and compete directly with us, without payment to us, or result in our inability to manufacture or commercialize products without infringing third party patent rights. In addition, if the breadth or strength of protection provided by our patents and patent applications is threatened, it could dissuade companies from collaborating with us to license, develop or commercialize current or future product candidates.

Even if our owned and licensed patent applications issue as patents, they may not issue in a form that will provide us with any meaningful protection, prevent competitors from competing with us or otherwise provide us with any competitive advantage. Our competitors may be able to circumvent our owned or licensed patents by developing similar or alternative technologies or products in a non-infringing manner.

## Table of Contents

The issuance of a patent is not conclusive as to its inventorship, scope, validity or enforceability, and our owned and licensed patents may be challenged in the courts or patent offices in the United States and abroad. Such challenges may result in loss of exclusivity or freedom to operate or in patent claims being narrowed, invalidated or held unenforceable, in whole or in part, which could limit our ability to stop others from using or commercializing similar or identical technology and products, or limit the duration of the patent protection of our technology and products. Given the amount of time required for the development, testing and regulatory review of our product candidate, patents protecting such candidate might expire before or shortly after such candidates are commercialized. As a result, our owned and licensed patent portfolio may not provide us with sufficient rights to exclude others from commercializing products similar or identical to ours. Neither we nor Mayne Pharma has conducted a comprehensive freedom to operate search in the United States relating to the use of itraconazole in the treatment of cancer, and as a result, patents may in the future be issued, or may already have issued, to third parties that would prevent us from developing SUBA-Itraconazole for our intended cancer indications. If such patents have issued or are issued in the future, and such patents are determined to be valid, we or Mayne Pharma could be required to obtain a license to such patents in order to allow us to continue the development of SUBA-Itraconazole for our intended indications. We or Mayne Pharma may be unable to obtain such licenses on reasonable terms or at all.

***We may become involved in lawsuits to protect or enforce our patents or other intellectual property, which could be expensive, time consuming and unsuccessful.***

Competitors may infringe our owned or licensed patents or other intellectual property. To counter infringement or unauthorized use, we may be required to file infringement claims, which can be expensive and time consuming. Furthermore, we do not have the right to sue infringers of the rights granted to us by Mayne Pharma under the Supply and License Agreement, so we will be reliant upon them to take any action necessary to protect these patents. Any claims we assert against perceived infringers could provoke these parties to assert counterclaims against us alleging that we infringe their patents. In addition, in a patent infringement proceeding, a court may decide that a patent of ours is invalid or unenforceable, in whole or in part, construe the patent's claims narrowly or refuse to stop the other party from using the technology at issue on the grounds that our patents do not cover the technology in question. An adverse result in any litigation proceeding could put one or more of our patents at risk of being invalidated or interpreted narrowly.

***We have licensed or expect to license certain intellectual property from third parties, and such licenses may not continue to be available or may not be available on commercially reasonable terms.***

We have and/or expect to enter into licenses with third parties that hold intellectual property, including patent rights, that are important or necessary to the development of itraconazole, and SUBA-Itraconazole in particular, as an anti-cancer therapy, and it may be necessary for us to use the patented or proprietary technology of third parties, such as Mayne Pharma, to commercialize itraconazole as an anti-cancer therapy, in which case we have or would be required to obtain a license from these third parties on commercially reasonable terms, or else our business could be harmed, possibly materially. If we were not able to maintain or obtain such licenses, or were not able to maintain or obtain such licenses on commercially reasonable terms, our business could be harmed, possibly substantially.

***Third parties may initiate legal proceedings alleging that we are infringing their intellectual property rights, the outcome of which would be uncertain and could have a material adverse effect on our business.***

Our business will depend upon our ability, and the ability of our collaborators, to develop, manufacture, market and sell our product candidates and use our proprietary technologies without infringing the proprietary rights of third parties. There is considerable intellectual property litigation in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries. We may become party to, or threatened with, future adversarial proceedings or litigation regarding intellectual property rights with respect to our primary product candidate or other products and technology, including interference or derivation proceedings before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Third parties may assert infringement claims against us based on existing patents or patents that may be granted in the future.

If we are found to infringe a third party's intellectual property rights, we could be required to obtain a license from such third party to continue developing and marketing our products and technology. However, we may not be able to obtain any required license on commercially reasonable terms or at all. Even if we were able to obtain a license, it could be non-exclusive, thereby giving our competitors access to the same technologies licensed to us. We could be forced, including by court order, to cease commercializing the infringing technology or product. In addition, we could be found liable for monetary damages, including treble damages and attorneys' fees if we are found to have willfully infringed a patent. Further, we are obliged under the Supply and License Agreement with Mayne Pharma to indemnify it with respect to any claims, losses, damages or expenses arising from the research, development, or commercialization of SUBA-Itraconazole and any actual or alleged infringement of third party intellectual property rights. A finding of infringement could prevent us from commercializing our product candidates or force us to cease some of our business operations, which could materially harm our business. Claims that we have misappropriated the confidential information or trade secrets of third parties could have a similar negative impact on our business.

---

## **Table of Contents**

***If we fail to comply with our obligations in our intellectual property licenses with third parties, we could lose rights that are important to our business.***

We are and expect to be party to one or more license or similar agreements that may impose due diligence, development and commercialization timelines, milestone payment, royalty, insurance and other obligations on us. If we fail to comply with our obligations under current or future licenses, our counterparties may have the right to terminate these agreements, in which case we might not be able to develop, manufacture or market any product that is covered by these agreements (particularly SUBA-Itraconazole as an anti-cancer therapy) or may face other penalties under the agreements. Such an occurrence could materially adversely affect the value of the product candidate being developed under any such agreement. Termination of these agreements or reduction or elimination of our rights under these agreements may result in our having to negotiate new or reinstated agreements with less favorable terms, or cause us to lose our rights under these agreements, including our rights to important intellectual property or technology.

***Intellectual property litigation could cause us to spend substantial resources and distract our personnel from their normal responsibilities.***

Even if resolved in our favor, litigation or other legal proceedings relating to intellectual property claims may cause us to incur significant expenses, and could distract our technical and management personnel from their normal responsibilities. In addition, there could be public announcements of the results of hearings, motions or other interim proceedings or developments and if securities analysts or investors perceive these results to be negative, it could have a substantial adverse effect on the price of our common stock. Such litigation or proceedings could substantially increase our operating losses and reduce the resources available for development activities or any future sales, marketing or distribution activities. We may not have sufficient financial or other resources to conduct such litigation or proceedings adequately. Some of our competitors may be able to sustain the costs of such litigation or proceedings more effectively than we can because of their greater financial resources. Uncertainties resulting from the initiation and continuation of patent litigation or other proceedings could compromise our ability to compete in the marketplace.

### **Risks Related to Regulatory Approval of Our Product Candidates and Other Legal and Compliance Matters**

***If we fail to obtain, or if there are delays in obtaining, required regulatory approvals, we will not be able to commercialize our product candidate, and our ability to generate revenue and the viability of our company will be materially impaired.***

Our product candidate (SUBA-Itraconazole as an anti-cancer therapy) and the activities associated with its clinical development and commercialization, including matters relating to design, testing, manufacture, safety, efficacy, recordkeeping, labeling, storage, approval, advertising, promotion, sale and distribution, are subject to comprehensive regulation by the FDA (including under the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act) and other regulatory agencies in the United States. Failure to obtain marketing approval for our product candidate will prevent us from commercializing the product candidate. We have not received approval to market SUBA-Itraconazole as an anti-cancer therapy or any other product from regulatory authorities in any jurisdiction and it will likely be years before we are even eligible to receive such approval.

Securing marketing approval requires the submission of extensive preclinical and clinical data and supporting information to regulatory authorities for each therapeutic indication to establish the product candidate's safety and efficacy. Securing marketing approval also requires the submission of information about the product manufacturing process to, and inspection of manufacturing facilities by, the regulatory authorities. Our product candidate may not be effective, may be only moderately effective or may prove to have undesirable or unintended side effects, toxicities or other characteristics that may preclude us from obtaining marketing approval or prevent or limit commercial use of our product. In particular, new cancer drugs frequently are indicated only for patient populations that have not responded to an existing therapy or have relapsed. Even if our product candidate receives marketing approval for one or more indications, of which no assurances may be given, the accompanying labels may limit the approved use of our drug, which could limit sales of the product.

The process of obtaining marketing approvals in the United States is very expensive, may take many years, if approval is obtained at all, and can vary substantially based upon a variety of factors, including the type, complexity and novelty of the product candidate involved. Changes in marketing approval policies during the development period, changes in or the enactment of additional statutes or regulations, or changes in regulatory review for each submitted product application, may cause delays in the approval or rejection of an application. Regulatory authorities have substantial discretion in the approval process and may refuse to accept any application or may decide that our data are insufficient for approval and require additional preclinical, clinical or other studies.

---

## **Table of Contents**

In addition, varying interpretations of the data obtained from preclinical and clinical testing could delay, limit or prevent marketing approval of our product candidate. Any marketing approval we ultimately obtain may be limited or subject to restrictions or post-approval commitments that render the approved product not commercially viable.

If we experience delays in obtaining approval or if we fail to obtain approval of our product candidate, the commercial prospects for our product candidate will be harmed and our ability to generate revenues, and the viability of our company generally, will be materially impaired.

***We may also be subject to healthcare laws, regulation and enforcement; our failure to comply with those laws could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial conditions.***

Although we currently do not directly market or promote any products, we may also be subject to several healthcare regulations and enforcement by the federal government and the states and foreign governments in which we conduct our business. The laws that may affect our ability to operate include:

- the federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (or HIPAA), as amended by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act, which governs the conduct of certain electronic healthcare transactions and protects the security and privacy of protected health information;
- the federal healthcare programs' Anti-Kickback Law, which prohibits, among other things, persons from knowingly and willfully soliciting, receiving, offering or paying remuneration, directly or indirectly, in exchange for or to induce either the referral of an individual for, or the purchase, order or recommendation of, any good or service for which payment may be made under federal healthcare programs such as the Medicare and Medicaid programs;
- federal false claims laws which prohibit, among other things, individuals or entities from knowingly presenting, or causing to be presented, claims for payment from Medicare, Medicaid, or other third-party payors that are false or fraudulent;
- federal criminal laws that prohibit executing a scheme to defraud any healthcare benefit program or making false statements relating to healthcare matters; and
- state law equivalents of each of the above federal laws, such as anti-kickback and false claims laws which may apply to items or services reimbursed by any third-party payor, including commercial insurers.

If our operations are found to be in violation of any of the laws described above or any other governmental regulations that apply to us, we may be subject to penalties, including civil and criminal penalties, damages, fines, the curtailment or restructuring of our operations, the exclusion from participation in federal and state healthcare programs and imprisonment, any of which could adversely affect our ability to operate our business and our financial results.

***We will likely seek approval of SUBA-Itraconazole as an anti-cancer therapy under an expedited procedure, which may not be available to us.***

It is our intention to seek to avail ourselves of the FDA's 505(b)(2) approval procedure where it is appropriate to do so, particularly for SUBA-Itraconazole as an anti-cancer therapy since itraconazole has previously been approved for another indication. Section 505(b)(2) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act permits an applicant to file a New Drug Application (or NDA) with the FDA where at least some of the information required for approval comes from studies not conducted by or for the applicant and for which the applicant has not obtained a right of reference. The applicant may rely upon published literature and the FDA's findings of safety and effectiveness based on certain preclinical testing or clinical studies conducted for an approved product. The FDA may also require companies to perform additional studies or measurements to support the change from the approved product.

If this approval pathway is not available to us with respect to our product candidate, the time and cost associated with developing and commercializing such candidate may be prohibitive and our business strategy could be materially and adversely affected.

***A fast track designation by the FDA, if we obtain such a designation, may not actually lead to a faster development or regulatory review or approval process.***

We may seek "fast track" designation for our product candidate for one or more indications. If a drug is intended for the treatment of a serious or life-threatening condition and the drug demonstrates the potential to address unmet medical needs for this

---

## Table of Contents

condition, the drug sponsor may apply for FDA fast track designation. The FDA has broad discretion whether or not to grant this designation, so even if we believe that SUBA-Itraconazole as an anti-cancer therapy may be eligible for this designation, we cannot assure you that the FDA would decide to grant it should we apply for this designation. Even if we do receive fast track designation, we may not experience a faster development process, review or approval compared to conventional FDA procedures. The FDA may withdraw fast track designation if it believes that the designation is no longer supported by data from our clinical development program.

***A breakthrough therapy designation by the FDA for our product candidate, if we obtain such a designation, may not lead to a faster development or regulatory review or approval process, and it does not increase the likelihood that our product candidate will receive marketing approval.***

We may seek a “breakthrough therapy” designation for our product candidate. A breakthrough therapy is defined as a drug that is intended, alone or in combination with one or more other drugs, to treat a serious or life-threatening disease or condition, and preliminary clinical evidence indicates that the drug may demonstrate substantial improvement over existing therapies on one or more clinically significant endpoints, such as substantial treatment effects observed early in clinical development. For drugs and biologics that have been designated as breakthrough therapies, interaction and communication between the FDA and the sponsor of the trial can help to identify the most efficient path for clinical development while minimizing the number of patients placed in ineffective control regimens. Drugs designated as breakthrough therapies by the FDA are also eligible for accelerated approval.

Designation as a breakthrough therapy is within the discretion of the FDA. Accordingly, even if we believe that SUBA-Itraconazole as an anti-cancer therapy meets the criteria for designation as a breakthrough therapy for one or more indications, the FDA may disagree and instead determine not to make such designation. Even if such designation is granted, of which no assurances may be given, the receipt of a breakthrough therapy designation for our product candidate may not result in a faster development process, review or approval compared to drugs considered for approval under conventional FDA procedures and does not assure ultimate approval by the FDA. In addition, even if SUBA-Itraconazole as an anti-cancer therapy qualifies as a breakthrough therapy for one or more indications, the FDA may later decide that it no longer meets the conditions for qualification or decide that the time period for FDA review or approval will not be shortened, which would deny us the benefits of such designation.

***We may seek but be unable to obtain orphan drug exclusivity for our product candidate. If our competitors are able to obtain orphan drug exclusivity for their products that are the same drug as our product candidate, we may not be able to have competing products approved by the applicable regulatory authority for a significant period of time.***

Regulatory authorities may designate drugs for relatively small patient populations as orphan drugs. Generally, if a product with an orphan drug designation subsequently receives the first marketing approval for the indication for which it has such designation, the product is entitled to a period of market exclusivity, which, subject to certain exceptions, precludes the FDA from approving another marketing application for the same drug for the same indication for that time period. The applicable market exclusivity period is seven years in the United States.

Obtaining orphan drug exclusivity for SUBA-Itraconazole as an anti-cancer therapy may be important to our commercial strategy. If a competitor obtains orphan drug exclusivity for and approval of a product with the same indication as our itraconazole product before we do, and if the competitor’s product is the same drug or a similar medicinal product as ours, we could be excluded from the market. Even if we obtain orphan drug exclusivity for SUBA-Itraconazole as an anti-cancer therapy, we may not be able to maintain it. For example, if a competitive product that is the same drug or a similar medicinal product as our product candidate is shown to be clinically superior to our product candidate, any orphan drug exclusivity we have obtained will not block the approval of such competitive product. In addition, orphan drug exclusivity will not prevent the approval of a product that is the same drug as our product candidate if the FDA finds that we cannot assure the availability of sufficient quantities of the drug to meet the needs of the persons with the disease or condition for which the drug was designated. If one or more of these events occur, it could have a material adverse effect on our company.

***Even if we obtain marketing approval for our product candidate, we could be subject to post-marketing restrictions or withdrawal from the market and we may be subject to penalties if we fail to comply with regulatory requirements or if we experience unanticipated problems.***

Even if we obtain marketing approval for SUBA-Itraconazole as an anti-cancer therapy, along with the manufacturing processes, post-approval clinical data, labeling, advertising and promotional activities for such product, we will be subject to continual requirements of and review by the FDA and other regulatory authorities. These requirements include submissions of safety and other post-marketing information and reports, registration and listing requirements, cGMP requirements relating to manufacturing, quality control, quality assurance and corresponding maintenance of records and documents, requirements regarding the distribution of samples to physicians and recordkeeping. In addition, even if marketing approval of our product candidate is granted, the approval

---

## **Table of Contents**

may be subject to limitations on the indicated uses for which the product may be marketed or to the conditions of approval, including the requirement to implement a risk evaluation and mitigation strategy. New cancer drugs frequently are indicated only for patient populations that have not responded to an existing therapy or have relapsed. If our product candidate receives marketing approval, the accompanying label may limit the approved use of our drug in this way, which could limit sales of the product.

The FDA may also impose requirements for costly post-marketing studies or clinical trials and surveillance to monitor the safety or efficacy of our product. The FDA closely regulates the post-approval marketing and promotion of drugs to ensure drugs are marketed only for the approved indications and in accordance with the provisions of the approved labeling. The FDA imposes stringent restrictions on manufacturers' communications regarding off-label use and if we or any third party partners of ours do not market our products for their approved indications, we may be subject to enforcement action for off-label marketing. Violations of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act relating to the promotion of prescription drugs may lead to investigations alleging violations of federal and state health care fraud and abuse laws, as well as state consumer protection laws.

In addition, later discovery of previously unknown adverse events or other problems with our product, manufacturers or manufacturing processes, or failure to comply with regulatory requirements, may yield various results, including:

- restrictions on such product, our manufacturers or manufacturing processes;
- restrictions on the labeling or marketing of the product;
- restrictions of product distribution use;
- requirements to conduct post-marketing studies or clinical trials;
- the need to utilize warning letters;
- suspension or withdrawal of marketing approvals;
- withdrawal of the product from the market or product recalls;
- refusal by regulatory authorities to approve pending applications or supplements to approved applications that we submit;
- fines, restitution or disgorgement of profits or revenues;
- product seizure; or
- injunctions or the imposition of civil or criminal penalties.

We may face similar issues in connection with non-compliance with non-U.S. regulatory requirements.

### **Risks Related to Our Securities**

#### ***An active trading market for our common stock may not develop or be sustained.***

As we only emerged from bankruptcy in August 2013 and are in the early stages of our business plan, an investment in our company will likely require a long-term commitment, with no certainty of return. Although our common stock is listed for quotation on the Over-the-counter bulletin board (or OTCBB) and the OTCQB marketplace operated by OTC Markets Group, Inc., trading has been very limited and we cannot predict whether an active market for our common stock will ever develop in the future. In the absence of an active trading market:

- investors may have difficulty buying and selling or obtaining market quotations;
- market visibility for shares of our common stock may be limited; and
- a lack of visibility for shares of our common stock may have a depressive effect on the market price for shares of our common stock.

---

## **Table of Contents**

The OTCBB and OTCQB markets are relatively unorganized, inter-dealer, over-the-counter markets that provide significantly less liquidity than NASDAQ or the NYSE MKT (formerly known as the NYSE AMEX market). This illiquid trading market for our common stock may make it difficult for you to dispose of your common stock at desirable prices or at all. Moreover, there is a risk that our common stock could be delisted from the OTCBB and OTCQB, in which case it might be listed on the so called “Pink Sheets”, which is even more illiquid than the OTCQB.

The lack of an active market impairs your ability to sell your shares at the time you wish to sell them or at a price that you consider reasonable. The lack of an active market may also reduce the fair market value of your shares. An inactive market may also impair our ability to raise capital to continue to fund operations by selling shares and may impair our ability to acquire additional intellectual property assets by using our shares as consideration.

***We may not maintain qualification for OTCBB or OTCQB inclusion, and therefore you may be unable to sell your shares.***

Our common stock is eligible for quotation on the OTCBB and OTCQB. However, trading of our common stock could be suspended. If for any reason our common stock does not become eligible or maintain eligibility for quotation on the OTCBB or OTCQB or a public trading market does not develop, purchasers of shares of our common stock may have difficulty selling their shares should they desire to do so. If we are unable to satisfy the requirements for quotation on the OTCBB and OTCQB, any quotation in our common stock could be conducted in the “pink sheets” market. As a result, a purchaser of our common stock may find it more difficult to dispose of, or to obtain accurate quotations as to the price of their shares. This would materially and adversely affect the liquidity of our securities.

***Even if a market for our common stock develops, the market price of our common stock may be significantly volatile, which could result in substantial losses for purchasers.***

The market price for our common stock may be significantly volatile and subject to wide fluctuations in response to factors including the following:

- actual or anticipated fluctuations in our quarterly or annual operating results;
- changes in financial or operational estimates or projections;
- conditions in markets generally;
- changes in the economic performance or market valuations of companies similar to ours; and
- general economic or political conditions in the United States or elsewhere.

In particular, the market prices for securities of biotechnology companies have historically been particularly volatile. Some of the factors that may cause the market price of our common stock to fluctuate include:

- changes in our relationship with Mayne Pharma, including if Mayne Pharma elects to exercise their right to remove our Chief Executive and President and Executive Chairman if certain corporate milestones are not met;
- any delay in or the results of our clinical trials;
- the announcements of clinical trial data, and the investment community’s perception of and reaction to those data;
- the results of clinical trials conducted by others on products that would compete with our product candidate;
- any delay or failure to receive approval from the FDA and other regulatory agencies or bodies;
- our inability to commercially launch our product or market and generate sales of our product;
- failure of our product, even if approved for marketing, to achieve any level of commercial success;
- our failure to obtain or maintain patent protection for any of our technologies and product or the issuance of third party patents that cover our technologies or product;
- developments or disputes concerning our product’s intellectual property rights;



## Table of Contents

- our or our competitors' technological innovations;
- general and industry-specific economic conditions that may affect our expenditures;
- changes in market valuations of similar companies;
- announcements by us or our competitors of significant contracts, acquisitions, strategic partnerships, joint ventures, capital commitments, new technologies, or patents;
- failure to adequately manufacture our product through third parties for purposes of clinical trials or actual sales;
- future sales of our common stock or other securities;
- period-to-period fluctuations in our financial results; and
- low trading volume of our common stock;

In addition, if we fail to reach an important research, development or commercialization milestone or result by a publicly expected deadline, even if by only a small margin, there could be significant impact on the market price of our common stock. Additionally, as we approach the announcement of anticipated significant information and as we announce such information, we expect the price of our common stock to be particularly volatile, and negative results would have a substantial negative impact on the price of our common stock.

In some cases, following periods of volatility in the market price of a company's securities, stockholders have often instituted class action securities litigation against those companies. Such litigation, if instituted, could result in substantial costs and diversion of management attention and resources, which could significantly harm our business operations and reputation.

### ***Our management and two significant stockholders collectively own a substantial majority of our common stock and voting power.***

Collectively, our officers, our directors and two significant stockholders (HPLLC and Mayne Pharma) own or exercise voting and investment control of approximately 91.1% of our outstanding common stock. As a result, investors may be prevented from affecting matters involving our company, including:

- the composition of our Board of Directors and, through it, any determination with respect to our business direction and policies, including the appointment and removal of officers;
- any determinations with respect to mergers or other business combinations;
- our acquisition or disposition of assets; and
- our corporate financing activities.

Furthermore, this concentration of voting power could have the effect of delaying, deterring or preventing a change of control or other business combination that might otherwise be beneficial to our stockholders. This significant concentration of share ownership may also adversely affect the trading price for our common stock because investors may perceive disadvantages in owning stock in a company that is controlled by a small number of stockholders.

### ***Our Board of Directors has the authority to declare a reverse split of our Common Stock, which could adversely affect our capitalization and stock price.***

On July 18, 2014, our Board of Directors acted unanimously to adopt an amendment to Article FOURTH of our Certificate of Incorporation to effect a reverse split of our issued and outstanding Common Stock (and, at the sole discretion of the Board of Directors, our authorized Common Stock) at a ratio of between one-for-five and one-for-twenty, with such ratio to be determined at the sole discretion of the Board of Directors and with such reverse split to be effected at such time and date, if at all, as determined by our board in its sole discretion. On September 30, 2014, our majority stockholders, acting by written consent, approved such amendment and the reverse split.

The principal purpose of the reverse split would be to help increase the per share market price of our Common Stock by up to a factor of twenty, which could help us with our fundraising efforts. We cannot assure you, however, that the reverse split, if implemented, will accomplish either of these objectives for any meaningful period of time or at all. While we expect that the reduction in the number of outstanding shares of Common Stock will increase the market price of our Common Stock, we cannot assure you that the reverse split will increase the market price of our Common Stock by an equivalent multiple, or result in any permanent increase in the market price of our Common Stock. The price of our Common Stock is dependent upon many factors, including our business and financial performance, general market conditions and prospects for future success. If the per share market price does not increase proportionately as a result of the reverse split, then the value of our company as measured by our stock capitalization will be reduced, perhaps significantly. Moreover, while it is believed that a higher stock price could assist in our ability to raise capital, there is a risk that these benefits will not be realized.

In addition, the number of shares held by each individual stockholder would be reduced if the reverse split is implemented. This will increase the number of stockholders who hold less than a "round lot," or 100 shares. This would have the disadvantage that the transaction costs to stockholders selling "odd lots" are typically higher on a per share basis. Consequently, the reverse split could increase the transaction costs to existing stockholders in the event they wish to sell all or a portion of their position.

Also, although it is believed that the decrease in the number of shares of our Common Stock outstanding as a consequence of the reverse split and the anticipated increase in the market price of our Common Stock could encourage interest in our Common Stock and possibly promote greater liquidity for our stockholders, such liquidity could also be adversely affected by the reduced number of shares outstanding after the reverse split.

### ***Future sales of our common stock in the public market could lower the price of our common stock and impair our ability to raise funds in future securities offerings.***

Significant blocks of our stock are held by HPLLC and Mayne Pharma, and these entities also hold warrants to purchase our common stock. Future sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock in the public market, or the perception that such sales may occur, could adversely affect the then prevailing market price of our common stock and could make it more difficult for us to raise funds in the future through a public offering of our securities.

---

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Our common stock may be considered a “penny stock,” and thereby be subject to additional sale and trading regulations that may make it more difficult to sell.***

Our common stock may be considered to be a “penny stock” if it does not qualify for one of the exemptions from the definition of “penny stock” under Section 3a51-1 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”). Our common stock may be a “penny stock” if it meets one or more of the following conditions: (i) the stock trades at a price less than \$5 per share; (ii) it is not traded on a “recognized” national exchange; or (iii) is issued by a company (such as ours) that has been in business less than three years with net tangible assets less than \$5 million.

The principal result or effect of being designated a “penny stock” is that securities broker-dealers participating in sales of our common stock will be subject to the “penny stock” regulations set forth in Rules 15c-2 through 15c-9 promulgated under the Exchange Act. For example, Rule 15c-2 requires broker-dealers dealing in penny stocks to provide potential investors with a document disclosing the risks of penny stocks and to obtain a manually signed and dated written receipt of the document at least two business days before effecting any transaction in a penny stock for the investor’s account. Moreover, Rule 15c-9 requires broker-dealers in penny stocks to approve the account of any investor for transactions in such stocks before selling any penny stock to that investor. This procedure requires the broker-dealer to: (i) obtain from the investor information concerning his or her financial situation, investment experience and investment objectives; (ii) reasonably determine, based on that information, that transactions in penny stocks are suitable for the investor and that the investor has sufficient knowledge and experience as to be reasonably capable of evaluating the risks of penny stock transactions; (iii) provide the investor with a written statement setting forth the basis on which the broker-dealer made the determination in (ii) above; and (iv) receive a signed and dated copy of such statement from the investor, confirming that it accurately reflects the investor’s financial situation, investment experience and investment objectives. Compliance with these requirements may make it more difficult and time consuming for holders of our common stock to resell their shares to third parties or to otherwise dispose of them in the market or otherwise.

### ***FINRA sales practice requirements may also limit your ability to buy and sell our common stock, which could depress the price of our shares.***

FINRA rules require broker-dealers to have reasonable grounds for believing that an investment is suitable for a customer before recommending that investment to the customer. Prior to recommending speculative low-priced securities to their non-institutional customers, broker-dealers must make reasonable efforts to obtain information about the customer’s financial status, tax status and investment objectives, among other things. Under interpretations of these rules, FINRA believes that there is a high probability such speculative low-priced securities will not be suitable for at least some customers. Thus, FINRA requirements make it more difficult for broker-dealers to recommend that their customers buy our common stock, which may limit your ability to buy and sell our shares, have an adverse effect on the market for our shares, and thereby depress our share price.

### ***You may face significant restrictions on the resale of your shares due to state “blue sky” laws.***

Each state has its own securities laws, often called “blue sky” laws, which (1) limit sales of securities to a state’s residents unless the securities are registered in that state or qualify for an exemption from registration, and (2) govern the reporting requirements for broker-dealers doing business directly or indirectly in the state. Before a security is sold in a state, there must be a registration in place to cover the transaction, or it must be exempt from registration. The applicable broker-dealer must also be registered in that state.

We do not know whether our securities will be registered or exempt from registration under the laws of any state. A determination regarding registration will be made by those broker-dealers, if any, who agree to serve as market makers for our common stock. We have not yet applied to have our securities registered in any state and will not do so until we receive expressions of interest from investors resident in specific states after they have viewed this Report. There may be significant state blue sky law restrictions on the ability of investors to sell, and on purchasers to buy, our securities. You should therefore consider the resale market for our common stock to be limited, as you may be unable to resell your shares without the significant expense of state registration or qualification.

### ***There may be limitations on the effectiveness of our internal controls, and a failure of our control systems to prevent error or fraud may materially harm our company.***

Proper systems of internal controls over financial accounting and disclosure are critical to the operation of a public company. As we are a start-up company, we are at the very early stages of establishing, and we may be unable to effectively establish such systems. This would leave us without the ability to reliably assimilate and compile financial information about our company and significantly impair our ability to prevent error and detect fraud, all of which would have a negative impact on our company from many perspectives.

Moreover, we do not expect that disclosure controls or internal control over financial reporting, even if established, will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute,

---

## Table of Contents

assurance that the control system's objectives will be met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected. Failure of our control systems to prevent error or fraud could materially and adversely impact us.

***Because we became public by means other than a traditional initial public offering, we may not be able to attract the attention of major brokerage firms.***

Our business was created when our certain operating assets were contributed to our company in August 2013 as our company was a "shell company" emerging from bankruptcy. Since our current business became a public company by means other than a traditional initial public offering, investors and securities analysts may be reluctant to invest in our provide research coverage of us. This stigma could impair our fundraising opportunities and our reputation generally.

***If securities or industry analysts do not publish research or reports about our business, or if they change their recommendations regarding our stock adversely, our stock price and trading volume could decline.***

The trading market for our common stock will be influenced by the research and reports that industry or securities analysts publish about us or our business. We do not currently have and may never obtain research coverage by industry or financial analysts. If no or few analysts commence coverage of us, the trading price of our stock would likely decrease. Even if we do obtain analyst coverage, if one or more of the analysts who cover us downgrade our stock, our stock price would likely decline. If one or more of these analysts cease coverage of us or fail to regularly publish reports on us, we could lose visibility in the financial markets, which in turn could cause our stock price or trading volume to decline.

***Anti-takeover provisions in our charter documents and Delaware law could discourage, delay or prevent a change in control of our company and may affect the trading price of our common stock.***

We are a Delaware corporation and the anti-takeover provisions of the Delaware General Corporation Law may discourage, delay or prevent a change in control by prohibiting us from engaging in a business combination with an interested stockholder for a period of three years after the person becomes an interested stockholder, even if a change in control would be beneficial to our existing stockholders.

In addition, our certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws may discourage, delay or prevent a change in our management or control over us that stockholders may consider favorable. In particular, our certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws, among other matters:

- permit our Board of Directors to issue up to 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, with any rights, preferences and privileges as they may designate;
- provide that all vacancies on our Board of Directors, including as a result of newly created directorships, may, except as otherwise required by law, be filled by the affirmative vote of a majority of directors then in office, even if less than a quorum;
- provide that stockholders seeking to present proposals before a meeting of stockholders or to nominate candidates for election as directors at a meeting of stockholders must provide advance notice in writing, and also specify requirements as to the form and content of a stockholder's notice;
- do not provide for cumulative voting rights, thereby allowing the holders of a majority of the shares of common stock entitled to vote in any election of directors to elect all of the directors standing for election;
- provide that special meetings of stockholders may only be called by our Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, President, or any other executive officers, our board of directors or a super-majority (66 2/3%) of our stockholders; and
- provide that only a super-majority of our stockholders (66 2/3%) may amend our amended and restated bylaws.

***The financial and operational projections that we may make from time to time are subject to inherent risks.***

The projections that our management may provide from time to time (including, but not limited to, those relating to potential peak sales amounts, product approval, production and supply dates, commercial launch dates, and other financial or operational matters) reflect numerous assumptions made by management, including assumptions with respect to our specific as well as general

## Table of Contents

business, economic, market and financial conditions and other matters, all of which are difficult to predict and many of which are beyond our control. Accordingly, there is a risk that the assumptions made in preparing the projections, or the projections themselves, will prove inaccurate. There will be differences between actual and projected results, and actual results may be materially different from those contained in the projections. The inclusion of the projections in this Report should not be regarded as an indication that we or our management or representatives considered or consider the projections to be a reliable prediction of future events, and the projections should not be relied upon as such.

### ***We do not intend to pay dividends on our common stock.***

We have never declared or paid any cash dividend on our capital stock. We currently intend to retain any future earnings and do not expect to pay any dividends for the foreseeable future. Therefore, you should not invest in our common stock in the expectation that you will receive dividends.

### **Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.**

None.

### **Item 2. Description of Property.**

Hedgepath, LLC has allocated space for our use in its offices in Tampa, Florida and San Diego, California, for which we currently do not pay rent.

### **Item 3. Legal Proceedings.**

#### *Chien Connecticut Case*

In October 2012, Andrew Chien (“Chien”), an alleged shareholder of our predecessor, CBI, filed suit in Connecticut state court (later removed to the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (the “CT District Court”)) against CBI, Dr. Richard J. Freer (a director and officer of CBI) (“Freer”), and the law firm LeClairRyan (the “Chien Connecticut Case”).

In October 2012, the CT District Court in the Chien Connecticut Case entered an Order dismissing Chien’s claims without prejudice on account of CBI’s pending Chapter 11 bankruptcy.

Chien filed various motions in response to the CT District Court’s decision dismissing the claims asserted against Freer and LeClairRyan, including a motion for reconsideration. On Thursday, May 29, 2014, the presiding judge issued several orders. The CT District Court granted Chien’s request that he be allowed to proceed with the fifth and six claims he asserted against CBI in his Complaint, namely (i) a claim for relief entitled “Securities Fraud and Fiduciary Duty Violation against CBI” and (ii) a claim for relief entitled “Fiduciary Duty violation against CBI” (collectively, the “Chien Claims”). A related scheduling order provided that CBI had until June 20, 2014 to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint. We have retained LeClairRyan to serve as CBI’s counsel in the Chien Connecticut Case. On June 20, 2014, LeClairRyan filed on CBI’s behalf a motion to dismiss seeking a dismissal with prejudice of the Chien Claims. LeClairRyan also filed on CBI’s behalf a motion to stay discovery. On August 5, 2014, the CT District Court granted CBI’s motion to stay discovery.

On November 4, 2014, the CT District Court dismissed the case and the matter was closed by the court. On December 1, 2014, Chien filed various motions including a Motion to Reargue. In response, we filed a consolidated opposition to Chien’s various pleadings, including to the Motion to Reargue. We are now awaiting a decision from the Court. We strongly refute as without merit Chien’s claims and will continue to vigorously defend the lawsuit.

#### *Chien Virginia Case*

In April 2013, Chien filed an adversary proceeding to recover monetary and injunctive relief against CBI and Freer in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Virginia (the “EDVA Bankruptcy Court”). On June 19, 2013, the EDVA Bankruptcy Court held a hearing on CBI’s and Freer’s motions to strike and / or dismiss Chien’s adversary proceeding Complaint. At the conclusion of the June 19, 2013 hearing the EDVA Bankruptcy Court granted CBI’s and Freer’s motions to strike and / or dismiss and ordered that Chien’s adversary proceeding be dismissed. On July 1, 2013, the EDVA Bankruptcy Court entered a Memorandum Opinion memorializing its decision. Chien perfected an appeal of the EDVA Bankruptcy Court’s decision (the “Bankruptcy Appeal”) to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia (the “EDVA District Court”).

On August 14, 2014, the EDVA District Court dismissed the case and the matter was closed by the court on September 16, 2014.

### **Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.**

Not applicable.

PART II

**Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.**

Our common stock is listed for quotation on the OTCBB and OTCQB markets under the symbol “HPPI” (and we traded under “CBI” until September 12, 2013). The range of reported high and reported low sales prices per share for our common stock for each fiscal quarter during 2014 and 2013, as reported by the OTC Markets Group, is set forth below.

*Quarterly Common Stock Price Ranges*

<b>Fiscal Year 2014, Quarter Ended:</b>	<b>High</b>	<b>Low</b>
March 31, 2014	\$0.30	\$0.08
June 30, 2014	\$0.23	\$0.05
September 30, 2014	\$0.19	\$0.05
December 31, 2014	\$0.17	\$0.08
<b>Fiscal Year 2013, Quarter Ended:</b>	<b>High</b>	<b>Low</b>
March 31, 2013	\$0.10	\$0.01
June 30, 2013	\$0.12	\$0.03
September 30, 2013	\$0.20	\$0.03
December 31, 2013	\$0.18	\$0.08

As of February 13, 2015, we had approximately 50 holders of record of our common stock. No cash dividends have been paid on the common stock to date. We currently intend to retain earnings for further business development and do not expect to pay cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

*Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans*

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2014 with respect to the shares of our common stock that may be issued under our existing equity compensation plan.

<b>Plan category</b>	<b>Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights</b>	<b>Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights</b>	<b>Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))</b>
	<b>(a)</b>		
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders			
(1)	23,541,738	(2)	9,041,737

(1) The 2014 Equity Incentive Plan was adopted by the Board of Directors and approved by a majority of our stockholders on September 30, 2014.

(2) All of the outstanding securities issued pursuant to our 2014 Equity Incentive Plan are restricted stock units. Each restricted stock unit represents a right to receive one share of our common stock.

---

## **Table of Contents**

### **Item 6. Selected Financial Data.**

We are a “smaller reporting company” as defined by Regulation S-K and as such, are not required to provide the information contained in this item pursuant to Regulation S-K.

### **Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.**

*The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this Report. This discussion and analysis contains forward-looking statements that involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. The actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, including, but not limited to, those which are not within our control.*

#### ***Background of Our Company***

We are a biopharmaceutical company that is seeking to discover, develop and commercialize innovative therapeutics for patients with certain cancers. Our preliminary focus is on the development of therapies for skin, lung and prostate cancers in the U.S. market, with the first indication targeting basal cell carcinoma in patients with Gorlin Syndrome, a genetic disease also known as Basal Cell Carcinoma Nevus Syndrome, which, among other conditions, causes the chronic formation of basal cell tumors. Our proposed therapy is based upon the use of a patented formulation of the currently marketed anti-fungal drug itraconazole.

We have developed, licensed and are seeking to acquire and/or license, intellectual property and know-how related to the treatment of cancer patients using itraconazole and have applied for patents to cover our inventions. We have exclusive rights in the U.S. to develop and to commercialize a specially formulated, patented version of itraconazole, known as SUBA-Itraconazole Capsules, for the treatment of human cancer via oral administration. SUBA-Itraconazole was developed and is licensed to us by our manufacturing partner Mayne Pharma. We believe that the dosing of oral capsules of SUBA-Itraconazole can affect the Hedgehog signaling pathway, a major regulator of many fundamental cellular processes, which, in turn, can impact the development and growth of cancer such as basal cell carcinoma.

We were founded under the name “Commonwealth Biotechnologies, Inc.” in Virginia in 1992, and completed an initial public offering in October 1997. CBI previously provided, on a contract basis, specialized life sciences services to the pharmaceutical and biotechnology sector. On January 20, 2011, CBI filed a voluntary petition for bankruptcy. We began our current business in August 2013 as a Delaware corporation following the emergence of CBI from its voluntary bankruptcy proceedings.

#### ***Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates***

##### *Estimates*

The preparation of financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

##### *Revenue Recognition*

We currently have no ongoing source of revenues. Any miscellaneous income is recognized when earned by us.

##### *Cash and Cash Equivalents*

We consider all highly liquid debt instruments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. At times, we may maintain cash balances in excess of Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insured amounts.

##### *Research and Development Expenses*

Research and development costs are expensed in the period in which they are incurred and include the expenses paid to third parties who conduct research and development activities on our behalf as well as purchased in-process research and development.

---

## Table of Contents

### *Stock-Based Compensation*

We account for stock-based awards to employees and non-employees using Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 718 – Accounting for Share-Based Payments, which provides for the use of the fair value based method to determine compensation for all arrangements where shares of stock or equity instruments are issued for compensation. Fair values of equity securities issued are determined by management based predominantly on the trading price of our common stock. The value of these awards is based upon their grant-date fair value. That cost is recognized over the period during which the employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award.

### *Income taxes*

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for future tax consequences attributed to differences between the consolidated financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and are measured using enacted tax rates that are expected to apply to the differences in the periods that they are expected to reverse. We have evaluated the guidance relating to accounting for uncertainty in income taxes and determined that we had no uncertain income tax positions that could have a significant effect on the consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2014 or 2013. Deferred tax assets consists primarily of in-process research and development, net operating loss carryforward, and share-based compensation.

### *Accounting for Enterprises in Reorganization*

FASB ASC Topic 852—*Reorganizations* (“ASC Topic 852”), which is applicable to companies in Chapter 11, generally does not change the manner in which financial statements are prepared. However, it does require that the financial statements for periods subsequent to the filing of the Chapter 11 petition distinguish transactions and events that are directly associated with the reorganization from the ongoing operations of the business. Revenues, expenses, realized gains and losses, and provisions for losses that can be directly associated with the reorganization and restructuring of the business must be reported separately as reorganization items in the statements of operations beginning in the quarter ending March 31, 2011. The balance sheet must distinguish prepetition liabilities subject to compromise from both those prepetition liabilities that are not subject to compromise and from post-petition liabilities. Liabilities that may be affected by a plan of reorganization must be reported at the amounts expected to be allowed by the Bankruptcy Court, even if they may be settled for lesser amounts. In addition, cash flows from reorganization items must be disclosed separately in the statement of cash flows. The Company became subject to ASC Topic 852 effective on January 20, 2011, and has segregated those items as outlined above for all reporting periods after such date. The Company officially emerged from bankruptcy on April 17, 2013, followed by the reincorporation merger, which satisfied the final condition to effectiveness of the Plan.

## **Results of Operations**

### *For the Year Ended December 31, 2014 Compared to the Year Ended December 31, 2013*

**Chapter 11 Expenses.** We recognized \$117,324 in Chapter 11 expenses during the year ended December 31, 2013. Chapter 11 expenses consist solely of U.S. Trustee fees and legal fees relating to the Company’s bankruptcy filing. There were no such expenses during 2014.

**Research and Development Expenses.** We recognized \$2,430,735 and \$1,065,169 in research and development expenses during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2014, research and development expenses consist of approximately \$1.9 million of in-process research and development associated with the issuance of common stock shares and warrants to Mayne Pharma upon entering into our Amended and Restated Supply and License Agreement in June 2014. The balance of the research and development expenses consists primarily of salaries and consulting fees related to clinical trial design and regulatory activities as well as approximately \$0.3 million in stock compensation expense related to research and development activities. For the year ended December 31, 2013, research and development expenses consisted of approximately \$1.0 million in in-process research and development purchased with the issuance of the preferred shares to Hedgepath, LLC in August 2013, and salaries related to clinical trial design and regulatory activities.

**General and Administrative Expenses.** We recognized \$1,507,082 and \$817,316 in general and administrative expenses during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. General and administrative expenses consist primarily of compensation and related costs for corporate administrative staff, facility expenditures, professional fees, consulting and taxes. The increase of approximately \$0.7 million is primarily a result of the increase in stock compensation expense of \$0.5 million during 2014. The increase in stock compensation was a result of the issuance, during 2014, of restricted stock units to certain employees and Directors under the 2014 Equity Incentive Plan.

## Table of Contents

**Interest Expense.** We recognized \$37,481 and \$1,923 in interest expense during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The increase was due primarily to increased interest related to former employee notes resulting from extensions of such notes which were paid in full in December 2014.

**Gain on Reorganization.** We recognized an aggregate of \$166,676 in gain on reorganization during the year ended December 31, 2013. Gain on reorganization was associated with the final payments under the Chapter 11 reorganization plan. There was no such gain in 2014.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

We are presently developing our clinical and regulatory business plans and seeking financing to fund such plans. Our current cash on hand is insufficient to develop our clinical and regulatory business plan, and we have not generated revenue since emerging from bankruptcy and do not anticipate generating revenue for the foreseeable future. A continued lack of cash resources resulting from our inability to generate cash flow from operations or to raise capital from external sources would force us in the near future to substantially curtail or cease operations and would, therefore, have a material adverse effect on our business and overall viability. In addition, such lack of funding, if not agreed otherwise by the parties, could force a termination of our key supply and license agreement with Mayne Pharma, which would cause substantial harm to our business prospects.

There can be no assurance that any funds required during the next twelve months or thereafter can be generated from our operations. Nor can there be any assurance that funds will be available from external sources, such as debt or equity financing or other potential sources on commercially acceptable terms, or at all (including, without limitation, a proposed \$5 million equity financing).

Given our current lack of cash and cash equivalents, we have relied on loans from our insiders and affiliates to fund our operations until we are able to raise additional capital.

We intend to finance our research and development, commercialization and distribution efforts and its working capital needs primarily through:

- securing proceeds from public and private financings and other strategic transactions;
- partnering with other pharmaceutical companies to assist in the supply, manufacturing and distribution of our products for which we would expect to receive upfront milestone and royalty payments;
- potential licensing and joint venture arrangements with third parties, including other pharmaceutical companies where we would receive funding based on out-licensing its product to augment their product profile in the treatment of cancers; and
- seeking government or private foundation grants which would be awarded to us to further develop our current and future anti-cancer therapies.

### **Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments**

Our non-cancellable contractual obligations as of December 31, 2014 are as follows:

	<u>Total</u>	<u>Less than 1 year</u>	<u>1-3 years</u>	<u>3-5 years</u>	<u>More than 5 years</u>
Employment contracts	<u>\$991,650</u>	<u>\$328,200</u>	<u>\$663,450</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>
Total contractual cash obligations	<u>\$991,650</u>	<u>\$328,200</u>	<u>\$663,450</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

### **Off Balance Sheet Arrangements**

We are not a party to any off balance sheet arrangements.

### **Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.**

We are a “smaller reporting company” as defined by Regulation S-K and as such, are not required to provide the information contained in this item pursuant to Regulation S-K.

### **Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.**

Our Financial Statements and Notes thereto and the report of Cherry Bekaert LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, are set forth on pages F-1 through F-17 of this Report.

### **Item 9. Changes In and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.**

Pursuant to the filing of a Form 8-K on August 28, 2013, the Company changed their auditing firm to Cherry Bekaert LLP. There were no disagreements with the predecessor on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosures or auditing scope or procedure, which disagreements, if not resolved to the satisfaction of the predecessor would have caused them to make reference to the subject matter of the disagreement in connection with their report.



---

## **Table of Contents**

### **Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.**

#### ***Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures***

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, we carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, at December 31, 2014, such disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Disclosure controls and procedures are controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

#### ***Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls***

Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of our disclosure control system are met. Because of inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues, if any, within a company have been detected. Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded, based on their evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this Report, that our disclosure controls and procedures were sufficiently effective to provide reasonable assurance that the objectives of our disclosure control system were met.

#### ***Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting***

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the year ended December 31, 2014 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

#### ***Changes in Certifying Officers***

During our third fiscal quarter of 2013 and in conjunction with the short-form merger between the Company and HPPI, the Company's management and Certifying Officers were replaced, and new officers were appointed. The Company does not believe that the change in Certifying Officers has materially affected our internal control over financial reporting.

#### ***Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting***

As required by the SEC rules and regulations for the implementation of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of our financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

- (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of our company,
- (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors, and
- (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect errors or misstatements in our financial statements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree or compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting at December 31, 2014. In making these assessments, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework*. Based on our assessments and those criteria, management determined that we maintained effective internal control over financial reporting at December 31, 2014.

---

[Table of Contents](#)

**Item 9B. Other Information.**

None.

**PART III**

**Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.**

Our directors and executive officers and their ages as of February 13, 2015 are as follows:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Position</u>
Frank E. O'Donnell, Jr., M.D.	65	Executive Chairman and Director
Nicholas J. Virca	68	President and Chief Executive Officer
Garrison J. Hasara, CPA	45	Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer
Samuel P. Sears, Jr.	71	Director
W. Mark Watson, CPA	64	Director
Stefan J. Cross	42	Director
Dr. R. Dana Ono	62	Director

There are no family relationships between any of our directors or executive officers.

*Frank E. O'Donnell, Jr., M.D.*, age 65, is our Executive Chairman of the Board and a Director of our company. He has been the Chairman of the Board of BioDelivery Sciences International (NASDAQ:BDSI) since 2002, and currently serves as Executive Chairman of BDSI. For more than six years, Dr. O'Donnell has been involved with various private limited liability companies which engage in private equity and venture capital investing in disruptive technologies in healthcare, including Hedgepath, LLC. Dr. O'Donnell is qualified to serve on our Board of Directors due to his medical training and extensive experience with investing in and operating biotechnology companies. Dr. O'Donnell is a graduate of The Johns Hopkins School of Medicine and received his residency training at the Wilmer Ophthalmological Institute, Johns Hopkins Hospital. Dr. O'Donnell is a former professor and Chairman of the Department of Ophthalmology, St. Louis University School of Medicine. He is a trustee of St. Louis University.

*Nicholas J. Virca*, age 68, has been our President and Chief Executive Officer since August 2013 and has been working on our business opportunity with Hedgepath, LLC since April 2012. From 2008 until April 2012, Mr. Virca served as the Chief Operating Officer for LamdaGen Corporation, a privately held company focused on monitoring assays for biopharmaceutical development and manufacturing applications, as well as high-sensitivity detection for human diagnostic biomarkers, such as oncoproteins related to cervical cancer. From 2005 to 2008, Mr. Virca was Vice President for Global Biotechnology at Pall Life Sciences where he was responsible for growth strategies and programs in the biotechnology arena, including new technology and product initiatives, joint ventures, licensing and acquisitions. He also founded the first Scientific Advisory Board for Pall's Biopharmaceuticals Division. From 1997 to 2004, Mr. Virca was COO, and later CEO and President of Adventrx Pharmaceuticals focusing on anti-cancer drug development in human clinical trials. He was instrumental in transitioning the company from a private corporation to a listing on the American Stock Exchange. Mr. Virca held various marketing and general management positions at Damon Biotech, Promega Corporation, Nicolet Imaging Systems, Ortho Diagnostic Systems, Fisher Scientific, Waters, Ross Laboratories and Pfizer Diagnostics. Mr. Virca currently serves on the board of Panoptix Events and on the Life Sciences Advisory Board of Entegris, Inc. He previously served on the boards of Adventrx Pharmaceuticals between 2001 and 2004, and Diametrix Detectors between 1991 and 1997. He earned a bachelor's degree in Biology from Youngstown State University, is the co-inventor of packaging technology for enzyme research reagents, and is a member of numerous biotechnology organizations for which he has been a speaker and organizer over the last two decades.

*Garrison J. Hasara, CPA*, age 45, has been our Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer since September 2013. From January 2011 to September 2013, he was the Acting Chief Financial Officer, Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer of Accentia Biopharmaceuticals, Inc., a biotechnology company focused on discovering, developing and commercializing innovative therapies that address the unmet medical needs of patients by utilizing therapeutic clinical products. He also served as Accentia's Controller, a position that he held since June 2005. From November 2003 to June 2005, Mr. Hasara served as Accentia's Compliance Specialist. Prior to that time, from 2000 to 2003, Mr. Hasara was the Chief Financial Officer of Automotive Service Centers, Inc., a franchisee of Midas, Inc. In addition, from 1996 to 1999, Mr. Hasara served in various accounting roles at KForce Inc., a publicly traded staffing services company. Mr. Hasara has been a licensed Certified Public Accountant since 1993 and received his B.S. from the University of South Florida in 1991.

*Samuel P. Sears, Jr.*, age 71, is a director of our company and Chairman of the Compensation Committee. He has been a member of the Board of Directors of BioDelivery Sciences International since October 2011 (NASDAQ: BDSI). Mr. Sears has extensive experience in the biopharmaceutical, nutraceutical and biotechnology industries. Since 2006, Mr. Sears has been a partner at the law firm of Cetrulo LLP, where he currently serves as managing partner, and from 2000 to 2006, he provided private consulting

## **Table of Contents**

and legal advisory services to start-up and early stage development companies. From 2000 to 2013, Mr. Sears served as Director, Chairman of the Audit Committee, Chairman of the Executive Committee, and Member of the Compensation Committee of Commonwealth Biotechnologies, Inc., a research and development support services company. From 1998 to 2000, Mr. Sears served as Vice Chairman and Treasurer of American Prescription Providers, Inc., a specialty pharmacy network offering prescriptions and nutraceuticals to patients with chronic diseases. From 1994 through May 1998, Mr. Sears was Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of Star Scientific, Inc. From 1968 to 1993, Mr. Sears was in private law practice. Mr. Sears is qualified to serve on our Board of Directors because of his extensive legal and business experience, including in the pharmaceutical industry. Mr. Sears is a graduate of Harvard College and Boston College Law School.

*W. Mark Watson, CPA*, age 64, is a director of our company and Chairman of the Audit Committee. Mr. Watson is a Certified Public Accountant with over 40 years of experience in public accounting and auditing, having spent his entire career from January 1973 to June 2013 at Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu and its predecessor, most recently as Central Florida Marketplace Leader. Among other industries, he has a particular expertise in the health and life sciences sector, having played a significant role in the development of Deloitte's audit approach for health and life sciences companies and leading its national healthcare regulatory and compliance practice. He has served as lead audit partner and advisory partner on the accounts of many public companies ranging from middle market firms to Fortune 500 enterprises. Mr. Watson is a member of American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the Florida Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Mr. Watson is qualified to serve on our Board of Directors due to his expertise in public accounting and his experience with pharmaceutical companies. He received his undergraduate degree in Accounting from Marquette University.

*Stefan J. Cross*, age 42, is a director of our company and the appointee of Mayne Pharma to our Board of Directors. Since November 2013, Mr. Cross has served as the President of the U.S. subsidiaries of Mayne Pharma Group Limited (ASX: MYX). Mr. Cross has more than 20 years of experience in the pharmaceutical industry. Prior to his current appointment as President, he served since 2012 as the Vice President, Business and Corporate Development of Mayne Pharma's non-U.S. operations, where he was responsible for all in-licensing and out-licensing programs and research and development partnerships. Prior to joining Mayne Pharma, Mr. Cross was, from 2007 to 2012, Head of Marketing (Asia Pacific) for Hospira Inc., a leading global provider of pharmaceuticals and medical devices, where he was responsible for expansion of the new product portfolio and on-market product growth across all markets in the region. Prior to Hospira, Mr. Cross spent most of the period from 1991 to 2007 working in the pharmaceutical sector in the areas of strategy, business development/mergers and acquisitions, sales and marketing, human resources, finance and information technology. Mr. Cross is qualified to serve on our Board of Directors because of his extensive business experience in the pharmaceutical industry. Mr. Cross holds a Masters in Business Administration from Swinburne University of Technology, Australia, and a degree in Business Information Systems from the University of South Australia.

*Dr. R. Dana Ono*, age 62, is a director of our company and Chairman of the Nominating and Governance Committee. Dr. Ono is a co-founder of, and since 2000 has been associated with, the VIMAC Milestone Medica Fund LP, a Boston-based early-stage life sciences fund co-sponsored by VIMAC Ventures LLC and RBC Technology Ventures, Inc. Dr. Ono has 30 years of experience in managing public and private life science companies, including, from 1995 to 2000, serving as President and Chief Executive Officer of Intralmmune Therapies, Inc., which was sold to Abgenix, Inc. in 2000. Throughout his career, he has been engaged in the strategic planning, product management, technology acquisition, and commercial development of life science start-ups and has been involved in a number of pioneering milestones in biotechnology. He has founded several biotech companies in the U.S., including in the areas of drug discovery and development, nutraceuticals and cosmeceuticals. He is a founding director of the Massachusetts Biotechnology Council, Inc. and serves on the Board of Trustees of the Marine Biological Laboratory in Woods Hole, Massachusetts. Dr. Ono is qualified to serve on our Board of Directors because of his medical and business expertise, particularly in the pharmaceutical industry. Dr. Ono received his AB in Earth & Planetary Sciences from The Johns Hopkins University and his AM and PhD in Biology from Harvard University, where he also completed a program in business administration.

### *Involvement in Certain Legal Proceedings*

On July 24, 2013 and August 5, 2013, purported class actions were filed in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida (Tampa Division) against Accentia Biopharmaceuticals, Inc., and several current and former directors and officers of Accentia and its former subsidiary, Biovest International, Inc. (collectively the "Class Action"), including Frank E. O'Donnell, Jr. M.D., our Executive Chairman. The complaints allege that the defendants violated federal securities laws by making or causing Accentia and/or Biovest to make false statements, and by failing to disclose or causing Accentia and/or Biovest to fail to disclose material information, concerning the results of the clinical trial of Biovest's cancer vaccine, BiovaxID, and status of its approval by the FDA. Plaintiffs seek damages in an unspecified amount on behalf of shareholders who purchased common stock of Accentia or Biovest during a defined time period. All defendants, including Dr. O'Donnell, believe this litigation to be without merit, deny any wrongdoing or liability and have vigorously defended the alleged claims. A settlement of this matter, in which defendants make no admissions of wrongdoing or liability, has been agreed upon by all parties and approved by the Court.

### *Executive Chairman*

*Frank E. O'Donnell, Jr., M.D., Executive Chairman* - On June 24, 2014, Frank E. O'Donnell, Jr., M.D. entered into an Executive Chairman Agreement with us to memorialize the terms under which Dr. O'Donnell will continue to serve in such capacity and as a director of our company. The Executive Chairman Agreement will continue until the date that Dr. O'Donnell is no longer serving as a member of our Board of Directors. For services rendered as Executive Chairman, Dr. O'Donnell is entitled to cash compensation of \$43,200 per year, increasing to \$72,000 per year upon achievement of the certain funding goals. Dr. O'Donnell is further entitled to an annual bonus in cash or in securities of our company of up to 50% of Dr. O'Donnell's annual fee. Dr. O'Donnell may not compete against us or solicit employees or customers from us for a period of one year after termination of the Executive Chairman Agreement as described in further detail in the same. The Executive Chairman Agreement may be terminated by either us or Dr. O'Donnell with 60 days' written notice and upon the terms as described above in the Equity Holders Agreement. Upon termination, we will be required to pay Dr. O'Donnell all compensation and expenses that are owed to him as of the date of termination.

### *Board Committees and Director Independence*

#### *Director Independence*

Of our current directors, we have determined that Samuel P. Sears, Jr., Dr. R. Dana Ono, and W. Mark Watson are "independent" as defined by NASDAQ Stock Market rules. Accordingly, a majority of our Board of Directors is "independent."

#### *Board Committees*

Our Board of Directors has established three standing committees — Audit, Compensation, and Nominating and Corporate Governance. All standing committees operate under a charter that has been approved by our Board of Directors.

#### *Audit Committee*

Our Board of Directors has an Audit Committee, composed of W. Mark Watson, Stefan J. Cross and Samuel P. Sears, Jr. Mr. Watson and Mr. Sears are independent directors as defined in accordance with Rule 10A-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market. Mr. Watson serves as chairman of the committee. The Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Watson is an "audit committee financial expert" as defined in Item 407(d)(5)(ii) of Regulation S-K.

Our Audit Committee oversees our corporate accounting, financial reporting practices and the audits of financial statements. For this purpose, the Audit Committee has a charter (which will be reviewed annually) and performs several functions. The Audit Committee:

- evaluates the independence and performance of, and assesses the qualifications of, our independent auditor and engages such independent auditor;

- approves the plan and fees for the annual audit, quarterly reviews, tax and other audit-related services and approves in advance any non-audit service and fees therefor to be provided by the independent auditor;
- monitors the independence of the independent auditor and the rotation of partners of the independent auditor on our engagement team as required by law;
- reviews the financial statements to be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K and Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and reviews with management and the independent auditors the results of the annual audit and reviews of our quarterly financial statements;
- provides oversight assistance in connection with legal, ethical and risk management compliance programs established by management and the board, including compliance with requirements of Sarbanes-Oxley and makes recommendations to the Board of Directors regarding corporate governance issues and policy decisions.

*Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee*

Our Board of Directors has a Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee composed of Dr. R. Dana Ono, Stefan Cross and W. Mark Watson. Dr. Ono serves as the chairman of the committee. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is charged with the responsibility of reviewing our corporate governance policies and with proposing potential director nominees to the Board of Directors for consideration. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has a charter which is reviewed annually. Dr. Ono and Mr. Watson are independent directors in accordance with the rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider director nominees recommended by security holders.

## Table of Contents

### Compensation Committee

Our Board of Directors also has a Compensation Committee, which reviews or recommends the compensation arrangements for our management and employees and also assists the Board of Directors in reviewing and approving matters such as company benefit and insurance plans, including monitoring the performance thereof. The Compensation Committee has a charter (which will be reviewed annually) and is composed of three members: Samuel P. Sears, Jr., Stefan Cross and Dr. R. Dana Ono. Mr. Sears serves as chairman of this committee. Mr. Sears and Dr. Ono are independent in accordance with rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market.

### Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires that our directors and executive officers and persons who beneficially own more than 10% of our common stock (referred to herein as the “reporting persons”) file with the SEC various reports as to their ownership of and activities relating to our common stock. Such reporting persons are required by the SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) reports they file.

Based solely upon a review of copies of Section 16(a) reports and representations received by us from reporting persons, and without conducting any independent investigation of our own, in fiscal year 2014, all Forms 3, 4 and 5 were timely filed with the SEC by such reporting persons, except as stated below.

Messrs. Watson, Hasara, Sears, Cross and Virca and Dr. Ono each failed to file one Form 4 relating to certain unvested restricted stock units granted to them by us as required by section 16(a) of the Exchange Act during the most recent fiscal year. Each officer and director has subsequently filed a Form 5 with the SEC.

### Code of Ethics

We have adopted a formal code of ethics that applies to our directors and principal executives and financial officers or persons performing similar functions. A copy of our Code of Ethical Conduct can be found on our website under “Investor Relations” at <http://www.hedgepathpharma.com/>.

### Item 11. Executive Compensation.

The following table sets forth all compensation paid to our named executive officers at the end of the fiscal years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013. Individuals we refer to as our “named executive officers” include our Chief Executive Officer and our most highly compensated executive officers whose salary and bonus for services rendered in all capacities exceeded \$100,000 during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014.

Name and principal position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non- Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Nicholas J. Virca	2014	\$136,250	—	\$2,557,095	—	—	—	\$ 1,886 <sup>(2)</sup>	\$2,695,231
President and Chief Executive Officer <sup>(1)</sup>	2013	\$120,000	—	—	—	—	—	—	\$ 120,000
Garrison J. Hasara, CPA	2014	\$135,000	—	\$ 980,000	—	—	—	\$ 10,964 <sup>(4)</sup>	\$1,125,964
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer <sup>(3)</sup>	2013	\$ 72,692	—	—	—	—	—	\$ 3,890 <sup>(4)</sup>	\$ 76,582

(1) Nicholas J. Virca was hired as Chief Executive Officer on August 1, 2013.

(2) Includes: \$1,886 of health insurance premiums paid in 2014.

(3) Garrison J. Hasara was hired as Chief Financial Officer on August 1, 2013.

(4) Includes: \$10,964 and \$3,890 of health insurance premiums paid in 2014 and 2013, respectively.

---

## Table of Contents

### *Narrative Disclosure to Summary Compensation Table*

#### *Employment Agreements*

Except as set forth below, we currently have no written employment agreements with any of our officers, directors, or key employees.

*Nicholas J. Virca, President and Chief Executive Officer* - On June 24, 2014, Nicholas J. Virca entered into an employment agreement with us. Pursuant to his employment agreement, Mr. Virca will act as our President and Chief Executive Officer for a term of three (3) years from the effective date of the agreement. At the end of the three year term, the agreement will automatically renew for successive one year terms unless prior written notice is received from either party within 60 days prior to the end of the particular term. Mr. Virca will earn a base salary of \$150,000 per year for services rendered. Such base salary will automatically increase to \$250,000 per year upon achievement of certain funding goals as described in the employment agreement. Mr. Virca is also eligible for a bonus in cash or in kind of up to 50% of his base salary based upon his achievement of certain goals as established by the Board of Directors or a committee of the Board of Directors. In addition, in July 2014, Mr. Virca was awarded 15,041,738 restricted stock units from the 2014 Equity Incentive Plan ("EIP"), subsequently approved by our majority stockholders. Such restricted stock units will vest on the earlier to occur of September 3, 2016 and the receipt of written notice of acceptance for the filing of an NDA by us for SUBA-Itraconazole by the relevant regulatory authority.

Mr. Virca's employment agreement may be terminated with or without cause by us or for or without good reason by Mr. Virca. In the event that the employment agreement is terminated for cause by us or without good reason by Mr. Virca, Mr. Virca is entitled to receive all accrued but unpaid salary and bonus amounts. In the event that the employment agreement is terminated without cause by us or for good reason by Mr. Virca, Mr. Virca is entitled to all accrued but unpaid salary and bonus amounts plus a cash payment equal to six months of Mr. Virca's base salary, provided that such payment will equal twelve months of Mr. Virca's base salary if we have reached certain milestones. In the event that the employment agreement is terminated for good reason by Mr. Virca following a change of control, Mr. Virca is entitled to all accrued but unpaid salary and bonus amounts plus a cash payment equal to twelve months of Mr. Virca's base salary, provided that such payment will equal eighteen months of Mr. Virca's base salary if we have reached certain performance milestones. The employment agreement is also terminable upon death and disability and upon the terms as described in the Equity Holders Agreement between Hedgepath, LLC and Mayne Pharma described under "Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions. Mr. Virca may not compete against us or solicit employees or customers from us for a period of one (1) year after termination of his employment for any reason as described in his employment agreement.

*Garrison J. Hasara, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer* - On September 4, 2014, we and Garrison Hasara, our Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, entered into an employment agreement to memorialize the terms under which Mr. Hasara will continue to serve in such capacity. The employment agreement has a term through December 31, 2017. For services rendered, Mr. Hasara is entitled to cash compensation of \$135,000 per year, increasing to \$180,000 per year upon closing on a follow-on public offering. Mr. Hasara is further entitled to an annual bonus in cash or in securities of our company of up to 50% of Mr. Hasara's annual fee beginning with fiscal year 2015. In addition, Mr. Hasara was awarded 7,000,000 restricted stock units from the EIP, subsequently approved by our majority stockholders. 3,500,000 of such restricted stock units will vest on the earlier to occur of September 3, 2016 and the receipt of written notice of acceptance for the filing of an NDA by us for SUBA-Itraconazole by the relevant regulatory authority. The balance will vest on September 3, 2017.

Mr. Hasara's employment agreement may be terminated with or without cause by us or for or without good reason by Mr. Hasara. In the event that the employment agreement is terminated for cause by us or without good reason by Mr. Hasara, Mr. Hasara is entitled to receive all accrued but unpaid salary and bonus amounts. In the event that the employment agreement is terminated without cause by us or for good reason by Mr. Hasara, Mr. Hasara is entitled to all accrued but unpaid salary and bonus amounts plus a cash payment equal to six months of Mr. Hasara's base salary, provided that such payment will equal twelve months of Mr. Hasara's base salary if we have reached certain milestones. In the event that the employment agreement is terminated for good reason by Mr. Hasara following a change of control, Mr. Hasara is entitled to all accrued but unpaid salary and bonus amounts plus a cash payment equal to twelve months of Mr. Hasara's base salary. The employment agreement is also terminable upon death and disability. Mr. Hasara may not compete against us or solicit employees or customers from us for a period of one (1) year after termination of his employment for any reason as described in his employment agreement.

[Table of Contents](#)

*Outstanding equity awards*

The following table summarizes outstanding unexercised options, unvested stocks and equity incentive plan awards held by each of our named executive officers, as of December 31, 2014:

**OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END**

Name	OPTION AWARDS					STOCK AWARDS			
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Options Exercise Prices (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)
Nicholas Virca	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	15,041,738 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 1,805,009
Garrison J. Hasara, CPA	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	7,000,000 <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ 840,000

- (1) Includes unvested stock awards consist of Restricted Stock Units which are rights to acquire shares of our Common Stock. Mr. Virca's 15,041,738 Restricted Stock Units will vest on the earlier to occur of September 3, 2016 and the receipt of written notice of acceptance for the filing of an NDA by us for SUBA-Itraconazole by the relevant regulatory authority.
- (2) Includes unvested stock awards consist of Restricted Stock Units which are rights to acquire shares of our Common Stock. Mr. Hasara's 7,000,000 Restricted Stock Units will as follows: 3,500,000 of such restricted stock units will vest on the earlier to occur of September 3, 2016 and the receipt of written notice of acceptance for the filing of an NDA by us for SUBA-Itraconazole by the relevant regulatory authority. The balance will vest on September 3, 2017.

**2014 Equity Incentive Plan**

In July 2014, our Board of Directors adopted our 2014 Equity Incentive Plan (which we refer to as the EIP). On September 30, 2014, the EIP was approved by the majority of stockholders pending delivery of required notice to all Company stockholders. The EIP is comprised of 32,583,475 shares of our common stock (ranking pari passu with our issued and outstanding common stock) to be available in the form of incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance awards and other customary equity incentives.

The purpose of our EIP is to attract and retain directors, officers, consultants, advisors and employees whose services are considered valuable, to encourage a sense of proprietorship and to stimulate an active interest of such persons in our development and financial achievements. The EIP will be administered by the compensation committee of our Board of Directors or by the full Board of Directors, which may determine, among other things, (a) the persons who are to receive awards, (b) the type or types of awards to be granted to such persons, (c) the number of shares of common stock to be covered by, or with respect to which payments, rights, or other matters are to be calculated in connection with the awards, (d) the terms and conditions of any awards, (e) whether, to what extent, and under what circumstances awards may be settled or exercised in cash, shares of common stock, other securities, other awards or other property, or canceled, forfeited, or suspended and the method or methods by which awards may be settled, exercised, canceled, forfeited, or suspended, (f) whether, to what extent, and under what circumstances the delivery of cash, shares of common stock, other securities, other awards or other property and other amounts payable with respect to an award, (g) interpret, administer, reconcile any inconsistency in, settle any controversy regarding, correct any defect in and/or complete any omission in the EIP and any instrument or agreement relating to, or award granted under, the EIP, (h) establish, amend, suspend, or waive any rules and regulations and appoint such agents as the compensation committee deems appropriate for the proper administration of the EIP, (i) accelerate the vesting or exercisability of, payment for or lapse of restrictions on, awards and (j) make any other determination and take any other action that the compensation committee deems necessary or desirable for the administration of the EIP.



The EIP provides that in the event of a change of control event, (i) all of the then outstanding options and stock appreciation rights granted pursuant to the EIP will immediately vest and become immediately exercisable as of a time prior to the change in control, (ii) any performance goal restrictions related to an award will expire as of a time prior to the change in control and (iii) any performance periods that relating to an award which have not yet expired on the date the change in control occurs will end on such date, and the compensation committee will (a) determine the extent to which performance goals with respect to each such performance period have been met based upon such audited or unaudited financial information or other information then available as it deems relevant and (b) cause the relevant participant to receive partial or full payment of awards for each such performance period based upon the compensation committee's determination of the degree of attainment of the performance goals, or assuming that the applicable "target" levels of performance have been attained or on such other basis determined by the compensation committee.

## Table of Contents

In addition, subject to our Equity Holders Agreement, our Board of Directors may amend our EIP at any time. However, without stockholder approval, our EIP may not be amended in a manner that would:

- increase the number of shares that may be issued under our EIP;
- materially modify the requirements for eligibility for participation in our EIP;
- materially increase the benefits to participants provided by our EIP; or
- otherwise disqualify our EIP for coverage under Rule 16b-3 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

Awards previously granted under our EIP may not be impaired or affected by any amendment of our EIP, without the consent of the affected grantees.

### Option Exercises and Stock Vested

There were no options exercised by the executive officers during the years ended December 31, 2014 or 2013.

### Pension Benefits

None of our employees participate in or have account balances in qualified or non-qualified defined benefit plans sponsored by us. Our Compensation Committee may elect to adopt qualified or non-qualified benefit plans in the future if it determines that doing so is in our company's best interest.

### Non-qualified Deferred Compensation

None of our employees participate in or have account balances in non-qualified defined contribution plans or other non-qualified deferred compensation plans maintained by us. Our Compensation Committee may elect to provide our officers and other employees with non-qualified defined contribution or other non-qualified compensation benefits in the future if it determines that doing so is in our company's best interest.

### Compensation of Directors

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(1)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Frank E. O'Donnell, Jr., MD	\$ 22,500(2)	\$51,000				\$ —	\$73,500
Stefan J. Cross	\$ —	\$51,000				\$ —	\$51,000
Dr. R. Dana Ono	\$ —	\$51,000				\$ —	\$51,000
Samuel P. Sears, Jr.	\$ —	\$51,000				\$ —	\$51,000
W. Mark Watson, CPA	\$ —	\$51,000				\$ —	\$51,000

(1) Each Director received 300,000 Restricted Stock Units issued under the 2014 Equity Incentive Plan which will vest on the earlier to occur of September 3, 2016 and the receipt of written notice of acceptance for the filing of an NDA by us for SUBA-Itraconazole by the relevant regulatory authority.

(2) Compensation for serving as Executive Chairman.

[Table of Contents](#)

**Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.**

The following table sets forth, as of February 28, 2014, by: (i) each of our directors, (ii) all persons who, to our knowledge, are the beneficial owners of more than 5% of the outstanding shares of common stock, (iii) each of the executive officers, and (iv) all of our directors and executive officers, as a group. Each person named in this table has sole investment power and sole voting power with respect to the shares of common stock set forth opposite such person's name, except as otherwise indicated. Unless otherwise indicated, the address for each person listed below is in care of HedgePath Pharmaceuticals, Inc. 324 S Hyde Park Avenue #350, Tampa, FL 33606

Name and address of beneficial owners	Amount and nature of beneficial ownership of Common Stock	Approximate percentage of outstanding Common Stock(1)
Mayne Pharma Ventures Pty Ltd.(2)	98,094,466	44.3%
Hedgepath, LLC(3)	114,937,638	51.9%
Black Robe Capital LLC(4)	114,937,638	51.9%
Frank E. O'Donnell, Jr., M.D.(4)	114,937,638	51.9%
Nicholas J. Virca(5)	—	—
Garrison J. Hasara, CPA(6)	—	—
Samuel P. Sears(7)	1,106,096	*
Stefan J. Cross(8)	—	—
Dr. R. Dana Ono(9)	—	—
W. Mark Watson, CPA(10)	10,000	*
All directors and executive officers as a group (7 persons)	116,053,734	52.4%

\* Less than 1%

- (1) Applicable percentages are based on 211,419,937 shares outstanding as of the date of this filing. This table is based upon information supplied by officers, directors, and principal stockholders and Schedule 13G(s) filed with the SEC. Unless indicated in the footnotes to this table and subject to community property laws where applicable, we believe that each of the stockholders named in this table has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares indicated as beneficially owned.
- (2) Includes 87,843,897 shares of our common stock and a warrant to purchase an additional 10,250,569 shares of our common stock. The address for Mayne Pharma Ventures Pty Ltd is Level 14, 474 Flinders Street, Melbourne Vic 3000, Australia
- (3) Includes 104,687,069 shares of our common stock and a warrant to purchase an additional 10,250,569 shares of our common stock. The address for Hedgepath LLC is 324 S Hyde Park Avenue, Suite 350, Tampa, Florida 33606
- (4) The address for Black Robe Capital, LLC is 324 S Hyde Park, Suite 350, Tampa, Florida 33606. Black Robe is the sole manager of Hedgepath, LLC, and has sole voting and dispositive power over the securities held by Hedgepath, LLC. Frank E. O'Donnell, Jr., MD, our Executive Chairman, is the sole manager of BlackRobe Capital LLC, with sole voting and dispositive power over Black Robe LLC, and The Francis E. O'Donnell Jr. Irrevocable Trust No. 7 is the sole member of Black Robe LLC. Pursuant to his manager role at Black Robe, LLC, Dr. O'Donnell may be considered for SEC reporting purposes the beneficial owner of any shares held by Hedgepath, LLC. He disclaims ownership of any shares in HedgePath LLC in which he does not have a pecuniary interest. Excludes 300,000 unvested restricted stock units issued under our 2014 Equity Incentive Plan.
- (5) Mr. Virca is our Chief Executive Officer and President. Excludes 15,041,738 unvested restricted stock units issued under our 2014 Equity Incentive Plan. Mr. Virca's address is 700 West Harbor Drive #1104, San Diego, CA 92101.
- (6) Mr. Hasara is our Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer. Excludes 7,000,000 unvested restricted stock units issued under our 2014 Equity Incentive Plan. Mr. Hasara's address is 16904 Melissa Ann Drive, Lutz, FL 33558.
- (7) Mr. Sears is a director of our company. Excludes 300,000 unvested restricted stock units issued under our 2014 Equity Incentive Plan. Mr. Sears' address is 1 Fieldstone Drive, Winchester, MA. 01890.
- (8) Mr. Cross is a director of our company. Excludes 300,000 unvested restricted stock units issued under our 2014 Equity Incentive Plan. Mr. Cross' address is 503 Guilford Circle, Raleigh, NC 27608.

## Table of Contents

- (9) Dr. Ono is a director of our company. Excludes 300,000 unvested restricted stock units issued under our 2014 Equity Incentive Plan. Dr. Ono's address is 18 Spring Road, Concord, MA, 01742.
- (10) Mr. Watson is a director of our company. Excludes 300,000 unvested restricted stock units issued under our 2014 Equity Incentive Plan. Mr. Watson's address is 275 Bayshore Blvd., #403, Tampa, FL 33606.

### **Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.**

The following is a listing of our related party transactions:

#### **Hedgepath, LLC**

##### *August 2013 Contribution Agreement*

As part of our bankruptcy reorganization plan, on August 13, 2013, we entered into the Contribution Agreement with Hedgepath, LLC, one of our principal stockholders, pursuant to which we acquired certain assets related to the our current business, and Hedgepath, LLC was issued the Series A Preferred Stock representing a 90% equity voting interest in our company. Hedgepath, LLC is a private company. BlackRobe Capital LLC, an entity managed by our executive chairman, Dr. O'Donnell, is also the manager of Hedgepath, LLC. Effectively, Dr. O'Donnell controls Hedgepath, LLC.

##### *June 2014 Purchase Agreement*

On the June 24, 2014 as a condition to the Mayne Purchase Agreement (as defined and described below), we entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement with Hedgepath, LLC. Pursuant to such agreement, Hedgepath, LLC purchased 2,000,000 shares of our common stock at a purchase price of \$0.75 per share for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,500,000. Such purchase price is payable as follows: (i) an advance payment of \$125,000 made by Hedgepath, LLC on June 4, 2014 was deemed partial funding of the purchase price; (ii) a payment of \$125,000 was made by Hedgepath, LLC on June 24, 2014; and (iii) the remaining \$1,250,000 will be funded in monthly installments through December 31, 2014 pursuant to a promissory note issued by Hedgepath, LLC to us. Pursuant to the note, commencing on June 30, 2014 and ending on December 31, 2014, Hedgepath, LLC must make monthly payments to us in accordance with the terms and conditions of the note. We have the right, in our sole discretion, to request an advance payment of part or all of the principal of the note. The note bears no interest except upon an event of default in which case interest accrues at 18% per annum. In the event that Hedgepath, LLC defaults on part or all of the note, we have the right to declare by written notice that Hedgepath, LLC forfeit some or all of the 2,000,000 shares of common stock purchased as well as 17,646.98 shares of Series A Preferred Stock (or the common stock equivalent upon conversion thereof) held by Hedgepath, LLC as described further below.

##### *Debt Forgiveness Agreement*

On June 24, 2014, as a condition of closing of the Mayne Purchase Agreement, we entered into a Debt Forgiveness Agreement with Hedgepath, LLC pursuant to which Hedgepath, LLC waived, canceled and forgave payment from us of an aggregate of \$639,767 of indebtedness previously advanced by Hedgepath, LLC to us in exchange for 2,530,237 shares of common stock, 71,635.981 shares of Series A Preferred Stock and a warrant to purchase 10,250,569 shares of common stock. The shares of Series A Preferred Stock converted into 82,156,842 shares of common stock on August 14, 2014. The warrant may be exercised by Hedgepath, LLC at an exercise price of \$0.0878 per share at any time, from time to time, by Hedgepath, LLC prior to expiration on June 24, 2019.

##### *Equity Holders Agreement*

On June 24, 2014, in fulfillment of one of the conditions of the Mayne Purchase Agreement, we, Mayne Pharma, Hedgepath, LLC, Dr. O'Donnell and Mr. Virca (who for these purposes we refer to together as the Equity Holder Parties) entered into an Equity Holders Agreement (which we refer to as the Equity Holders Agreement). The Equity Holders Agreement governs the rights and obligations of each of the parties as they pertain to our securities and to the present and future governance of our company. Pursuant to the Equity Holders Agreement:

- Mayne Pharma and Hedgepath, LLC each agreed not to offer, pledge, sell, contract to sell, swap or enter into any other transfer arrangement any of their Company securities until June 24, 2015 (which we refer to as the Lock-Up Period) without prior written consent of the other Equity Holder Parties, except for in limited circumstances as described in the Equity Holders Agreement;
- Mayne Pharma, Hedgepath, LLC, Mr. Virca and Dr. O'Donnell each agreed that during the Lock-Up Period none of them will own greater than 49.5% of our common stock on a fully-diluted basis (such ownership to include individual and

## Table of Contents

affiliate ownership) and that after the Lock-Up Period and until August 14, 2019, each of the Equity Holder Parties will provide written notice to each of the other Equity Holder Parties if their ownership (together with affiliates) exceeds, or is going to exceed, 49.5%;

- Mayne Pharma and its affiliates have been granted a right of first refusal to purchase a pro rata share of any new securities issued by us, such pro rata share to be determined based upon the number of shares of common stock held by Mayne Pharma on a fully diluted basis as compared to the number of shares of common stock outstanding immediately prior to the offering of the new securities on a fully diluted basis;
- Mayne Pharma has been granted the right until June 24, 2016 to introduce accredited investors to us to participate in a private offering of our securities (with some exceptions as described in the Equity Holders Agreement). In the event that we contemplate a private offering of our securities, such accredited investors introduced by Mayne Pharma to have the right to participate in up to 50% of the private offering;
- Mr. Virca agreed to lock-up his equity securities of our company until the earlier of: (i) September 3, 2016, (ii) the receipt of written notice of acceptance for the filing of an NDA for the product licensed to us by Mayne Pharma, or, (iii) to the extent provided in an applicable award agreement, upon his death or disability;
- Dr. O'Donnell and we agreed that Dr. O'Donnell is not entitled to receive any equity securities under our EIP until June 24, 2015;
- We agreed not to amend the EIP in any way during the Lock-Up Period without written consent of Mayne Pharma;
- The Equity Holder Parties agreed that all awards included in the initial issuance of securities from the EIP are subject to restriction on exercise until the earlier of: (i) September 3, 2016 and (ii) the receipt of written notice of acceptance for the filing of an NDA by us an NDA for the product licensed to us by Mayne Pharma, provided that any awards granted after June 24, 2014 are not subject to this restriction;
- Mayne Pharma has the right to designate one director to the our Board of Directors and to designate a second director if the size of the board is increased to seven directors until the earlier to occur of: (i) the date that the Amended and Restated Supply and License Agreement is terminated or expires, or (ii) the date on which the Mayne Pharma or its affiliates ceases to own ten percent (10%) or more of the issued and outstanding common stock on a fully diluted basis (which we call the Voting Rights Termination Date);
- The Equity Holder Parties agree that, for as long as Mayne Pharma has the right to designate a director to our Board of Directors, all of the Equity Holder Parties will vote their shares in favor of appointing the Mayne Pharma candidate to the board;
- The Equity Holder Parties agree not to increase or decrease the size of our Board of Directors except with the unanimous consent of the board until the Voting Rights Termination Date;
- Until the Voting Rights Termination Date, the Equity Holder Parties agree that any replacement or removal of Mr. Virca requires the unanimous approval of the Board of Directors;
- Until the Voting Rights Termination Date, the Equity Holder Parties agree that any replacement or removal of Dr. O'Donnell requires the approval of all of the members of the Board of Directors except for Dr. O'Donnell;
- The Equity Holder Parties agree that the terms of our promissory note with Hedgepath, LLC cannot be amended without unanimous approval of all disinterested directors;
- Mayne Pharma was granted a right of first refusal to purchase any shares of our common stock being transferred or sold by the individual account of Dr. O'Donnell or Mr. Virca except for certain exempt transfers as described in the Equity Holders Agreement;
- The Equity Holder Parties agreed that if Hedgepath, LLC defaults under its promissory note with us, or breaches any provisions of such note, then we have the right to declare that approximately 6 million shares of common stock be forfeited and Mayne Pharma has the right to purchase such forfeited shares; and
- The Equity Holder Parties agreed that if Hedgepath, LLC defaults under the its promissory note or breaches any provisions of such note, then Mayne Pharma has the right to demand the resignation of Dr. O'Donnell.

## Table of Contents

In addition to the foregoing, the Equity Holder Parties also agreed that we would seek to meet certain goals for the commercialization of our product and certain funding goals for our company. In the event that we fail to achieve such goals, Mayne Pharma has the right to demand the resignation of Dr. O'Donnell and/or Mr. Virca from their positions with our company. In the event that Dr. O'Donnell or Mr. Virca do not submit their resignations in a timely manner, Mayne Pharma can terminate the Amended and Restated Supply and License Agreement. Additionally, if the commercialization goals are not achieved, we have the right to declare that Hedgepath, LLC forfeit approximately 6 million shares of common stock.

If Dr. O'Donnell or Mr. Virca are required to resign pursuant to the Equity Holders Agreement, then, notwithstanding employment agreements with us, no severance, compensation, consideration or other payment will be due or payable in connection therewith and they will forfeit all then unvested options, warrants, restricted stock units, or other right to acquire shares of our common stock (or securities convertible into common stock) and will waive any claim to severance pay. Furthermore, upon such resignation or termination, Mayne Pharma will have the right to purchase by written notice to Dr. O'Donnell or Mr. Virca, as the case may be, all company securities owned by them, including vested options, vested warrants, vested restricted stock units and the like individually held by them or otherwise transferred by either of them, as the case may be, at the fair market value (as such term is defined in the Equity Holders Agreement) as of the date of such resignation or termination.

The Equity Holders Agreement terminates (i) if we receive an adjudication of bankruptcy, we execute an assignment for the benefit of creditors, a receiver is appointed for us or we are voluntarily or involuntarily dissolved or (ii) if we, Hedgepath, LLC and Mayne Pharma expressly agree in writing. Additionally, certain limited provisions of the Equity Holders Agreement terminate at such time as the Mayne Pharma and its affiliates collectively own less than ten percent (10%) of our common stock on a fully diluted basis.

### **Mayne Pharma**

#### *Amended and Restated License and Supply Agreement*

Pursuant to our Supply and License Agreement with Mayne Pharma, which was originally entered into on September 3, 2013 and most recently amended and restated on June 24, 2014, Mayne Pharma is obligated to: (i) supply us with its patented formulation of SUBA-Itraconazole in a particular dose formulation for the treatment of human patients with cancer via oral administration (with the initial areas of investigation being prostate, lung and skin cancer) in the United States, (ii) provide us with an exclusive license to perform specified development activities and to commercialize SUBA-Itraconazole for the treatment of cancer via oral administration in the United States and (iii) participate in a joint development committee (or JDC) with us to clinically develop SUBA-Itraconazole for the treatment of cancer in the United States. The Supply and License Agreement may be terminated by Mayne Pharma if we fail to achieve regulatory approval to commercialize SUBA-Itraconazole in the U.S. by March 31, 2017, if we breach any provision of our June 2014 Equity Holders Agreement or Purchase Agreement with Mayne Pharma, if we materially breach the Supply and License Agreement and do not cure such breach within a specified time period, or if either party files for bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings.

On June 24, 2014, we and Mayne Pharma, along with Nicholas J. Virca, our President and Chief Executive Officer, Frank E. O'Donnell, Jr., M.D., our Executive Chairman, and Hedgepath, LLC consummated a series of related transactions to fulfill certain conditions of the Supply and License Agreement. In connection therewith, we and Mayne Pharma entered into an Amended and Restated Supply and License Agreement.

#### *Securities Purchase Agreement with Mayne Pharma*

On June 24, 2014, in fulfillment of one of the conditions under the Supply and License Agreement, we entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with Mayne Pharma (which we refer to as the Mayne Purchase Agreement). Pursuant to the terms of the Mayne Purchase Agreement, we issued to Mayne Pharma (i) 258,363.280 shares of our Series A Preferred Stock, and (ii) a warrant to purchase 10,250,569 shares of our common stock. The shares of Series A Preferred Stock converted into 8,784,390 shares of common stock on August 14, 2014. Such warrant has an exercise price of \$0.0878 per share and may be exercised at any time, from time to time, by Mayne Pharma prior to the expiration on June 24, 2019. As a result of the Mayne Purchase Agreement, Mayne Pharma owns approximately 40% of our equity securities on a fully diluted basis.

As a matter of corporate governance policy, we have not and will not make loans to officers or loan guarantees available to "promoters" as that term is commonly understood by the SEC and state securities authorities.

We believe that the terms of the above transactions with affiliates were as favorable to us or our affiliate as those generally available from unaffiliated third parties. At the time of certain of the above referenced transactions, we did not have sufficient disinterested directors to ratify or approve the transactions; however, the present board of directors includes one independent director. We believe that Samuel P. Sears, Jr. qualifies as an independent director for NASDAQ Stock Market purposes.

## Table of Contents

All future transactions between us and our officers, directors or five percent stockholders, and respective affiliates will be on terms no less favorable than could be obtained from unaffiliated third parties and will be approved by a majority of our independent directors who do not have an interest in the transactions and who had access, at our expense, to our legal counsel or independent legal counsel.

To the best of our knowledge, other than as set forth above, there were no material transactions, or series of similar transactions, or any currently proposed transactions, or series of similar transactions, to which we were or are to be a party, in which the amount involved exceeds \$120,000, and in which any director or executive officer, or any security holder who is known by us to own of record or beneficially more than 5% of any class of our common stock, or any member of the immediate family of any of the foregoing persons, has an interest.

### **Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.**

**Audit Fees.** The aggregate fees billed by Cherry Bekaert LLP for professional services rendered for the audit of our annual financial statements, review of the financial information included in our Forms 10-Q for the respective periods and other required filings with the SEC for the year ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 totaled \$65,000 and \$70,000, respectively.

**Audit-Related Fees.** The aggregate fees billed by Cherry Bekaert LLP for professional services \$10,000 related to our S-1 filings.

**Tax Fees.** The aggregate fees billed by Cherry Bekaert LLP for professional services rendered for tax compliance, for the year ended December 31, 2014 was \$6,250. There were no fees billed or rendered for tax compliance for the year ended December 31, 2013.

**All Other Fees.** None.

## **PART IV**

### **Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules.**

The following exhibits are filed with this Report.

<u>Exhibit No.</u>	<u>Description</u>
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger and Reorganization, dated as of August 9, 2013, between Commonwealth Biotechnologies, Inc., and HedgePath Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (1)
3.1	Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant (1)
3.2	Bylaws of the Registrant (1)
3.3	Certificate of Designation for Series A Preferred Stock (1)
3.4	Certificate of Ownership and Merger (1)
3.5	Amended and Restated Certificate of Designation of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock. (2)
3.6	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company (5)
4.1	Warrant, dated June 24, 2014 issued to Mayne Pharma Ventures Pty Ltd.(6)
4.2	Warrant, dated June 24, 2014 issued to Hedgepath, LLC (6)
10.1	Contribution Agreement, dated August 13, 2013, by and between Hedgepath, LLC, and HedgePath Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (1)
10.2	Supply and License Agreement, dated September 3, 2013, by and among the Company and Mayne Pharma. (2)+
10.3	Amendment No. 1 to Supply and License Agreement, dated December 17, 2013, between the Company and Mayne Pharma. (3)

## Table of Contents

<u>Exhibit No.</u>	<u>Description</u>
10.4	Amendment No. 2 to Supply and License Agreement, dated March 4, 2014, between the Company and Mayne Pharma. (4)
10.5	Securities Purchase Agreement, dated June 24, 2014, by and between the Company and Mayne Pharma Ventures Pty Ltd.(6)
10.6	Stock Purchase Agreement, dated June 24, 2014, by and between HedgePath Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Hedgepath, LLC (6)
10.7	Promissory Note, dated June 24, 2014, issued to the Company by Hedgepath, LLC (6)
10.8	Equity Holders Agreement, dated June 24, 2014, by and between the Company, Mayne Pharma Ventures Pty Ltd., Hedgepath, LLC, Nicholas J. Virca and Frank O'Donnell, Jr. M.D. (6) +
10.9	Debt Forgiveness Agreement, dated June 24, 2014, by and between the Company and Hedgepath, LLC (6)
10.10	Employment Agreement, dated June 24, 2014, between the Company and Nicholas J. Virca (6) +
10.11	Executive Chairman Agreement, dated June 24, 2014, between the Company and Frank O'Donnell, Jr. M.D. (6)
10.12	Amended and Restated Supply and License Agreement, dated June 24, 2014, by and among the Company and Mayne Pharma. (6) +
10.13	Employment Agreement, dated September 4, 2014, between the Company and Garrison J. Hasara (7)
14	Code of Ethical Conduct*
31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. *
31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. *
32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. **
32.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. **
101.ins	XBRL Instance Document
101.sch	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.cal	XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document
101.def	XBRL Taxonomy Definition Linkbase Document
101.lab	XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document
101.pre	XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document
*	Filed herewith
+	Confidential treatment has been granted for certain portions of this exhibit pursuant to 17 C.F.R. Sections 200.8(b)(4) and 240.24b-2.
#	A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.
(1)	Previously filed with Form 8K, August 16, 2013.
(2)	Previously filed with Form 8K, September 10, 2013.
(3)	Previously filed with Form 8K, December 23, 2013.
(4)	Previously filed with Form 8K, March 11, 2014.
(5)	Previously filed with Form 8K, July 24, 2014.
(6)	Previously filed with Form 8K, June 30, 2014.
(7)	Previously filed with Form 8K, September 9, 2014.



---

[Table of Contents](#)

**HEDGEPATH PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**

**INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

<a href="#">Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm – Cherry Bekaert LLP</a>	F-2
<a href="#">Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013</a>	F-3
<a href="#">Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013</a>	F-4
<a href="#">Statement of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013</a>	F-5
<a href="#">Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013</a>	F-6
<a href="#">Notes to Financial Statements</a>	F-7

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

To the Board of Directors of HedgePath Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of HedgePath Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (the "Company") as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 and the related statements of operations, stockholders' equity (deficit) and cash flows for the years then ended. The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States of America). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis of designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of HedgePath Pharmaceuticals, Inc. as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, the Company incurred cumulative net losses since inception of approximately \$32 million at December 31, 2014, of which approximately \$5.5 million was incurred subsequent to the emergence from bankruptcy, as discussed in Note 1. Furthermore, the Company expects to continue to incur net losses through the foreseeable future. These factors, among others as discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also described in Note 2. The accompanying financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Cherry Bekaert LLP

Tampa, Florida  
February 13, 2015

[Table of Contents](#)

**HEDGEPATH PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**  
**BALANCE SHEETS**  
**DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND 2013**

	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2014</u>	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2013</u>
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 365,161	\$ 217
Prepaid expenses	97,817	10,000
Total current assets	<u>462,978</u>	<u>10,217</u>
Total assets	<u>\$ 462,978</u>	<u>\$ 10,217</u>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 324,966	\$ 287,072
Notes payable, related party	—	68,428
Other liabilities	75,933	52,500
Accrued interest	—	1,923
Due to related party	—	366,130
Total current liabilities	<u>400,899</u>	<u>776,053</u>
Total liabilities	<u>400,899</u>	<u>776,053</u>
Commitments and contingencies	—	—
Stockholders' equity (deficit):		
Series A Preferred Stock, \$0.0001 par value; 500,000 shares authorized; no shares and 170,001 shares issued and outstanding in 2014 and 2013, respectively.	—	17
Undesignated Preferred Stock, \$0.0001 par value; 9,500,000 shares authorized; no shares issued or outstanding.	—	—
Common Stock, \$0.0001 par value; 340,000,000 shares authorized; 211,419,937 and 18,888,971 shares issued and outstanding in 2014 and 2013, respectively	21,142	1,889
Additional paid-in capital	32,263,890	27,479,913
Accumulated deficit	<u>(32,222,953)</u>	<u>(28,247,655)</u>
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	<u>62,079</u>	<u>(765,836)</u>
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity (deficit)	<u>\$ 462,978</u>	<u>\$ 10,217</u>

See notes to financial statements

[Table of Contents](#)

HEDGEPATH PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.  
STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND 2013

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
Revenues:	\$ —	\$ —
Total revenues	—	—
Expenses:		
Chapter 11 expenses	—	117,324
Research and development	2,430,735	1,065,169
General and administrative	1,507,082	817,316
Total expenses	3,937,817	1,999,809
Loss from operations	(3,937,817)	(1,999,809)
Interest expense	(37,481)	(1,923)
Gain on reorganization:		
Gain on settlement of pre-petition claims	—	166,676
Net loss	<u>\$ (3,975,298)</u>	<u>\$ (1,835,056)</u>
Basic and diluted loss per share	<u>\$ (0.04)</u>	<u>\$ (0.10)</u>
Weighted average common shares outstanding	<u>95,884,524</u>	<u>17,940,586</u>

See notes to financial statements

[Table of Contents](#)

**HEDGE PATH PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**  
**STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)**  
**YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND 2013**

	Preferred Stock Series A		Common Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Common Stock Subscription Receivable – Related Party	Accumulated Deficit	Total Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount				
<b>Balances, December 31, 2012</b>	—	\$ —	15,560,504	\$ —	\$26,279,815	\$ —	\$(26,412,599)	\$ (132,784)
Issuance of preferred stock pursuant to the contribution agreement	170,001	17	—	—	1,049,987	—	—	1,050,004
Issuance of restricted stock in lieu of cash payment under the Bankruptcy Plan	—	—	3,328,467	—	152,000	—	—	152,000
Initiation of par value pursuant to agreement and plan of merger and reorganization	—	—	—	1,889	(1,889)	—	—	—
Net loss	—	—	—	—	—	—	(1,835,056)	(1,835,056)
<b>Balances, December 31, 2013</b>	<u>170,001</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>18,888,971</u>	<u>1,889</u>	<u>27,479,913</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>(28,247,655)</u>	<u>(765,836)</u>
Issuance of preferred and common stock in debt forgiveness transaction	71,636	7	2,530,227	253	189,508	—	—	189,768
Sale of common stock to related party	—	—	20,000,000	2,000	1,498,000	(1,250,000)	—	250,000
Issuance of warrants for debt forgiveness	—	—	—	—	450,000	—	—	450,000
Issuance of common stock warrants in acquisition of research and development and development license agreement	—	—	—	—	619,134	—	—	619,134
Issuance of preferred stock in acquisition of research and development license agreement	258,363	26	—	—	1,290,700	—	—	1,290,726
Collection of stock subscription receivable	—	—	—	—	—	1,250,000	—	1,250,000
Conversion of preferred stock to common stock	(500,000)	(50)	170,000,739	17,000	(16,950)	—	—	—
Stock compensation expense	—	—	—	—	753,585	—	—	753,585
Net Loss	—	—	—	—	—	—	(3,975,298)	(3,975,298)
<b>Balances, December 31, 2014</b>	<u>—</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>211,419,937</u>	<u>\$21,142</u>	<u>\$32,263,890</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$(32,222,953)</u>	<u>\$ 62,079</u>

See notes to financial statements

[Table of Contents](#)

**HEDGE PATH PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**  
**STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
**YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND 2013**

	Year Ended	
	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (3,975,298)	\$ (1,835,056)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash flows from operating activities:		
In-process research and development purchased with the issuance of preferred stock and common stock warrants	1,909,860	1,020,004
Non-cash interest expense	34,819	—
Share-based compensation	753,585	—
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Other current assets	(87,817)	68,733
Accounts payable and other current liabilities	61,327	46,645
Net cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities before reorganization items	<u>(1,303,524)</u>	<u>(699,674)</u>
Reorganization items:		
Gain on reorganization	—	(166,676)
Decrease in liabilities subject to compromise	—	(357,265)
Net cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities	<u>(1,303,524)</u>	<u>(1,223,615)</u>
Financing activities:		
Proceeds from related party advances	273,638	366,130
Payments on notes payable	(105,170)	—
Proceeds from sale of common stock and collection of stock subscription receivable, related party	<u>1,500,000</u>	<u>—</u>
Net cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities	<u>1,668,468</u>	<u>366,130</u>
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	364,944	(857,485)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	217	857,702
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	<u>\$ 365,161</u>	<u>\$ 217</u>
Cash paid for interest	<u>\$ 2,005</u>	<u>\$ —</u>
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash financing activities:		
Reclassification of deposit to additional paid-in capital	\$ —	\$ 30,000
Promissory notes issued in payment of related party obligations	\$ —	\$ 68,428
Stock payments to officers and directors (liabilities subject to compromise) in lieu of cash payments under the Bankruptcy Plan	\$ —	\$ 152,000
Issuance of preferred and common stock in debt forgiveness transaction	\$ 189,768	\$ —
Issuance of warrants in debt forgiveness transaction	\$ 450,000	\$ —

See notes to financial statements

**HEDGEPATH PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.  
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND 2013**

**1. Corporate overview:**

***Overview***

The accompanying audited financial statements of HedgePath Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the “Company”, “HPPI”, “we”, “us” or similar terminology) as successor to Commonwealth Biotechnologies, Inc., a Virginia corporation (“CBI”), have been prepared by the Company as a going concern.

As used herein, the term “Common Stock” means the Company’s common stock, \$0.0001 par value per share.

***Nature of the Business***

The Company is a biopharmaceutical company that is seeking to discover, develop and commercialize innovative therapeutics for patients with certain cancers. The Company’s preliminary focus is on the development of therapies for skin, lung and prostate cancers in the U.S. market, with the first indication targeting basal cell carcinoma in patients with Basal Cell Carcinoma Nevus Syndrome (also known as Gorlin Syndrome). The Company’s proposed therapy is based upon the use of SUBA-Itraconazole, which is a patented, oral formulation of the currently marketed anti-fungal drug itraconazole. The Company believes that the dosing of oral capsules of this formulation can affect the Hedgehog signaling pathway, a major regulator of many fundamental cellular processes, which, in turn, can impact the development and growth of cancers such as basal cell carcinoma. Itraconazole is FDA approved for, and extensively used to, treat fungal infections and has an extensive history of safe and effective use in humans. The Company has developed, optioned and licensed intellectual property and know-how related to the treatment of cancer patients using itraconazole and has applied for patents to cover the Company’s inventions.

***Pre-Bankruptcy and Emergence from Bankruptcy***

CBI was a specialized life sciences outsourcing business that offered certain peptide-based discovery chemistry and biology products and services. On January 20, 2011, CBI filed a voluntary petition captioned *In re Commonwealth Biotechnologies, Inc., Case No. 11-30381-KRH* (the “Chapter 11 case”) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Virginia (the “Bankruptcy Court”) seeking relief under the provisions of Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”).

On August 12, 2013, in furtherance of CBI’s emergence from bankruptcy as described further below, CBI effected a “short-form” reincorporation merger with HPPI, a newly created and wholly owned Delaware subsidiary of CBI, pursuant to which CBI merged with and into HPPI, with HPPI surviving the merger and with the effect of CBI becoming reincorporated as a Delaware corporation and changing its corporate name. Each outstanding share of CBI was converted into one share of HPPI. HPPI’s Certificate of Incorporation (and thus the Certificate of Incorporation of the surviving company) authorizes the issuance of up to 340,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share, and 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.0001 per share. The par value of the common stock was changed from no par value to \$0.0001, which par value is customary for newly formed Delaware corporations.

As described further below, the Company’s present business is the development of the currently-marketed drug itraconazole (currently approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (the “FDA”) as an anti-fungal agent) for the treatment of certain cancers.

On January 4, 2013, CBI filed an Amended Plan of Reorganization (the “Plan”) with the Bankruptcy Court. The Plan was approved by a vote of creditors and CBI stockholders on March 21, 2013. CBI received an auction fee of \$30,000 from Hedgepath, LLC, a Florida limited liability company, (which fee was a binding, irrevocable offer for the purchase of a portion of CBI’s equity interests) in addition to the contribution of Assets as described below. Hedgepath, LLC was the winning bidder for CBI, which is more fully described below in *Post-Bankruptcy Business of HPPI-General*. This auction fee was recognized as an increase in additional paid-in capital when the Contribution Agreement (as defined below) became effective.

On March 29, 2013, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order (the “Confirmation Order”) confirming the Plan pursuant to Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Under the terms of the Plan, and pursuant to the Contribution Agreement (as described further below), Hedgepath, LLC contributed and assigned the Assets (as such term is defined below) to HPPI, as the reorganized debtor, in exchange for the right to receive 90% of the then fully diluted voting equity in HPPI (in the form of the Series A Preferred Stock) on the date of issuance, with the prior stockholders of CBI retaining approximately 10% voting equity in HPPI, represented by 100% of the issued and outstanding shares of Common Stock.

**HEDGEPATH PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.  
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND 2013**

**1. Corporate overview (continued):**

***Contribution Agreement***

On August 13, 2013, the Company entered into a Contribution Agreement, dated as of August 13, 2013 (the “Contribution Agreement”), by and between the Company and Hedgepath, LLC (“HPLLC”) pursuant to which, and subject to the terms and conditions contained therein, in exchange for the right to receive 170,001 shares of the Company’s newly created Series A Convertible Preferred Stock (the “Series A Preferred Stock”), representing 90% of the fully diluted voting securities of the Company as of the date of issuance (or 170,000,739 shares of Common Stock on an as converted basis), Hedgepath, LLC contributed and/or assigned various assets and contract rights to the Company associated with the going forward business of the Company (collectively, the “Assets”) to the Company as described below.

- (i) U.S. Provisional Patent Application 61-813,122, “Prostate-Specific Antigen as Biomarker for Hedgehog Pathway Inhibitor Treatment and Prognostic Monitoring of Prostate Cancer” (previously assigned to Hedgepath, LLC by Dr. Frank E. O’Donnell, Jr. and Nicholas J. Virca, as inventors);
- (ii) U.S. Provisional Patent Application 61-813,823, “Treatment and Prognostic Monitoring of Cancer Using Hedgehog Pathway Inhibitors” (previously assigned to Hedgepath, LLC by Dr. Frank E. O’Donnell, Jr. and Nicholas J. Virca, as inventors);
- (iii) Assignment of Patents, dated November 1, 2012, by Dr. Frank E. O’Donnell, Jr. in favor of Hedgepath, LLC;
- (iv) Assignment of Patents, dated November 1, 2012, by Nicholas J. Virca in favor of Hedgepath, LLC;
- (v) Consulting Agreement, dated and effective as of September 1, 2012, by and between HPPI (as successor to Hedgepath, LLC) and Emmanuel Antonarakis, MD (“Antonarakis”).
- (vi) Confidentiality and Intellectual Property Assignment Agreement, dated and effective September 1, 2012, between Antonarakis and HPPI (as successor to Hedgepath, LLC), which includes all intellectual property, know-how and other assets assigned to Hedgepath, LLC by Antonarakis under such agreement.
- (vii) Consulting Agreement, effective as of April 11, 2013, by and between Hedgepath, LLC and Arianne Consulting, Inc. (“Arianne”); and
- (viii) Confidentiality and Intellectual Property Assignment Agreement, dated and effective April 11, 2013, between Arianne and Hedgepath, LLC, which includes all intellectual property, know-how and other assets assigned to Hedgepath, LLC by Arianne under such agreement.

The Contribution Agreement was entered into to carry out the purposes and intent of the Plan filed by CBI and confirmed by the Bankruptcy Court in connection with the Chapter 11 case.

Hedgepath, LLC is a development stage pharmaceutical company. Since its formation in late 2011, Hedgepath, LLC has sought, among other pharmaceutical business opportunities, to acquire technology rights and to conduct activities related to the development of the currently-marketed drug itraconazole (currently FDA approved as an anti-fungal agent) for the treatment of certain cancers (the “Itra Business Opportunity”). Hedgepath, LLC had expended approximately \$0.1 million acquiring assets and developing the ITRA Business Opportunity including approximately \$82,500 on technical and medical consulting and \$15,000 on option fees related to intellectual property agreement that has since expired.

In accordance with the Plan, and as a result of the transactions contemplated by the Contribution Agreement, from and after August 13, 2013, HPPI has been engaged in the Itra Business Opportunity. The Assets contributed to the Company by Hedgepath, LLC represent the assets and rights heretofore developed or acquired by Hedgepath, LLC related to the Itra Business Opportunity, and by virtue of the Contribution Agreement, the Company acquired all of Hedgepath, LLC’s right, title and interest in and to the Assets.

As part of the Contribution Agreement, Hedgepath, LLC, which owned a certain claim against CBI in the amount of \$52,500, payable to a third party service provider, contributed such claim to the Company. HPPI has agreed to issue to such service provider a number of restricted shares of its Common Stock to be determined based on the valuation of the shares to be issued to purchasers in connection with HPPI’s planned \$5 million offering of securities as described in the Plan. Such shares of Common Stock are to be issued to such service provider within five (5) business days of the final determination of such valuation (as memorialized in the final transaction documentation for such offering).

Hedgepath, LLC did not contribute any of its liabilities to the Company in connection with the Contribution Agreement, and retained all of its assets other than those related to the Itra Business Opportunity.



**HEDGEPATH PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.  
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND 2013**

**1. Corporate overview (continued):**

In conjunction with the execution of the Contribution Agreement, the Company has expensed, as in-process research and development cost, approximately \$1.0 million. The value was calculated by taking 90% of the market capitalization on the date the assets were contributed to reflect the 90% ownership exchanged for the assets contributed by Hedgepath, LLC.

***Mayne Pharma Supply and License Agreement***

On September 3, 2013, the Company entered into an exclusive Supply and License Agreement (the “Supply and License Agreement”) with Mayne Pharma International Pty Ltd., a company incorporated in Australia (“MPI”), pursuant to which MPI agreed to: (i) supply the Company with its patented formulation of the drug itraconazole, in a particular dose formulation (the “Product”) for the treatment of human patients with cancer via oral administration (the “Field”) (with the initial areas of investigation being skin, lung and prostate cancer) in the United States (the “Territory”), (ii) provide the Company with an exclusive license to use and develop the intellectual property related to the Product in the Field and in the Territory and (iii) participate in a joint development committee with the Company to clinically develop the Product in the Field and in the Territory. The Company expects to pursue the development of the Product for treatment of a variety of cancers (initially basal cell carcinoma in patients with Gorlin Syndrome) with a focus on clinical development, seeking regulatory approvals and, if regulatory approval is obtained, marketing in the United States.

Subject to earlier termination if certain conditions (“Conditions”) were not met (which Conditions were subsequently eliminated as described further below), the term of the Supply and License Agreement shall last until the later of: (i) 10 years from the target launch date of the Product for the treatment of human patients with cancer via oral administration or (ii) the date on which all issued patents of MPI or any of its affiliates referred to in the Supply and License Agreement have lapsed or expired. The Company entered into Amendment No. 1 and Amendment No. 2 to the Supply and License Agreement (the “Amended Supply and License Agreement”) with MPI to extend the date by which the Conditions were to be met from December 16, 2013 to June 30, 2014.

On June 24, 2014, the Company and Mayne Pharma Ventures Pty Ltd (“Mayne Pharma”), an Australian company and assignee of MPI’s rights, along with Nicholas J. Virca, the Company’s President and Chief Executive Officer (“Virca”), Frank O’Donnell, Jr., M.D., the Company’s Executive Chairman (“O’Donnell”) and HPLLC, consummated a series of related transactions to fulfill the Conditions in a manner mutually acceptable to the Company and Mayne Pharma. In connection therewith, the Company and Mayne Pharma entered into an Amended and Restated Supply and License Agreement as of June 24, 2014 (the “Amended and Restated Supply and License Agreement”) principally to eliminate the Conditions and related early termination rights of Mayne Pharma.

***Mayne Pharma Securities Purchase Agreement***

On June 24, 2014, the Company and Mayne Pharma entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement (the “Mayne Purchase Agreement”). Pursuant to the Mayne Purchase Agreement, the Company (i) issued 258,363 shares of Series A Preferred Stock (the “Mayne Series A Shares”) and (ii) issued, upon closing of a separate Securities Purchase Agreement, dated June 24, 2014 (as described further below, the “HPLLC Purchase Agreement”) by and between the Company and HPLLC, a warrant to purchase 10,250,569 shares of Common Stock (the “Mayne Make-Up Warrant”). The Mayne Series A Shares converted into 87,843,897 shares of Common Stock on August 14, 2014 pursuant to the terms of the Equity Holders Agreement (discussed below) and in accordance with the terms of the Series A Preferred Stock. The Mayne Make-Up Warrant has an exercise price of \$0.0878 per share and may be exercised at any time, from time to time, by Mayne Pharma prior to the expiration on June 24, 2019. In conjunction with the execution of the Mayne Purchase Agreement, the Company has expensed, as in-process research and development costs, approximately \$1.9 million for the fair value of the preferred stock and warrant issued. The value of the issued stock was calculated by taking approximately 42% of the market capitalization on the date the agreement was entered into to reflect the 42% ownership exchanged for entering into the agreement. The value of the warrant was calculated by using the Black-Scholes valuation model that uses assumptions for expected volatility (104.9%), expected dividends (none), expected term (5 years), and risk-free interest rate (1.7%). Expected volatilities are based on historical volatilities of peer companies. The risk-free rate is based upon the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of the grant for the period of the expected term.

**HEDGEPATH PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND 2013**

**1. Corporate overview (continued):**

***HPLLC Purchase Agreement***

On June 24, 2014, the Company and HPLLC entered into the HPLLC Purchase Agreement, pursuant to which the Company sold HPLLC 20,000,000 shares of Common Stock at a purchase price of \$0.075 per share for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,500,000, which monies were funded in monthly installments through December 2014 pursuant to the promissory note (the "HPLLC Note") issued by HPLLC to the Company on June 24, 2014. Funds received under this transaction are being used by the Company for research and development as well as for general and administrative expenses.

***Equity Holders Agreement***

On June 24, 2014, in fulfillment of one of the Conditions of the Supply and License Agreement, and as a condition of the Mayne Purchase Agreement and in consideration for Mayne Pharma not exercising its right to terminate the Supply and License Agreement, the Company, Mayne Pharma, HPLLC, O'Donnell and Virca (together, the "Equity Holder Parties") entered into an Equity Holders Agreement (the "Equity Holders Agreement"). The Equity Holders Agreement governs the rights and obligations of each of the parties as they pertain to the Company's securities and to the present and future governance of the Company. Pursuant to the Equity Holders Agreement:

- Mayne Pharma and HPLLC each agreed not to offer, pledge, sell, contract to sell, swap or enter into any other transfer arrangement any of their Company securities until June 24, 2015 (the "Lock-Up Period") without prior written consent of the other Equity Holder Parties, except for in limited circumstances as described in the Equity Holders Agreement;
- Mayne Pharma and HPLLC each agreed that on August 14, 2014 (or within 2 business days thereafter) each would convert all of its Series A Preferred Stock into shares of Common Stock at a rate of 1 for 340 shares of Common Stock, notwithstanding any prior contractual conversion arrangements. Subsequently, on August 14, 2014, all 500,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock converted into 170,000,739 shares of Common Stock of the Company;
- Mayne Pharma, HPLLC, Virca and O'Donnell each agreed that during the Lock-Up Period none of them will own greater than 49.5% of the Common Stock of the Company on a fully-diluted basis (such ownership to include individual and affiliate ownership) and that after the Lock-Up Period and until June 24, 2019, each of the Equity Holder Parties will provide written notice to each of the other Equity Holder Parties if their ownership (together with affiliates) exceeds, or is going to exceed, 49.5%;
- Mayne Pharma and its affiliates (the "Mayne Pharma Group") have been granted a right of first refusal to purchase a pro rata share of any new securities issued by the Company, such pro rata share to be determined based upon the number of shares of Common Stock held by Mayne Pharma Group on a fully diluted basis as compared to the number of shares of Common Stock outstanding immediately prior to the offering of the new securities on a fully diluted basis;
- Mayne Pharma has been granted the right until June 24, 2016 to introduce accredited investors to the Company to participate in a private offering of the Company's securities (with some exceptions as described in the Equity Holders Agreement). In the event that the Company contemplates a private offering of its securities, such accredited investors introduced by Mayne Pharma to have the right to participate in up to 50% of the private offering;
- Mayne Pharma has the right to immediately designate one director to the Company's Board of Directors (the "Board") and to designate a second director if the size of the Board is increased to seven directors until the earlier to occur of: (i) the date that the Amended and Restated Supply and License Agreement is terminated or expires, or (ii) the date on which the Mayne Pharma Group ceases to own ten percent (10%) or more of the issued and outstanding Common Stock on a fully diluted basis (the "Voting Rights Termination Date");
- The Equity Holder Parties agree that if HPLLC fails to satisfy certain performance goals, defaults under the HPLLC Note, or breaches any provisions of the HPLLC Note then the Company has the right to declare that 17,647 shares of Series A Preferred Stock (or the Common Stock equivalent upon conversion thereof) be forfeited and Mayne Pharma has the right to purchase such forfeited shares; and
- The Equity Holder Parties agree that if HPLLC defaults under the HPLLC Note or breaches any provisions of the HPLLC Note, then Mayne Pharma has the right to demand the resignation of O'Donnell. As of December 31, 2014, no such default or breach occurred and the note was satisfied in full.

In addition to the foregoing, the Equity Holder Parties also agreed that the Company would seek to meet certain goals for the commercialization of the Product (the "Commercialization Goals") and certain funding goals for the Company (the "Funding Goals"). In the event that the Company fails to achieve the Commercialization Goals or the Funding Goals, Mayne Pharma has the right to demand the resignation of O'Donnell and/or Virca from their positions with the Company. In the event that O'Donnell or Virca do not submit their resignations in a timely manner, Mayne Pharma can terminate the Amended and Restated Supply and License Agreement.

**HEDGE PATH PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND 2013**

**1. Corporate overview (continued):**

Additionally, if the Commercialization Goals are not achieved, the Company has the right to declare that HPLL C forfeit 17,647 shares of Series A Preferred Stock (now equal to approximately six million shares of Common Stock following the conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock in August 2014).

If O'Donnell or Virca are required to resign pursuant to the Equity Holders Agreement, then, notwithstanding the Employment Agreement between the Company and Virca or the Executive Chairman Agreement between the Company and O'Donnell, no severance, compensation, consideration or other payment will be due or payable in connection therewith and O'Donnell or

Virca, as the case may be, will forfeit all then unvested options, warrants, restricted stock units, or other right to acquire

Common Stock (or securities convertible into Common Stock) and will waive any claim to severance pay. Furthermore, upon such resignation or termination, Mayne Pharma will have the right to purchase by written notice to O'Donnell or Virca, as the case may be, all Company securities owned by O'Donnell or Virca, including vested options, vested warrants, vested restricted stock units and the like individually held by O'Donnell and/or Virca or otherwise transferred by either of them, as the case may be, at the fair market value (as such term is defined in the Equity Holders Agreement) as of the date of such resignation or termination.

The Equity Holders Agreement terminates if (i) the Company receives an adjudication of bankruptcy, the Company executes an assignment for the benefit of creditors, a receiver is appointed for the Company or the Company is voluntarily or involuntarily dissolved or (ii) the Company, HPLL C and Mayne Pharma expressly agree in writing. Additionally, certain limited provisions of the Equity Holders Agreement terminate at such time as the Mayne Pharma Group collectively owns less than ten percent (10%) of the Common Stock on a fully diluted basis.

***Related Party Debt Forgiveness Agreement***

Following the Company's emergence from bankruptcy in August 2013, certain expenses had been incurred for officer salary, travel, legal and patent expenses. These expenses, totaling \$639,768, were paid by HPLL C on behalf of the Company. This debt was forgiven pursuant to a Debt Forgiveness Agreement, dated June 24, 2014 (the "Debt Forgiveness Agreement"), which was entered into by the Company and HPLL C as a condition of closing of the Mayne Purchase Agreement and was accounted for as a capital transaction due to the related party nature of the agreement. Pursuant to the Debt Forgiveness Agreement, HPLL C waived, canceled and forgave payment from the Company of the aforementioned \$639,768 of indebtedness previously advanced by HPLL C to the Company in exchange for 2,530,227 shares of Common Stock, 71,636 shares of Series A Preferred Stock (the "Debt Forgiveness Series A Shares") and a warrant (the "Debt Forgiveness Warrant") to purchase 10,250,569 shares of Common Stock. The Debt Forgiveness Series A Shares together with Series A Preferred Shares previously issued to HPLL C converted into 82,156,842 shares of Common Stock on August 14, 2014 pursuant to the terms of the Equity Holders Agreement and in accordance with the terms of the Series A Preferred Stock. The Debt Forgiveness Warrant may be exercised by HPLL C at an exercise price of \$0.0878 per share at any time, from time to time, prior to the expiration of the Debt Forgiveness Warrant on June 24, 2019.

**2. Liquidity and management's plans:**

The Company presently has very limited cash resources and requires significant additional financing for its research and development, commercialization and distribution efforts and its working capital and intends to finance these activities primarily through:

- public and private financings and, potentially, from strategic transactions;
- potential partnerships with other pharmaceutical companies to assist in the supply, manufacturing and distribution of its products for which the Company would expect to receive upfront milestone and royalty payments;
- potential licensing and joint venture arrangements with third parties, including other pharmaceutical companies where the Company would receive funding based on out-licensing its product; and
- seeking government or private foundation grants which would be awarded to the Company to further develop its current and future anti-cancer therapies.

However, there can be no assurance that any of these plans will be implemented on commercially reasonable terms, if at all.

The Company had cash and cash equivalents of \$365,161 as of December 31, 2014.

**HEDGEPATH PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND 2013**

**3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies:**

***Recent accounting pronouncements***

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standards Update 2014-09, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers," which supersedes the revenue recognition requirements of Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 605, "Revenue Recognition" and most industry-specific guidance on revenue recognition throughout the ASC. The new standard is principles-based and provides a five step model to determine when and how revenue is recognized. The core principle of the new standard is that revenue should be recognized when a company transfers promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the company expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The new standard also requires disclosure of qualitative and quantitative information surrounding the amount, nature, timing and uncertainty of revenues and cash flows arising from contracts with customers. The new standard will be effective for the Company for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016 and can be applied either retrospectively to all periods presented or as a cumulative-effect adjustment as of the date of adoption. Early adoption is not permitted. The Company will evaluate the impact of adoption of the new standard on its financial statements upon commencement of revenue generating activities.

***Estimates***

The preparation of financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

***Revenue Recognition***

The Company currently has no ongoing source of revenues. Miscellaneous income is recognized when earned by the Company.

***Cash and Cash Equivalents***

The Company considers all highly liquid debt instruments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. At times, the Company may maintain cash balances in excess of Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insured amounts of \$250,000 for substantially all accounts. As of December 31, 2014, the Company had approximately \$15,000 in excess of the amount covered by Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation with one financial institution.

***Research and Development Expenses***

Research and development costs are expensed in the period in which they are incurred and include the expenses paid to third parties who conduct research and development activities on behalf of the Company as well as purchased in-process research and development.

***Accounting for Enterprises in Reorganization***

Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 852—*Reorganizations* ("ASC Topic 852"), which is applicable to companies in Chapter 11, generally does not change the manner in which financial statements are prepared. However, it does require that the financial statements for periods subsequent to the filing of the Chapter 11 petition distinguish transactions and events that are directly associated with the reorganization from the ongoing operations of the business. Revenues, expenses, realized gains and losses, and provisions for losses that can be directly associated with the reorganization and restructuring of the business must be reported separately as reorganization items in the statements of operations beginning in the quarter ending March 31, 2011. The balance sheet must distinguish prepetition liabilities subject to compromise from both those prepetition liabilities that are not subject to compromise and from post-petition liabilities. Liabilities that may be affected by a plan of reorganization must be reported at the amounts expected to be allowed by the Bankruptcy Court, even if they may be settled for lesser amounts. In addition, cash flows from reorganization items must be disclosed separately in the statement of cash flows. The Company became subject to ASC Topic 852 effective on January 20, 2011, and has segregated those items as outlined above. The Company officially emerged from bankruptcy on April 17, 2013, followed by the reincorporation merger and contribution of assets by HPLLC, which satisfied the final condition to effectiveness of the Plan as detailed in Note 1. Accordingly, all pre-petition liabilities had been settled as of December 31, 2013, and there are no further reorganization items requiring recognition in the 2014 statement of operations.

**HEDGEPATH PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.  
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND 2013**

**3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued):**

***Stock-Based Compensation***

The Company accounts for stock-based awards to employees and non-employees using Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 718 – Accounting for Share-Based Payments, which provides for the use of the fair value based method to determine compensation for all arrangements where shares of stock or equity instruments are issued for compensation. Fair values of equity securities issued are determined by the Company based predominantly on the trading price of the common stock. The value of these awards is based upon their grant-date fair value. That cost is recognized over the period during which the employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award.

***Income taxes***

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for future tax consequences attributed to differences between the consolidated financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and are measured using enacted tax rates that are expected to apply to the differences in the periods that they are expected to reverse. See Note 7 for details. Management has evaluated the guidance relating to accounting for uncertainty in income taxes and has determined that the Company had no uncertain income tax positions that could have a significant effect on the consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2014 or 2013.

**4. Prepaid Expenses:**

At December 31, 2014, prepaid expenses of \$97,817 consisted primarily of \$60,000 in expenses relating to the Company’s proposed S-1 Offering and approximately \$40,000 relating to the Company’s Directors and Officers insurance premiums. The \$10,000 in prepaid expenses at December 31, 2013 related to financing fees that were expensed during 2014.

**5. Notes Payable**

On August 1, 2013, the Company formalized amounts due to two former employees and a former director of CBI by issuing three non-interest bearing promissory notes. The two employee notes totaling approximately \$62,000 were due on November 1, 2013. Interest began accruing at 18% per annum on the unpaid principal on November 1, 2013, in accordance with the specified terms. On January 31, 2014, the Company extended the two former employee notes to March 31, 2014 while adding accrued interest through January 31, 2014 and an additional \$3,000 to the principal balance of each. Additional extensions were entered into in May 2014 to extend the maturity to July 12, 2014, which includes additional principal of approximately \$9,000 each along with accrued and unpaid interest through May 13, 2014. On July 10, 2014, a partial payment of \$57,000 was made by the Company and the maturity was extended to December 31, 2014, with an interest rate of 9% per annum effective July 10, 2014. The notes balances, including accrued interest, were paid in full in December 2014.

The former director non-interest bearing note of approximately \$6,000 was due the later of five days following the date on which the Company has raised \$1 million, or November 1, 2013. Interest began accruing at a rate of 5% per annum on November 1, 2013 in accordance with the specified terms. The former director note and the related accrued interest were paid in full on July 2, 2014.

**6. Other liabilities**

Pursuant to the Contribution Agreement dated August 13, 2013, the Company has agreed to issue to a third party service provider a number of restricted shares of its Common Stock to be determined based on the valuation of the shares to be issued to purchasers in connection with the Company’s planned offering of securities as described in CBI’s Amended Plan of Reorganization in payment of a \$52,500 claim. Such shares of Common Stock are to be issued to such service provider within five (5) business days of the final determination of such valuation (as memorialized in the final transaction documentation for such offering). The \$52,500 is included in the balance of other liabilities in the Balance Sheets for December 31, 2014 and 2013. Additional accrued amounts for unbilled legal expenses and research and development are included in the December 31, 2014 total of \$73,178.

**HEDGE PATH PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND 2013**

**7. Income Taxes:**

The difference between expected income tax benefits and income tax benefit recorded in the financial statements is explained below:

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Income taxes (benefit) computed at statutory rate	\$(1,351,601)	\$(627,319)
State income tax benefit, net	(137,219)	(63,688)
Other	80,899	—
Change in valuation allowance	1,407,921	691,007
	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

The significant components of deferred income tax assets and liabilities consist of the following:

<b>Deferred tax assets (liabilities)</b>	December 31,	
	2014	2013
In-process research and development	\$ 996,154	\$ 346,801
Net operating loss carry forward	878,706	407,398
R&D credit	15,779	2,584
Share-based compensation	256,219	—
Accrued expenses	17,850	—
	<u>2,164,708</u>	<u>756,783</u>
Less: valuation allowance	<u>(2,164,708)</u>	<u>(756,783)</u>
	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

In accordance with GAAP, it is required that a deferred tax asset be reduced by a valuation allowance if, based on the weight of available evidence it is more likely than not (a likelihood of more than 50 percent) that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The valuation allowance should be sufficient to reduce the deferred tax asset to the amount which is more likely than not to be realized. As a result, the Company recorded a valuation allowance with respect to all of the Company's deferred tax assets.

The Company has a federal net operating loss ("NOLs") of approximately \$2.4 million as of December 31, 2014. Under Section 382 and 383 of the Internal Revenue Code, if an ownership change occurs with respect to a "loss corporation", as defined, there are annual limitations on the amount of the NOLs and other deductions which are available to the Company. The portion of the NOLs incurred prior to August 12, 2013 is subject to this limitation. As such, the use of these NOLs to offset taxable income is limited to approximately \$35,000 per year and the Company has written off the deferred tax assets associated with the NOLs limited due to the ownership change that occurred on August 12, 2013. The Company's State NOLs are approximately \$2.2 million as of December 31, 2013. The loss carryforwards begin to expire in 2018.

**8. Chapter 11 Information:**

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company settled all pre-petition claims associated with the bankruptcy in cash and Common Stock. The Company paid \$357,265 in cash and \$152,000 in Common Stock to settle the claims. The Common Stock was valued using the 30 day average of the Company's stock price. The \$166,676 difference between pre-petition liabilities and the settled amount was recognized as gain on reorganization in the accompanying statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2013. There was no such gain for the year ended December 31, 2014.

**HEDGEPATH PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.  
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND 2013**

**9. Stockholders' Equity:**

***Employee Stock Plans***

A 2002 Stock Incentive Plan was adopted by the Board of Directors and approved by the shareholders of CBI. However, all options were canceled on July 16, 2013, which was 90 days subsequent to the effective date of the emergence from bankruptcy.

A 2007 Stock Incentive Plan was adopted by the Board of Directors and approved by the shareholders of CBI. However, all options were canceled on July 16, 2013, which was 90 days subsequent to the effective date of the emergence from bankruptcy.

A 2009 Stock Incentive Plan was adopted by the Board of Directors and approved by the shareholders of CBI. There are no options outstanding under this plan.

On July 18, 2014, a 2014 Equity Incentive Plan ("EIP") was adopted by the Company's Board of Directors. On September 30, 2014, the EIP was approved by the majority of stockholders. The 2014 EIP authorizes the issuance of up to 32,583,475 shares of the Company's common stock. In July 2014, 15,041,738 restricted stock units ("RSUs") were granted to the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Nicholas J. Virca, and shall vest upon the earlier to occur of (i) September 3, 2016 or (ii) the acceptance by the FDA of a New Drug Application ("NDA") by the Company for any Company product candidate with a cancer indication utilizing the Company's licensed SUBA-itraconazole technology, provided that Mr. Virca is actively employed by the Company on the earlier of such date. An additional 1.5 million RSUs were issued to various Board members and officers with the same vesting schedule. In August 2014, 7,000,000 RSUs were issued to the Company's Chief Financial Officer, Garrison J. Hasara. Of those RSUs, 50% shall vest upon the earlier to occur of (i) September 3, 2016 or (ii) the acceptance by the FDA of a NDA by the Company for any Company product candidate with a cancer indication utilizing the Company's licensed SUBA-itraconazole technology, provided that Mr. Hasara is actively employed by the Company on the earlier of such date. The balance of the RSUs will vest September 3, 2017.

Going forward, incentive awards may be in the form of stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units and performance and other awards. In the case of incentive stock options, the exercise price will not be less than 100% of the fair market value of shares covered at the time of the grant, or 110% for incentive stock options granted to persons who own more than 10% of the Company's voting stock. Options granted will generally vest over a three-year period from the date of grant and will be exercisable for ten years, except that the term may not exceed five years for incentive stock options granted to persons who own more than 10% of the Company's outstanding common stock.

Stock-based compensation expense is determined based on the fair value of the stock-based awards and recognized over the vesting period. The Company recognized \$753,585 in stock-based compensation expense related to Restricted Stock Units for the year ended December 31, 2014. There was no comparable expense for the year ended December 31, 2013. As of December 31, 2014 there was approximately \$3.0 million in unamortized stock-based compensation cost related to non-vested stock awards.

Stock option activity for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 is as follows:

	<u>Number of Shares</u>	<u>Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share</u>	<u>Aggregate Intrinsic Value</u>
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	245,443	\$ 3.02	\$ —
Granted in 2013	—	—	—
Exercised	—	—	—
Canceled	(245,443)	3.02	—
Outstanding at December 31, 2013	—	—	—
Granted in 2014	—	—	—
Exercised	—	—	—
Outstanding at December 31, 2014	<u>—</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

**HEDGEPATH PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.  
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND 2013**

**9. Stockholders' Deficit (continued):**

***Issuance of Restricted Stock***

In April 2013, restricted shares were issued to CBI's CEO, one CBI board member and one former CBI officer for a portion of their approved claims. The number of shares issued, which totaled 3,328,467, was determined by using a per share price equal to the average of the 30 day closing price of Common Stock and was valued at \$152,000.

***Issuance of Preferred and Common Stock***

Upon entering into the Amended and Restated Supply and License Agreement with Mayne Pharma, the Company issued 258,363 shares of Series A Preferred Stock to Mayne Pharma. The fair value of such issued shares of Series A Preferred Stock has been accounted for as in-process research and development totaling approximately \$1.3 million and is included in research and development expense for the year ended December 31, 2014.

See Note 1 for discussion of Series A Preferred Stock issued for related party debt forgiveness.

See Note 1 for discussion of Common Stock issued.

***Warrants***

Pursuant to the Mayne Purchase Agreement (Note 1), a warrant to purchase 10,250,569 shares of the Company's common stock at \$0.0878 were granted to Mayne Pharma. The warrant will expire on June 24, 2019. The fair value of warrants has been accounted for as in-process research and development totaling approximately \$0.6 million and is included in research and development expense for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Pursuant to the Debt Forgiveness Agreement (Note 1) with HPLLC, a warrant to purchase 10,250,569 shares of the Company's common stock at \$0.0878 was granted to HPLLC. The warrant will expire on June 24, 2019. The amount of debt forgiven of \$450,000 was recorded as additional paid-in capital for the year ended December 31, 2014.

**10. Related party transactions:**

As part of the short-form reincorporation merger with HPPI, certain expenses had been incurred for officer salary, travel, legal and patent expense. These expenses, totaling \$366,130, were paid by Hedgepath, LLC on behalf of the newly formed HPPI and are included in due to related party in the accompanying balance sheet as of December 31, 2013. An additional \$273,638 was advanced during 2014. The balance of \$639,768 was exchange for preferred stock, common stock and common stock warrants as discussed further in note 1 (*Related Party Debt Forgiveness Agreement*).

**11. Legal Proceedings:**

***Chien Connecticut Case***

In October 2012, Andrew Chien ("Chien"), an alleged shareholder of the Company's predecessor, CBI, filed suit in Connecticut state court (later removed to the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut (the "CT District Court")) against CBI, Dr. Richard J. Freer (a director and officer of CBI) ("Freer"), and the law firm LeClairRyan (the "Chien Connecticut Case").

In October 2012, the CT District Court in the Chien Connecticut Case entered an Order dismissing Chien's claims without prejudice on account of CBI's pending Chapter 11 bankruptcy.

Chien filed various motions in response to the CT District Court's decision dismissing the claims asserted against Freer and LeClairRyan, including a motion for reconsideration. On Thursday, May 29, 2014, the presiding judge issued several orders. The CT District Court granted Chien's request that he be allowed to proceed with the fifth and six claims he asserted against CBI in his Complaint, namely (i) a claim for relief entitled "Securities Fraud and Fiduciary Duty Violation against CBI" and (ii) a claim for relief entitled "Fiduciary Duty violation against CBI" (collectively, the "Chien Claims"). A related scheduling order provided that CBI had until June 20, 2014 to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint. The Company retained LeClairRyan to serve as CBI's counsel in the Chien Connecticut Case. On June 20, 2014, LeClairRyan filed on CBI's behalf a motion to dismiss seeking a dismissal with prejudice of the Chien Claims. LeClairRyan also filed on CBI's behalf a motion to stay discovery. On August 5, 2014, the CT District Court granted CBI's motion to stay discovery.



**HEDGEPATH PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.  
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND 2013**

**11. Legal Proceedings (continued):**

*Chien Connecticut Case (continued):*

On November 4, 2014, the CT District Court dismissed the case and the matter was closed by the court. On December 1, 2014, Chien filed various motions including a Motion to Reargue. In response, the Company filed a consolidated opposition to Chien's various pleadings, including to the Motion to Reargue. The Company is now awaiting a decision from the Court. The Company strongly refutes as without merit Chien's claims and will continue to vigorously defend the lawsuit.

*Chien Virginia Case*

In April 2013, Chien filed an adversary proceeding to recover monetary and injunctive relief against CBI and Freer in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Virginia (the "EDVA Bankruptcy Court"). On June 19, 2013, the EDVA Bankruptcy Court held a hearing on CBI's and Freer's motions to strike and / or dismiss Chien's adversary proceeding Complaint. At the conclusion of the June 19, 2013 hearing the EDVA Bankruptcy Court granted CBI's and Freer's motions to strike and / or dismiss and ordered that Chien's adversary proceeding be dismissed. On July 1, 2013, the EDVA Bankruptcy Court entered a Memorandum Opinion memorializing its decision. Chien perfected an appeal of the EDVA Bankruptcy Court's decision (the "Bankruptcy Appeal") to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia (the "EDVA District Court").

On August 14, 2014, the EDVA District Court dismissed the case and the matter was closed by the court on September 16, 2014.

*Other*

On July 24, 2013 and August 5, 2013, purported class actions were filed in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida (Tampa Division) against Accentia Biopharmaceuticals, Inc., and several current and former directors and officers of Accentia and its former subsidiary, Biovest International, Inc. (collectively the "Class Action"), including Frank E. O'Donnell, Jr. M.D., the Company's Executive Chairman. The complaints allege that the defendants violated federal securities laws by making or causing Accentia and/or Biovest to make false statements, and by failing to disclose or causing Accentia and/or Biovest to fail to disclose material information, concerning the results of the clinical trial of Biovest's cancer vaccine, BiovaxID, and status of its approval by the FDA. Plaintiffs seek damages in an unspecified amount on behalf of shareholders who purchased common stock of Accentia or Biovest during a defined time period. All defendants, including Dr. O'Donnell, believe this litigation to be without merit, deny any wrongdoing or liability and have vigorously defended the alleged claims. A settlement of this matter, in which defendants make no admissions of wrongdoing or liability, has been agreed upon by all parties and approved by the Court.



**HEDGEPATH PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.****CODE OF ETHICAL CONDUCT****Preface**

The honesty, integrity, ethics, respect for others and sound judgment displayed by directors, principal executives and financial officers of HedgePath Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (“**HPPI**”) set the tone for business conduct, and are fundamental to the proper functioning, reputation and success of HPPI. This Code of Ethical Conduct is composed of expressions of good behavior and good judgment to which all employees, officers and directors of HPPI are required to adhere.

Our principal executives and financial officers hold an important and elevated role in our corporate governance. These individuals are key members of the management team, who are uniquely capable and empowered to ensure that the interests of HPPI stakeholders (including stockholders, employees, collaborators, customers and suppliers) are appropriately balanced, protected and preserved. Such persons fulfill this responsibility by prescribing and enforcing the policies and procedures employed in HPPI’s business and financial operations.

This Code of Ethical Conduct is intended to supplement, and not replace, the various guidelines and documents that HPPI has prepared on specific laws, rules, regulations and policies that all officers, directors and employees of HPPI should be aware of, such as the HPPI’s Employee Manual and Insider Trading Policy.

**Code of Ethical Conduct**

Principal executives, financial officers, employees and directors of HPPI must:

- Act with honesty, ethics and integrity, avoiding actual or apparent conflicts of interest in personal and professional relationships except as otherwise disclosed, approved and determined to be in the best interests of HPPI and its stockholders;
- As is required and/or necessary to conduct their duties, provide colleagues with information that is accurate, truthful, complete, objective, relevant, timely, and understandable, and be unafraid to present a contrary opinion;
- Comply with applicable laws, rules, and regulations of federal, state, and local governments (both United States and foreign) and other appropriate private and public regulatory agencies, including, without limitation, with regard to all mandatory public disclosures;
- Act in good faith, with due care, competence and diligence, without misrepresenting material facts or allowing independent judgment to be subordinated;
- Respect the confidentiality of information acquired in the course of employment;
- Except as may be approved by the board of directors or a committee of independent directors or as may be memorialized in agreements approved by the board of directors or a committee of independent directors, refrain from (a) taking for themselves personally opportunities that

---

belong to HPPI or are discovered through the use of corporate property, information or position; (b) using corporate property, information or position for personal gain; and (c) competing with HPPI.

- Deal fairly with the HPPI's customers, suppliers, partners, competitors, officers and employees. No one should take unfair advantage of anyone through manipulation, concealment, abuse of privileged information, misrepresentation of material facts or any other unfair dealing practice. Stealing proprietary information, misusing trade secret information that was obtained without the owner's consent, or inducing such disclosures by past or present employees of other companies is prohibited;
- Not, directly or indirectly, use bribes or other corrupt practices in conducting HPPI's business to influence any federal, state or local government employee in any country;
- Conduct interactions within or without the organization with complete respect and professionalism;
- Be accountable for adherence to this Code of Ethical Conduct and otherwise proactively promote ethical and honest behavior within the workplace, and
- Promptly report suspected violations of this Code of Ethical Conduct to senior management or, as applicable, the Chairman of the Audit Committee of the board of directors of HPPI, and if, necessary, to outside counsel, as a last resort should earlier attempts to redress such violations fail.

All principal executives, financial officers, employees and directors are expected to adhere to this Code of Ethical Conduct at all times. HPPI also expects that its commercial partners, vendors, suppliers and other third parties with whom HPPI does business adhere to the letter and spirit of this Code of Ethical Conduct.

If a violation of this Code of Ethical Conduct is reported and substantiated, HPPI's board of directors, with the approval of the Audit Committee, may impose such sanctions or otherwise take such actions as it deems appropriate.

The board of directors of HPPI shall have the sole and absolute discretionary authority to approve any deviation or waiver from this Code of Ethical Conduct.

Any waiver (and the grounds for such waiver) for a principal executive or financial officer of, or an amendment to, this Code of Ethical Conduct shall be disclosed as required by applicable Securities and Exchange Commission rules.

ADOPTED by the board of directors on July 18, 2014.

## Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)

I, Nicholas J. Virca, hereby certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of HedgePath Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors:
  - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 13, 2015

/s/ Nicholas J. Virca

Nicholas J. Virca  
President and Chief Executive Officer

## Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)

I, Garrison J. Hasara, hereby certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of HedgePath Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors:
  - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 13, 2015

/s/ Garrison J. Hasara

Garrison J. Hasara Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

**CERTIFICATION**

**Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002  
(18 U.S.C. 1350)**

Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of (18 U.S.C. 1350), the undersigned officer of HedgePath Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), does hereby certify, to the best of such officer's knowledge and belief, that:

(1) The Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 (the "Form 10-K") of the Company fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(2) The information contained in the Form 10-K fairly presents, in all materials respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: February 13, 2015

/s/ Nicholas J. Virca

Nicholas J. Virca, President and Chief Executive Officer

This certification shall not be deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. Such certification will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act or the Securities Exchange Act.

**CERTIFICATION****Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002  
(18 U.S.C. 1350)**

Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. 1350), the undersigned officer of HedgePath Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), does hereby certify, to the best of such officer's knowledge and belief, that:

(1) The Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 (the "Form 10-K") of the Company fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(2) The information contained in the Form 10-K fairly presents, in all materials respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: February 13, 2015

/s/ Garrison J. Hasara

Garrison J. Hasara, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

This certification shall not be deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. Such certification will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act or the Securities Exchange Act.